Using local climate zones to compare remotely sensed surface temperatures in temperate cities and hot desert cities
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Abstract

Urban and rural thermal properties mainly depend on surface cover features as well as vegetation cover. Surface classification using the local climate zone (LCZ) system provides an appropriate approach for distinguishing urban and rural areas, as well as comparing the surface urban heat island (SUHI) of climatically different regions. Our goal is to compare the SUHI effects of two Central European cities (Szeged, Hungary and Novi Sad, Serbia) with a temperate climate (Köppen-Geiger’s Cfa), and a city (Beer Sheva, Israel) with a hot desert (BWh) climate. LCZ classification is completed using WUDAPT (World Urban Database and Access Portal Tools) methodology and the thermal differences are analysed on the basis of the land surface temperature data of the MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) sensor, derived on clear days over a four-year period. This intra-climate region comparison shows the difference between the SUHI effects of Szeged and Novi Sad in spring and autumn. As the pattern of NDVI (Normalised Difference Vegetation Index) indicates, the vegetation coverage of the surrounding rural areas is an important modifying factor of the diurnal SUHI effect, and can change the sign of the urban-rural thermal difference. According to the inter-climate comparison, the urban-rural thermal contrast is the strongest during daytime in summer with an opposite sign in each season.
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1. Introduction

Urban areas substantially modify the radiative, thermal, moisture and aerodynamic conditions with more vegetation and less artificial surfaces on the local scale and mesoscale (Oke et al., 2017), creating a so-called urban climate different from the surrounding area. These modified features are also different within the city, depending on the characteristics of the built-up areas and anthropogenic activities (intra-urban differences). One of the most common phenomena associated with cities is the urban heat island (UHI) effect, which can be detected in near-surface air (T a), as well as in surface temperature (T s) (surface UHI, SUHI: e.g. Rasul et al., 2017). This can be easily quantified as the temperature difference between urban and rural areas, although the terminology of these areas is not straightforward in the literature (e.g. Stewart, 2007). In the case of T s, several remotely sensed land cover products (Imhoff et al., 2010; Pongrácz et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2013b; Mathew et al., 2018) and even night-time light data (Clinton and Gong, 2013; Fu and Weng, 2018) have been used to determine the boundary between urban and rural polygons.

2. Theoretical background

The concept of local climate zones (LCZs) has become a widely-used, universal framework, which is applicable for representing the lack of homogeneity of surface structures and land cover characteristics, even on the intra-urban scale, and therefore it can underlie the delineation of urban and rural areas. Its first formulation was released in Stewart (2009), and in its final form in Stewart and Oke (2012). It consists of 10 built-up and 7 land cover classes. Originally,
this surface classification scheme was designed for the description of the surroundings of Tₘ measurement sites, but nowadays it has several applications. The first published study about an extension of LCZ beyond its primary functions, was in the mapping of zones in the urban area of a medium-sized Central European city (Novi Sad, Serbia), in order to recommend representative station sites for an urban climate monitoring network (Unger et al., 2011). The applied mapping process was relatively simple, as it was based on only the visual inspection of aerial images and the authors’ local knowledge.

Some time later, Bechtel and Daneke (2012), Bechtel et al. (2015) and Leolivos et al. (2014), developed more objective LCZ mapping methods; then, others followed them with the elaboration and comparison of different mapping approaches (e.g. Geletič and Lehnert, 2016; Quan et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018b; Hidalgo et al., 2019; Mushore et al., 2019). Today, the LCZ-system is used worldwide, and the recent comparisons of thermal features in neighbourhoods with different built-up area characteristics, revealed definite Tₘ-differences between the zones; thus they confirmed the usefulness of the scheme (e.g. Stewart et al., 2014; Unger et al., 2015; Leconte et al., 2015, 2017; Skarbit et al., 2017; Koitharkar and Bagade, 2018; Shi et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018).

Besides these studies directed at Tₘ characteristics, the mapping of LCZs has expanded the application possibilities of the zones (Geletič et al., 2016). For instance, in recent years the scheme also provided a basis for some inter-LCZ thermal comparisons, using surface temperatures derived from remotely-sensed measurements. Some of these comparisons use airborne or high-resolution remote sensing data, but these are essentially case studies with small datasets. In comparison, Skarbit et al. (2015) applied high resolution Tₘ data acquired by an airborne small-format digital imaging system, measured on an August night in Szeged, Hungary. Bartesaghi Koc et al. (2018) used LiDAR-derived parameters to evaluate the quality of LCZ classifications by a comparison of mean values of Tₘ.

Nassar et al. (2016) investigated the LCZ–Tₘ relationship, applying Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) 8-day composites from a year-long period, supplemented with nine Landsat scenes in Dubai (United Arab Emirates). Their analysis targeted the effects of different urban zones with varying structures, cover types and proximity to the ocean, urban cooling and heating in a city with dry desert climate. Wang et al. (2018a) also studied this relationship in arid cities in the south-western United States (Phoenix and Las Vegas), based on two night-time and two daytime ASTER (Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer) images from the warmer part of the year (May, August). They concluded that this type of analysis of LCZs provides valuable information about the distributions of land surface temperatures at the neighbourhood scale. Mushore et al. (2019) used cloud-free Landsat 8 imagery and WUDAPT methodology to monitor Tₘ patterns in Zimbabwe – on three cool days and three hot days. A study from the wet subtropical Yangtze River Delta (China) mega-region has to be mentioned: it acquired Tₘ from 13 Landsat and ASTER images (Gai et al., 2018). Their results also confirm that Tₘ is generally consistent with those LCZs showing higher Tₘ values in built-up LCZs.

Gémes et al. (2016) examined the seasonal development of urban Tₘ in the same study area using 7 Landsat thermal images, during a period of 30 years and 7 images within a year. Also in Central Europe, Geletič et al. (2016) studied Prague and Brno (Czech Republic) using 8 Landsat and 8 ASTER thermal images, eight images for each city, which were obtained in the warmer months of the year. Summarising their results, the variation of Tₘ is generally consistent with the LCZ classes as they have a higher temperature observed in built-up area classes. Recently, Geletič et al. (2019) performed an inter- and intra-zonal investigation of three Central-European cities (Prague, Brno and Novi Sad) and they also analysed the role of the vegetation in the Tₘ pattern. The results show that the character of the vegetation has well-marked effect on the intra-zonal seasonal variability of Tₘ.

Up to the present, most of the thorough longer-term investigations based on a continuous dataset have focused on the Tₘ-differences of urban-rural areas, defined by different subjective techniques, and they do not apply LCZ mapping for characterisation and separation in and around urban areas. For instance, Zhou et al. (2013b) systematically analysed the daytime SUHI of European cities using MODIS-Aqua imagery between 2006 and 2011. They calculated the SUHI as the difference between the mean Tₘ of urban and rural clusters as defined by CORINE land cover data. They showed that the SUHI of many city clusters was higher in spring than in autumn. This result is considered a consequence of a phase shift between the astronomical and meteorological seasonality of Tₘ in the urban area and its surroundings. According to further sensitivity simulations in London (Zhou et al., 2016), this hysteresis can be explained by the region-specific seasonal variation of solar radiation.

Peng et al. (2012) selected 419 large cities to quantify inter-city differences and explore the global drivers of SUHI. They used MODIS-Aqua Tₘ data during the 2003–2008 period to report that cities with a more seasonal greening contrast between urban and suburban areas have a more pronounced seasonal SUHI effect. They also analysed the relationship between diurnal and nocturnal SUHI, and no correlation was found between them, which can be explained by the fact that driving mechanisms during the day are different from those at night.

Several Central European cities (i.e. Belgrade, Munich, Vienna, Milan, Warsaw, Zagreb and Budapest) were investigated by Pongrácz et al. (2010), between 2001 and 2003 using MODIS Tₘ and land cover products. According to the measurements in most of the examined cities, the nocturnal SUHI intensity was near-permanent (2–3 °C) between spring and autumn. The maximum intensity of SUHI occurred in daytime in summer, and the monthly mean intensity changed in a range from 1 °C to 6 °C. Tran et al. (2006) focused on eight Asian megacities that are located in both temperate and tropical climates. The most severe SUHI intensity (12 °C) was observed in Tokyo within a period of three years. Zhou et al. (2013a) evaluated the diurnal variation of SUHI in Beijing on the basis of four typical 24-hour daily cycles in 2005, using MODIS data as well as in situ measurements. In addition to the temporal cycle, they also analysed the spatial extension of the SUHI in Beijing.

From the research studies cited above, it can be concluded that there is a shortage of LCZ–Tₘ relationship investigations that are based on a large number of thermal images, enabling detailed diurnal and seasonal analysis. In this paper we intend to reveal the urban-rural and intra-urban surface thermal reactions, and their differences (ΔTₘ) between Central European cities (Szeged, Hungary and Novi Sad, Serbia) with a warm and humid temperate climate
(Köppen type Cfa), as well as a city with a hot desert climate (Beer Sheva, Israel) (Köppen type BWh), based on a 4-year series of satellite data. Hence, the general aim is to depict the diurnal and seasonal evaluation of mean $\Delta T_s$ values based on the cloud-free thermal pictures of MODIS in the period of 2014–2018.

Our specific objectives are to:

i. map LCZ patterns by city based on Landsat images;

ii. reveal the differences in the distribution of LCZs by climatic region;

iii. separate the ‘urban’ and ‘rural’ areas containing 1-km tiles according to the MODIS grid;

iv. determine the clearly distinguishable (representative) LCZ cells within the urban areas;

v. collect MODIS thermal images with clear conditions and separate them according to season (winter and summer), as well as night and day;

vi. compare the obtained mean seasonal and diurnal urban-rural $T_s$ differences between the Cfa and BWh climatic regions (Szeged, Novi Sad vs. Beer Sheva); and

vii. compare the obtained mean seasonal and diurnal $T_s$ differences of specific LCZs and rural areas between the Cfa and BWh climatic regions (Szeged, Novi Sad vs. Beer Sheva).

3. Data and methods

Figure 1 illustrates the locations of the studied cities and the general characteristics (aridity, vegetation) of their regions based on a colour Google Maps image.

Szeged (Hungary) and Novi Sad (Serbia) are located on the Great Hungarian (or Pannonian) Plain in Central Europe (see Fig. 1a). They have similar geographical and climatic environments: both of them can be found on mostly flat terrains and are in Köppen’s climatic region Cfa characterised by a warm and humid temperate climate, with a relatively warm summer and no distinguishable dry season (Beck et al., 2018). Szeged has a densely-built midrise core of the city that becomes more open towards the suburban areas (Fig. 1b). The north-eastern part of the city consists of blocks of flats, while the suburbs are characterised by warehouses and detached houses with gardens. The neighbouring rural area is used for cultivating wheat, maize and other crops, but a few scattered trees are also found there (Skarbit et al., 2017). The central area of Novi Sad is densely built and wide avenues connect different areas of the city, which can be mainly characterised by midrise and low-rise built-up types (Fig. 1c). Warehouses and industrial zones are located in the northern suburban areas. The city surroundings are predominantly characterised by arable land, as well as dense and scattered tree areas (Unger et al., 2011).

Beer Sheva is the largest city in the Negev desert of southern Israel. In contrast to the previous two cities, it has a hot arid climate with Mediterranean influences (Köppen type BWh: Beck et al., 2018). It is one of the fastest growing cities in Israel; the development of its present cityscape has occurred only in the last century (Fig. 1d). The historical city centre, built in the late Ottoman era, has been surrounded by several new urban neighbourhoods built in recent decades. The new part of Beer Sheva consists of 17 residential districts, as well as a university campus, hospitals, information technology and biotechnological centres. Its rural surroundings are dry and bare, occasionally with some vegetated patches. Table 1 summarises the main climatic and geographical features of the studied cities.

Considering that the mapping method of Bechtel et al. (2015) uses open-access software and remotely sensed data, which has a homogeneous fine scale coverage, we applied this globally comprehensive classification method in this paper. This method is also applied in the worldwide WUDAPT (World Urban Database and Access Portal Tools) project (www.wudapt.org; Bechtel et al., 2019), as it provides a simple and objective workflow for LCZ mapping. Two freely accessible software programs are required (i.e. Google Earth and SAGA-GIS) to process all the 11 spectral bands of the Landsat 8 satellite imagery as input data. The multi-temporal, highest quality level (Level-1) Precision
Terrain data of the OLI (Operational Land Imager) and the TIRS (Thermal Infrared Sensor) instruments was retrieved from the Earth Explorer user interface of the U.S. Geological Survey. Cloud-free Landsat 8 images were selected for each city, which were taken in three different sections in the year (Szeged: 24.06.2017, 27.08.2017, 30.10.2017; Novi Sad: 04.03.2017, 24.06.2017, 30.10.2017; Beer Sheva: 17.09.2017, 19.10.2017, 07.01.2018) to represent the intra-annual changes. Although the high resolution Landsat 8 images (30–100 m) are ideal for the mapping, we need to resample the images to a common resolution (100 m) in SAGA-GIS, which is acceptable for monitoring local patterns. Due to the annual course of surface properties, satellite images from different seasons are used for the LCZ mapping.

The first part of the process is to define the sample areas of the LCZ classes (training area polygons) and the region of interest (ROI) in Google Earth, called the training area (see Fig. 2). Each training area needs to be at least a 500 m × 500 m polygon with homogeneous structure, and the ROI must be a square-shaped polygon that covers the urban site, including the agglomeration and its surrounding natural environment. These delineated training area polygons and ROI are retained in a kml format file. In SAGA-GIS we perform the vector and raster processing using this kml file and Landsat 8 multispectral satellite images. A random forest classification algorithm performs the automated classification of Landsat images using the training polygons as learning areas within the ROI. If the classification does not take place properly, we have to improve the training areas and repeat the workflow. In the end, we perform a majority filtering that scans the neighbouring pixels within a given distance of a central pixel according to the size of the filter radius. If a particular LCZ class is in the majority in this examined field, all pixels are changed to that LCZ class. In this paper the selected size of the radius was 3 pixels; because this reduces noise, however, it does not perform unreasonable generalisation. The result of this workflow is a final LCZ map with reduced noise due to the filter.

To analyse the thermal properties of the urban area over the four-year period (2014–2018), we used derived Version 6 products (MOD11A1 and MYD11A1) from the measurements of the MODIS sensor, which can be found on the Terra and Aqua satellites. As parts of the American National Aeronautics and Space Administration’s (NASA) Earth Observing System, these solar-synchronous satellites (i.e. Terra and Aqua) carry out the tasks of providing data from different spheres of the Earth including the land surface, biosphere, hydrosphere, cryosphere and atmosphere. The MODIS sensor measures radiation in 36 electromagnetic spectral bands with different spatial resolutions (250 m, 500 m, 1000 m) (NASA, 2006).

In this paper, we use $T_s$ – derived from the raw MODIS radiation data – calculated from bands 3–7, 13 and 16–19 for retrieving emissivity, band 26 for cirrus cloud detection, and bands 20, 22, 23, 29, 31 and 32 for correction for atmospheric parameters (Wan and Dozier, 1996; Wan and Snyder, 1999). $T_s$ is retrieved by a generalised split-window algorithm. The quality of the data is improved by the optimisation of the algorithm coefficient to the viewing angle.

In addition, the method is less sensitive to uncertainty in emissivity over wide ranges of surface and atmospheric conditions. According to validations with in situ measurements, the MODIS $T_s$ product accuracy is better than 1 °C over land (Wan et al., 2004; Wan and Dozier, 1996). Moreover, the quality assessment of Version 5 $T_s$ by Wan (2008) and Wan and Li (2008) reported root mean square differences of less than 0.5 °C across 39 tested cases. The satellites can provide a total of four images per day for each city – hence, in this way we can consider two images for daytime and two images for night-time during 24 hours for the examined areas. The horizontal resolution of the thermal infrared measurements is 1 km, which is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Szeged</th>
<th>Novi Sad</th>
<th>Beer Sheva</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mean annual temperature (°C)</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the highest mean monthly temperature (°C)</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the lowest mean monthly temperature</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean annual amount of precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geographical coordinates</td>
<td>46.25’N, 20.15’E</td>
<td>45.25’N, 19.85’E</td>
<td>31.25’N, 34.80’E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urban area (km²)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>altitude (m a.s.l)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1: Some climatic and geographical features of the studied cities

Sources: climate; 1986–2015 (Harris et al., 2014); geography; United Nations (2019)

![Fig. 2: The processing scheme of LCZ mapping](Source: authors, based on Bechtel et al., 2015)
appropriate in recognising the overall thermal pattern of the studied areas. More information about the specifications of the sensor is provided in Table 2.

Since an anticyclonic weather situation, with clear sky and low air movement, is the ideal weather condition to examine local-scale thermal features, we selected all clear days between the summer of 2014 and the spring of 2018. Considering the whole urban and rural area around the cities, we neglected all the days when any cloudy tile (cell) was detected (see Fig. 4 for their numbers by season and city).

One of our goals is to reveal the thermal differences between urban and rural areas. To complete a comprehensive study, it is especially important to define urban and rural areas as precisely as possible. In this study, we obtained the fractions of individual LCZ classes for each MODIS tile using the QGIS and based on these ratios we evaluated the building surface fraction of each cell. So, we consider ‘urban’ tiles that:

i. include at least 50% of built-up LCZ; and
ii. the cells form a coherent area (polygon) in order to neglect the effect of smaller, separated built-up patches near the suburban area; and
iii. to avoid the overspreading of the urban areas over the sparsely-built regions around the most urbanised areas, urban cells must be located within the administrative border of the city.

The specification of a representative rural area was a more complex challenge. In more detailed research, Pongrácz et al. (2010) divided the urban and rural areas by the MODIS land cover product based on information about the elevation and radiation features of the surface. The urban area consisted of pixels with urban land cover within 15 km of the city centre, while the rural area is located in a radius belt of 15–25 km around the city centre. The pixels with hills were eliminated in the examined area.

Since we also require an area without any significant effects of built-up areas, water bodies or substantial topography, cells with a height difference above 200 m compared to the urban polygon or being covered by more than 1% of water, must be eliminated. Additionally, rural areas are defined as (i) mostly uninhabited, and (ii) being located at least 2 km from the urban boundary. Hence, the rural areas form a belt of equal area around the urban area, similarly to previous works (e.g. Peng et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2013b). The rural tiles (iii) must contain less than 1% of the total building surface fraction (TBSF) in order to avoid the effects of small towns and villages around delineated urban areas. As the building surface fraction (BSF) (Stewart and Oke, 2012) varies according to LCZ class, different ratios of LCZ class were allowed in rural tiles (Tab. 3). For example, when a tile contains LCZ 9, which has a minimum of 10% BSF, we eliminate this from the rural area, if the tile contains more than 10% of LCZ 9 due to it exceeding 1% TBSF of the entire tile. As an LCZ class shows higher BSF, we obviously take into account a smaller fraction of a tile.

Focusing on the thermal features of the individual urban LCZs, the investigation of representative areas of LCZ classes is required. For this purpose we selected MODIS tiles which have more than 55% coverage of a particular LCZ class. As a following step, mean $T_s$ differences between the selected LCZs and rural areas were calculated for each cloud-free day and night, using the MOD11A1 and MYD11A1 images indicated above.

The satellite-derived $T_s$ data series enable us to reveal the spatial and temporal features of the surface thermal features in the three target cities. First, we calculate the $T_s$ mean values of the separated urban and rural areas for daytime (based on forenoon and afternoon MODIS images), and night-time (based on night and dawn images), in the case of each city. Then, we compute the mean diurnal and nocturnal $T_s$ differences between urban and rural areas (SUHI intensity or $\Delta T_{\text{SUHI}}$). To retrieve the differences in thermal reactions caused by urban effects in the same and quite different climatic regions, the mean diurnal and nocturnal SUHI intensities between Szeged, Novi Sad (both Cfa) and Beer Sheva (BWh) were compared by season, and then the obtained differences are analysed and explained.

In addition we calculated the difference between the mean $T_s$ of tiles with the same LCZ classes and the mean $T_s$ of the rural area, as determined previously. The comparisons of the cities are presented in box-percentile plots (Esty and Banfield, 2003), which provide representative visualisations by showing the entire range and the distribution of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>MODIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>satellite</td>
<td>Terra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name of the product</td>
<td>MOD11A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equatorial crossing times</td>
<td>10:30 a.m. (descending)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acquisition times (UTC)</td>
<td>9–10 a.m. and 8–9 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>height</td>
<td>~705 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>Aqua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name of the product</td>
<td>MYD11A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equatorial crossing times</td>
<td>1:30 p.m. (ascending)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acquisition times (UTC)</td>
<td>2–3 a.m. and 12–13 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2: Technical features of the MODIS sensor
Source: after Wan and Snyder (1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCZ classes</th>
<th>BSF (%)</th>
<th>Maximum TBSF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>compact midrise (2)/low-rise (3)</td>
<td>40–70</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>open-set midrise (5)/low-rise (6)</td>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large low-rise (8)</td>
<td>30–50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sparsely built (9)</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3: Building surface fraction (BSF) of the built LCZ classes and the maximum possible value of the total building surface fraction (TBSF) of the cells considered as rural. Source: after Stewart and Oke, 2012
seasonal $T_s$ differences. The boxes spread from minimum to maximum and the width contains detailed information about the distribution. The white lines – within the box – indicate the 25th percentile or lower quartile (near the bottom), the 50th percentile or median (in the middle) and the 75th percentile or upper quartile (near the top) value, therefore the area between the two quartiles represents half of all cases. The median has an advantage compared to the mean, namely, it is less affected by the extreme values. The black point – within the box – indicates the mean value of the $T_s$ differences in each season. The numbers located near the horizontal axis of the diagram indicate the number of cases considered in the individual box above.

4. Results and discussion

Figures 3a–3c present the obtained LCZ maps for Szeged, Novi Sad and Beer Sheva (hereinafter Sz, NS and BS), respectively. First of all, we can notice that three LCZ classes are absent in the urban areas: LCZ 1 (compact high-rise); LCZ 4 (open high-rise); and LCZ 7 (lightweight low-rise). In the case of NS and Sz, the administrative border – indicated with a black line – is located relatively distant from the city centre and encloses a large green area beside the built-up area (Figures 3a, b). In comparison to these cities, BS’s border is located nearby the mostly built-up core of the city (Fig. 3c). In addition, the administrative border separates broad, mostly sparsely-built (LCZ 9) regions from the central part of NS (to the south of the Danube) and BS (to the east of the city). Compact midrise classes (LCZ 2) are located in three different parts of the city in NS, LCZ 2 is situated in the city centre of Sz, whereas this zone is completely missing in BS. While the LCZ 3 (compact low-rise) and LCZ 5 (open midrise) classes are located north and south of the city centre in Sz, these LCZ classes are located near the city centre as well as in isolated patches at a greater distance from the centre both in NS and BS. The most common classes around the central built-up area in Sz and NS are the open low-rise (LCZ 6) and sparsely built (LCZ 9) types, whereas these classes appear much less frequently within BS. There are also differences in the location of the large low-rise (LCZ 8) class, as the north-western part of Sz includes the LCZ 8 class, while this class is found in separated patches in NS (mostly in the northern half of the city) and in BS (in the southern part of the city). Heavy industry (LCZ 10) appears in both Central European cities and is associated with petroleum production and refining. It is located closer to the city centre and covers a larger area, however, in NS than in Sz.

The dominant land cover types around the two Central European cities are low plants with some dense and scattered trees (Figs. 3a, b). Some parts of the low plant areas temporarily change to bare soil within the year because of their agricultural use; thus, these two categories are merged into LCZ D. Since multiple satellite images from different dates are used to determine the different LCZs, the merging of the two zones simplifies the classification. In contrast, around BS, beside the scattered trees and low plants, the other dominant land cover is sand because of the semi-arid environment (Fig. 3c). As a result for further investigations, Figure 3 also shows the delineated urban polygons and the separated rural tiles for each city determined by the selection procedure described above.

We selected tiles that are covered by particular LCZ classes over more than 55% of the tile area, and assigned them with the numbers of the majority LCZ class in each urban area. These selected tiles were taken into consideration in the analysis of intra-urban and inter-urban thermal differences of the zones. Where there is no zonal number within the delineated urban area (Fig. 3), neither of the LCZ classes reached 55% coverage. Table 4 summarises the numbers of the selected urban tiles by zone and the numbers of the tiles in the rural areas assigned in and around the cities.

Fig. 3: LCZ maps shown on the MODIS grid covering the study areas: administrative city borders (black line); delineated urban polygons (purple line); and rural (blue line) areas in and around (a) Szeged, (b) Novi Sad and (c) Beer Sheva (the selected LCZ tiles are marked by zone number)

Source: authors’ elaboration
The seasonal urban-rural $T_s$ differences ($\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$) in Szeged (Sz), Novi Sad (NS) and Beer Sheva (BS) that were detected on clear days in daytime are shown in Figure 4. In Sz and in BS the largest absolute $T_s$ difference values were detected in summer. In Sz the difference increases from winter to summer, then decreases in autumn. There is no substantial annual variation – the seasonal medians fluctuate between 0.3–1.3 °C – and the surface of the urban area is warmer than the rural area producing a recognisable SUHI effect in Sz. The medians of the $\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$ have opposite sign throughout the year in BS, implying that the rural areas around BS are much warmer compared to the urban areas, therefore, the surface of the built-up area in BS acts as an urban cool island. This cooling effect of the delineated urban area is moderated (− 1.9 °C median) in winter and it increases throughout the year – $\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$ reaches even − 6.5 °C in summer and autumn on certain days. This opposite behaviour ensues from the fact that sand or dry bare soil is heated up more intensively by the stronger incoming solar radiation than in the Central European region, which is covered mostly with vegetation. In addition, in Central Europe the higher soil moisture content also helps to decrease $T_s$ more effectively compared to BS.

Similar results were reported in cities surrounded by desert, such as Jeddah in Saudi-Arabia and Mosul in Iraq (Peng et al., 2012). Negative daytime SUHI intensity contributes to the oasis effect (Oke, 1987; Georgescu et al., 2011) caused by the residential and agricultural irrigation within the urban area. Georgescu et al. (2011) simulated this influence with the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) regional climate model over Phoenix, Arizona. They concluded that besides the oasis effect, landscape and soil moisture conditions also explain the daytime cooling of urban areas. Observations from the United States also demonstrate the dependence of seasonal SUHI on biomes and environmental factors (Imhoff et al., 2010). The diurnal variation of thermal properties is discussed on the micro-scale by Zhan et al. (2012).

NS can be characterised by a high annual variation between the seasons. The sign of $\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$ is mainly negative in all seasons except in summer, when a higher positive maximum of the median (2.1 °C) is observed than in Sz. In summer, NS shows a larger fluctuation (from − 1.7 °C to 4.7 °C) in $T_s$ differences, and the resulting SUHI effect of NS is mostly stronger (1–3.5 °C) compared to Sz (0.7–1.7 °C). Moreover, a clear deviation was observed in spring: the surface of the urban area was considerably cooler (by 2.9 °C on average and mostly 2.3–3.9 °C) in general than the rural area. In absolute terms, greater diurnal differences occur in spring (even 6 °C) than in summer (4.7 °C), when the urban area is heated by strong shortwave radiation causing a SUHI effect.

To reveal the reason for prominently negative $\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$ values in NS, average spring NDVI (Normalised Difference Vegetation Index) values were calculated for each MODIS tile. The NDVI values shown in Figure 5 enable us to analyse the differences in the spatial distribution of the vegetation in the urban and the rural areas in both cities. Figure 5a demonstrates that the rural area is characterised by higher NDVI values compared to the urban area in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>LCZ class (with more than 55% area coverage)</th>
<th>Rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Szeged</td>
<td>2  1  3  10  6  18  0</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novi Sad</td>
<td>1  2  9  10  8  23  2</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer Sheva</td>
<td>0  14  7  0  4  3  0</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 4: Numbers of the selected urban cells dominated by certain LCZs and rural cells by city

Source: authors’ elaboration
case of Sz. Figure 5b shows relatively low NDVI values in the rural area around NS, while the urban area has a similar pattern to Sz – the lowest mean NDVI values are detected in the most densely built-up areas (LCZs 2 and 3). In the surroundings of NS, the agricultural areas are mostly bare from autumn to spring (after the harvest and before the subsequent harvest), which leads to a rapid warm-up of the fields covered by dark soil in the morning, meanwhile the rural area around Sz is still covered by crops (as a result of the autumn sowing). Consequently, the rural areas of NS result in higher $T_s$ values in spring than the rural areas of Sz.

According to the previous research of Savić et al. (2018) on the $T_s$-LCZ connection in the urban and the surrounding area of NS, during the vegetation period higher $T_s$ values were detected in spring and autumn in the area covered with LCZ D compared to the built-up zones. The rural areas around NS and Sz are typically agricultural areas. The arable lands around NS are covered mostly by dark chernozem soil (with low albedo), while the soil around Sz although of a similar texture, it additionally contains a certain fraction of sand, which makes it less dark, resulting in somewhat higher albedo.

In winter, there is no substantial thermal difference between Sz and NS as the medians of $\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$ are around 0 °C in both cities, with the least variation among the seasons.

Finally, in autumn, the rural areas of NS are slightly warmer than the urban areas as the box extends between −1.3 °C and 0.1 °C, meanwhile in Sz the distribution of $\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$ is slightly more symmetrical with a small positive (0.3 °C) median value.

Similarly, different SUHI effects were detected in many European cities in spite of the same background temperature in spring and in autumn (Zhou et al., 2013b; Zhou et al., 2016). This phenomenon is considered to be the result of different (astronomical and meteorological) seasonality in the urban and rural temperature, which can lead to differences in phenological phases.

The nocturnal urban-rural $T_s$ differences (Fig. 6) clearly show less variability in the Central European cities, which is expected in an urban environment located in a moderate climate (e.g. Pongrácz et al., 2010). Generally, the urban areas are slightly warmer in the Central European cities than the corresponding rural areas. Meanwhile, in BS the mean seasonal $\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$ values change between 0.2 °C and 0.5 °C throughout the year, which implies almost no thermal difference on average between the urban and rural areas; nevertheless, the rural area around BS is occasionally more than 2 °C warmer than the urban area in summer. The mean seasonal $\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$ of Sz reaches a maximum in summer, and then it decreases towards winter, while NS has a maximum in spring, which slightly exceeds the mean

![Fig. 5: Distribution of mean spring NDVI in and around (a) Szeged and (b) Novi Sad (2014–2018)](source: authors' elaboration)

![Fig. 6: Intra- (Cfa) and inter-climatic (Cfa vs. BWh) comparison of the nocturnal urban-rural surface temperature differences ($\Delta T_{s(u-r)}$) by season (Szeged, Novi Sad vs. Beer Sheva, clear nights, 01.06.2014–31.05.2018). Note: small numbers at the bottom of diagram = total number of selected satellite images by season in the studied cities Source: authors’ elaboration)
\( \Delta T_{\text{s(r)}} \) in summer. Furthermore, a clear difference can be recognised between the two Central European cities in spring. NS shows slightly higher \( T_s \) differences (mainly 1.4–1.7 °C) compared to Sz (mainly 0.8–1.4 °C). The medians of \( \Delta T_{\text{s(r)}} \) range between 0.6–1.6 °C, thus \( T_s \) values in both urban areas are typically higher than in the corresponding rural areas.

The diurnal mean LCZ-rural \( T_s \) differences compared for Sz, NS and BS measured on cloud-free days in two thermally extreme seasons (winter and summer), are presented in Figure 7. In winter the medians of the LCZs have no outstanding spatial variability considering the three cities together (Fig. 7a). Regarding the LCZ classes, there is no substantial difference between the two Central European cities. Sz and NS have similar medians: \( \Delta T_{\text{s(LCZ-r)}} \) of Sz fluctuates between 0.3 °C and 0.7 °C within the urban area, while the medians in NS are between 0 °C and 0.5 °C. In NS the diurnal \( \Delta T_{\text{s(LCZ-r)}} \) fluctuates over a larger range, and lower (occasionally negative) differences occur between the LCZs and the rural area compared to Sz. For instance, the \( \Delta T_{\text{s(LCZ-r)}} \) in Sz changes between −0.6 °C and 1.7 °C, while the diurnal \( T_s \) values in NS are observed between −2.3 °C and 2.5 °C during the examined period. In BS, areas dominantly covered by LCZs 2 and 6 are not found, therefore they are absent from both Figures 7a and 7b. All of the LCZs are cooler in winter than the rural areas but there is no considerable discrepancy between them in their thermal reactions: the median of \( T_s \) difference was 1.6 °C for LCZs 3 and 9, meanwhile it was around 2 °C for LCZs 5 and 8.

In Sz and in NS the diurnal mean \( \Delta T_{\text{s(LCZ-r)}} \) values measured in summer generally fluctuate in a wider range than in winter (Fig. 7b). Due to the increased solar irradiation, the thermal differences between the LCZs are more conspicuous in the Central European cities. The strongest SUHI effect is detected in NS as the mean \( T_s \) differences of NS exceed the means of Sz considering each zone. The highest medians of the differences were observed between the most densely built-up class (LCZ 2) and the rural areas, both in Sz (3.3 °C) and in NS (4.5 °C). In Sz LCZ 2 is mainly warmer 2.2–4.4 °C than the surrounding rural area, while in NS this \( \Delta T_s \) changes mostly between 2.6 °C and 6.5 °C. Additionally, the difference reaches even 8 °C on certain days. In summer the medians of the diurnal \( \Delta T_{\text{s(LCZ-r)}} \) of Sz show a slight decrease moving from LCZ 2 (3.3 °C) to LCZ 9 (0.6 °C), except LCZ 8, where the median of \( \Delta T_{\text{s(LCZ-r)}} \) has a second maximum (2.2 °C). It shows consistency with the decreasing SUHI effect toward the border of the urban area as the \( T_s \) difference decreases proportionally with built-up area density. These results show good agreement with other studies (e.g. Thomas, et al., 2014; Mushore et al., 2019) suggesting that the most intense SUHI can be recorded in LCZ 2, while the weakest SUHI effect was detected in LCZ 9 in all seasons. In Sz and in NS, the sparsely-built (LCZ 9) zones show lower \( T_s \) compared to the rural areas, because of the higher ratio of vegetation, which has a cooling effect due to evaporation (Odindi et al., 2015; Stabler et al., 2015).

In BS all the zones are colder than the rural area in summer similarly to winter, but the absolute LCZ-rural \( \Delta T_s \) differences are greater in this season. The mean \( T_s \) values in LCZs 5 and 8 are around 5 °C lower than the rural values, which is beneficial for the population of the city. For LCZ 5 the largest absolute \( T_s \) difference is 7 °C, while for LCZ 8 the difference reaches 7.5 °C.

The nocturnal mean \( T_s \) differences between LCZs and the rural area that were measured on cloud-free days of winter and summer sorted by city, are shown in Figure 8. In winter (Fig. 8a), considering the Central European cities, the nocturnal \( T_s \) differences follow a similar pattern to the diurnal \( T_s \)-differences: the medians in Sz fluctuate mainly between 0.4 °C and 1.1 °C, and the medians in NS vary in the range of 0.4–1.9 °C with a slightly descending order from LCZ 2 to LCZ 9. In BS a weak SUHI was detected during winter nights: LCZs 3 and 5 are mainly 0.2–1 °C warmer than the rural area around BS. In LCZs 8 and 9 there are no considerable thermal differences compared to the rural area.

In summer (Fig. 8b) the nocturnal \( \Delta T_{\text{s(LCZ-r)}} \) values of Sz and NS fluctuate over a substantially smaller range compared to the daytime ranges, but nevertheless they follow similar patterns. In BS none of the zones are

---

**Fig. 7:** Intra- (Cfa) and inter-climatic (Cfa vs. BWh) comparison of daytime mean LCZ-rural surface temperature differences (\( \Delta T_{\text{s(LCZ-r)}} \)) for (a) winter and (b) summer (Szeged, Novi Sad, Beer Sheva, clear days, 01.06.2014–31.05.2018). Note: small numbers at the bottom of diagram = the total numbers of selected satellite images by season in the studied cities. Source: authors’ elaboration.
considerably warmer than the rural surroundings, but some negative outliers can be found in each LCZ. In some extreme cases, $T_s$ differences of around 3 °C occur (in LCZs 3 and 5). The relatively high presence of the negative outliers shows that the rural area around BS is rather warmer compared to the LCZs at night as well (although it is clearly less warm than during the daytime).

5. Conclusions

In this study, the surface thermal features of three urban areas were compared, taking into account their specific climatic regions. Szeged and Novi Sad are located in a temperate climate, in Central Europe, whereas Beer Sheva is situated in the semi-arid climatic region of Israel. We used the clear-sky surface temperature data product of the MODIS sensor between the summer of 2014 and spring 2018. To carry out comprehensive work, we performed LCZ mapping of the cities using the generally accepted WUDAPT methodology, and we delineated the urban and rural MODIS tiles according to different conditions in relation to the LCZ coverage. LCZ maps are able to illustrate the structure of the city that determines the thermal regimes. The seasonal urban-rural $T_s$ differences were analysed and the results show that diurnal $T_s$ differences are strongly affected by climatic conditions. Different urban effects were detected in the inter-climate comparison – the typical SUHI effect was recognised in Sz throughout the year in daytime, while the urban area showed a moderating/cooling effect in BS compared to the hot and semi-arid environment of the city. As it can be expected, the most intense SUHI effect was observed in the central and industrial parts of the cities in a temperate climate in summer. Regional plans should focus on limiting the built-up area density or providing more open, green spaces in the problematic areas.

As it can be expected, the most intense SUHI effect was observed in the central and industrial parts of the cities in a temperate climate in summer. Regional plans should focus on limiting the built-up area density or providing more open, green spaces in the problematic areas.

Additionally, differences were also seen in the intra-climate comparison of Sz and NS. The largest difference between the thermal features of the two cities with similar climatic conditions was detected in spring in daytime. According to the 4-year diurnal time series of the $T_s$ differences, in spring and in autumn the rural area of NS warm up more intensively than the urban area, while in Szeged the SUHI effect was detected in accordance with the other seasons. Since the two cities are located in the same climate zone of the Köppen-Geiger system, the difference can be related to the vegetation coverage. The patterns of NDVI values show more abundant vegetation in the rural area of Sz than around NS in spring, therefore the phenomenon of the cooling island of NS could be the result of a quick warm up of the rural areas covered with thin vegetation.

Increasing the ratio of urban vegetation would be beneficial in both climatic regions, as (i) it helps to mitigate the urban-induced warming in a temperate climate, whereas (ii) vegetation improves the well-being of the habitants of cities surrounded by desert, so extending their vegetation cover is recommended. The results of this present study should be taken into account in developing environmental planning and mitigation strategies in urban areas in order to support sustainable local conditions.

In order to fully describe the relationship of $T_s$ and vegetation, further research is required with more detailed remote sensing datasets. Namely, beside the temporal variation of the vegetation cover, soil moisture also plays a principle role in the thermal environment (e.g. Seneviratne et al., 2006). Future research should focus on this variable. A better understanding of the spatial distributions of thermal properties and recognising the potentially problematic areas, will clearly contribute to more climate-sensitive urban planning for decision makers.
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