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Abstract
The effect of geographical distance on the extent of socioeconomic impacts of the Dukovany nuclear power plant in the Czech Republic is assessed by combining two different research approaches. First, we survey how people living in municipalities in the vicinity of the power plant perceive impacts on their personal quality of life. Second, we explore the effects of the power plant on regional development by analysing long-term statistical data about the unemployment rate, the share of workers in the energy sector and overall job opportunities in the respective municipalities. The results indicate that the power plant has had significant positive impacts on surrounding communities both as perceived by residents and as evidenced by the statistical data. The level of impacts is, however, significantly influenced by the spatial and social distances of communities and individuals from the power plant. The perception of positive impacts correlates with geographical proximity to the power plant, while the hypothetical distance where positive effects on the quality of life are no longer perceived was estimated at about 15 km. Positive effects are also more likely to be reported by highly educated, young and middle-aged and economically active persons, whose work is connected to the power plant.
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1. Introduction
Growing concerns over global climate change, energy sustainability and energy security over the last decade have led to rapid and widespread development of renewable energies. The generous feed-in tariffs for renewable energy in Germany have been so effective that Chancellor Merkel was able to announce the closure of Germany’s nuclear program after the Fukushima nuclear accident (Jahn and Korolczuk, 2012). Nonetheless, renewable energy development has been uneven around the world and it still represents but a small part of total generation in most countries (Eurostat, 2015). For this reason, governments need to reconsider conventional sources, such as fossil fuels and nuclear power.

Although it has always been associated with significant social controversy, nuclear power capacity worldwide has been increasing steadily. Today there are more than 435 nuclear reactors operating in 31 countries, with a total installed capacity of over 375 GW. In 2014, these provided 2,411 TWh, which is over 11% of the world’s electricity (WNA, 2015). Some 60 new reactors are currently being constructed in 13 countries, while significant further capacity is being created by existing plant upgrading and rebuilding programs (ibid.).

The Czech Republic – with its two nuclear power plants (Dukovany and Temelín) generating over 30 TWh – is among the top fifteen world nuclear producers. The Czech population is also among the largest supporters of nuclear power usage in Europe, with about two-thirds being in favour of nuclear power development (CVVM, 2015). Nuclear power is expected by the current Czech government to become the main source of electricity production, with its share increasing from the present 35% to between 46% and 58% in 2040 (WNA, 2015). Recently a new long-term plan for the
nuclear industry – involving building at least three new units by 2040 – was approved, in order to be able to decarbonise the economy and to replace the dominant role of lignite in the energy mix.

Nevertheless, increasing construction costs, high state subsidies, and uncertainties concerning future decommissioning, nuclear waste disposal and possible accidents, remain the most common arguments of opponents of nuclear power (Cooper, 2010). On the other hand, the large power-generating capacity, low pollution and relatively low operating costs are stressed by its supporters. Saying that nuclear power supports the socio-economic development of host regions has also become a popular policy turn of phrase to stimulate social acceptance. The plans for a life-time extension of old reactors and the building of a new one at the Dukovany power plant site in the Czech Republic have been strongly supported by regional authorities and the Energoregion 2020 association, which includes representatives of 126 local municipalities (Energoregion, 2020). The Dukovany power plant is promoted not only as a key contributor to national energy security but also as an important source of jobs, a basis for increasing the educational level of the population, economic stabilisation and the overall standard of living in the region.

Thus, it is a question whether political proclamations about the positive impacts of the nuclear power plant (henceforth NPP) are in accordance with the subjective perceptions of residents of the local communities and with more objective data sources. Some studies from other countries (e.g. Yamane et al., 2011) reported that impacts of NPPs on the economic welfare and well-being of local communities have not always been positive. Such may also be the case for the second Czech NPP in Temelín, where the local community’s expectations of the benefits are said to be far from fulfilled (Baroch, 2010). Pidgeon et al. (2009) pointed out that ‘geography matters’ in this context. In other words, NPPs can contribute economically to nearby communities, but not to others farther away who might perceive themselves to be at risk.

To address this complex issue and the role of geographic space in this respect (i.e. to assess how much the positive and/or negative impacts of nuclear power plants are spatially differentiated), we elaborate two different approaches to research on the impacts of the Dukovany NPP. First, we explore how people living in municipalities in the hinterland of the power plant subjectively perceive the impacts on their personal quality of life and the development of their communities. Second, we assess the impacts of the nuclear power plant on regional development by analysing long-term statistical data about some selected socio-economic characteristics of the municipalities. The results of these two approaches are compared in the final discussion section of the paper.

2. Theoretical background

The theoretical background is provided by a review of the relevant literature, structured around the three main aspects of nuclear energy development which have been reflected in social science and particularly human geographical research. The first aspect is general public attitudes towards nuclear energy and the social acceptance of planned NPPs; the second is the socio-economic effects of existing power plants on host regions; and the third is the perception of positive and negative impacts of power plants by residents of local communities. While the literature dealing with the first aspect is vast and comprehensive, that relating to the more objective socioeconomic impacts of operational power plants, as well as perceptions of such impacts, is much more limited, including a few case studies, the majority of them from the United States and the United Kingdom.

2.1 Risk perceptions and public attitudes to nuclear power plants

The rapid rise of nuclear technologies in the 1960s revealed a marked discrepancy between the enthusiasm for a new, powerful, clean and safe energy source documented by scientific experts, and the fears of immediate disasters and unknown long-term health and environmental effects on the part of the general public. This discrepancy lay behind the boom in social science research on risk perceptions (Starr, 1969; Slovic et al., 1979; Fischhoff et al., 1983). Psychometric research (Fischhoff et al., 1978; Slovic, 1987) revealed that ordinary people perceive, evaluate and accept hazardous technologies and activities less on the statistical probability of the realisation of risks, than on the basis of qualitative attributes of these risks, such as novelty or familiarity, controllability, predictability, immediacy, etc.

The qualitative aspects of risks play a crucial role in public perceptions of nuclear energy, and reactions such as fear and anxiety seem to be the major determinants of attitudes to the building of NPPs (Van der Pligt, 1985; Van der Pligt et al., 1986). It has been shown that there are differences in the perception of risks according to gender, age, education and ethnicity, as well as according to cultural contexts (Dake, 1992; Flynn et al., 1994). Later studies criticising simple psychometric or cultural theory models of risk perception emphasised that risk perception is a much more complex, multidimensional and socially amplified phenomenon (Goodfellow et al., 2011).

Differences in the perception of risks, however, do not embrace all of the relevant aspects of public acceptance of nuclear energy. Public attitudes can be motivated by different goals, including the overall evaluation of costs and benefits, moral dispositions, and subjective feelings related to the nuclear technology (Visschers et al., 2011); they are dependent on socioeconomic status, education and knowledge of energy matters (Bazile, 2012; Pampel, 2011). For example, a survey of more than 3,000 US residents (Greenberg and Truelove, 2001) found that the pro-nuclear group was disproportionately composed of affluent, educated white males, while the pro-coal group included more relatively poor, less educated African-American and Latino females. Apart from the perception of the technology, acceptance is significantly affected by the way that the technology is implemented (Venables et al., 2012), and how the costs and benefits of power plants are distributed: i.e. the factors of procedural fairness, distributional fairness and trust in the available information and the intentions of policymakers and companies (Visschers et al., 2011; Visschers and Siegrist, 2012).

2.2 Socioeconomic impacts of nuclear power plants on host localities

The NPPs have a range of socioeconomic implications for their host localities: some direct through local employment and companies (Visschers et al., 2011); they are implemented (Venables et al., 2012), and how the costs and benefits of power plants are distributed: i.e. the factors of procedural fairness, distributional fairness and trust in the available information and the intentions of policymakers and companies (Visschers et al., 2011; Visschers and Siegrist, 2012).
those with the operational stage. Most authors highlighted the significance of those longitudinal effects of plants on their host regions, while the local economic impact of the construction phase has been considered minor due to a dispersed commuting pattern by construction workers (Peelle, 1976). Moreover, the construction stage is prone to various negative effects for local communities, such as traffic growth, disturbance of the local accommodation markets caused by an influx of in-migrants, and increase in levels of crime and other behavioural problems (Glasson, 2005).

The effects on employment and tax revenues have been mentioned among the most relevant long-term benefits of nuclear power plants (Isard et al., 1976; Johnson and Bennett, 1979; McGuire, 1983). The second-order consequences of the direct economic impact may include changes in community land use policies, an increase in the salience of growth issues, and alteration of both inter- and intra-community relationships (Peelle, 1976). On the other hand, negative effects of the power plants on the attractiveness of the locality and residential property values, a potential outflow of population and a decline of local tourism and second-home development, are the most frequently discussed.

Many prejudices, myths and unfounded fears have spread around the siting of either nuclear power plants or nuclear waste storage sites. In this context, Metz (1994) stressed that there are several paradoxes or contradictions between the responses expressed in surveys and recorded economic and demographic behaviours evidenced in the marketplace. Policymakers then need to evaluate whether the request for a change in siting policy is based on subjective fear of a potential negative economic effect, or on proven negative effects.

While studies of the negative externalities of coal-fired power plants (e.g. Davis, 2011) found that neighbourhoods of power plants experienced significant decreases in property values and rents, no similar correlation has so far been detected for nuclear power plants either in the US or Europe (Gamble and Downing, 1982; Clark et al., 1997; Horská et al., 1996). A recent study from Japan (Yamane et al., 2011) reported that the neighbourhoods are negatively evaluated by their residents (reporting that their economic welfare is worsened by living near the plants) in the case of some NPPs, whereas there are no evaluations or even positive ones at other different locations. Meta-analysis detected that these differences are affected by contextual and social factors, such as how long the plant has been in operation, past accidents, population density, changes in employment and industrial structure, financial conditions and changes in social infrastructures in the areas. In summary, this study showed that the construction and operation of hazardous energy facilities do not necessarily lower the local residents’ welfare, and that a potential decline can be mitigated if the host community receives enough of the benefits that it had expected in return for accepting the plants.

2.3 Public perceptions of impacts: the effect of distance(s)

Perceptions of and attitudes to nuclear power plants have been shown to be not static, but dynamic and spatially-shaped phenomena. Common themes of research on energy facility siting have been to investigate the effects of the so-called ‘NIMBY syndrome’ and the ‘proximity hypothesis’, which assumed that those living nearer to energy facilities are likely to have more negative attitudes in comparison to those living further away (see, e.g. Boholm and Lofsted, 2004; Van der Horst, 2007). Dear (1992: 291) suggested that “the closer residents are to an unwanted facility, the more likely they are to oppose it”. Many studies (e.g. Maderthaner et al., 1978; Eiser et al., 1995; Greenberg, 2009a, 2009b; Frantál, 2005) reported the opposite - that people living close to existing power plants perceive them more positively and are likely to accept them more, than people living farther away. The proximity hypothesis, however, has not been definitively falsified, and it is even supported by some recent research on the local acceptance of renewable energy projects (Jones and Eiser, 2010; Swofford and Slattery, 2010).

Warren et al. (2005) reported a strong positive effect of distance on the dislike for proposed wind power plants, and a much weaker negative effect of distance on the dislike of existing wind power plants. It is evident that the time-space dynamics of local opposition are complex phenomena and that the role of geographical proximity differs largely with respect to the type of technology and the stage of development, as well as to specific local contexts. In addition, ‘distance’ itself must be qualified. In this sense, Devine-Wright (2005) in the context of perception and acceptance of wind power plants, indicates that ‘social distance’ (the effect of social influence and social networks on the formation of opinions) can be a more important factor than geographical proximity. In social science generally, social distance has been used to measure the degree of closeness or remoteness people feel toward other groups. Extensively used today in studies of ethnic, class, gender, status and many other kinds of social relations, social distance is most often measured following the Bogards ‘Social Distance Scale’, or some modification of it (Ethington, 1997). In the context of this study, we use the term ‘social distance’ to indicate the (socioeconomic) relationship of people to the NPP: i.e. a measure based on the degree of familiarity and interactions with the NPP and their ability to participate in the economic benefits generated by the power plant. We expect that people working in plant itself will be, in this sense, socially closest to it – no matter how physically close or far from it they live.

The attitudes of residents of local communities to NPPs usually develop from very critical during the planning and construction phase to more tolerant or even positive after a certain time of operation. The acceptance of existing NPPs, which is constructed through the processes of familiarisation and normalisation of risks as a part of everyday life, co-exists here with a more complex set of contradictions (risk, threat and anxiety as a part of everyday life) (Parkhill et al., 2010). The experience of having lived near a NPP affects not only public perceptions of the various potential costs and (especially economic) benefits, but also the importance people attach to the various consequences (Van der Pligt et al., 1986).

In contrast to the familiarisation of risks and adaptation to a new local identity, attitudes towards a specific project or technology can deteriorate due to some external factors (e.g. the effect of nuclear accidents such as those in Chernobyl and Fukushima – see, e.g. Eiser et al., 1989; Lindell and Perry, 1990; Siegrist and Visschers, 2013; Siegrist et al., 2014), or because the expectations of local communities concerning the scale of costs and benefits have not been met. It has been suggested that, in the case of rebuilding and re-powering older NPPs, the local residents’ own personal experiences, perceived benefits and outcome fairness are some key determinants of acceptance of the decision, while procedural fairness and trust have only a limited impact (e.g. Visschers and Siegrist, 2012).
In this sense, greater attention should be paid to research on the ex-post perceptions of the outcomes of existing power plants and the ‘asymmetries of impact’ (Walker et al., 2014). The primary objective of this study is to assess the effect of geographical distance on the intensity of socioeconomic impacts of nuclear power plants on surrounding communities. In this sense, we intend to support or falsify the proximity and NIMBY theories in the context of nuclear energy development. The methodological contribution of this paper to current knowledge is represented by our two approaches: (i) we apply an integrative research approach which confronts subjective and objective dimensions of the issue (assessing socioeconomic impacts as perceived by residents of local communities, as well as that evidenced by official statistical data); and (ii) in addition to assessing the influence of geographic distance on public perceptions, we identify and evaluate the socio-demographic factors that determine the ‘social distance’ of people from the nuclear power plant.

3. Research methodology

3.1 Area under study

The Dukovany NPP is located near the municipality of Dukovany, situated on the border of the Vysočina and the South Moravian regions. The power plant consists of four pressurised-water reactors, each of which has a heat capacity of 1,375 MW and an electric capacity of 510 MW. The first reactor unit was put into operation in 1985 and the last one in 1987. The average annual production of electrical energy approaches a value of more than 13 TWh, which represents about 20% of the total consumption of electricity in the Czech Republic. The NPP is owned and operated by the ČEZ Group: the largest utility as well as the largest public company in Central and Eastern Europe.

For the purposes of this research, we divided neighbouring municipalities into three categories, set up on the basis of zones within a radius of 5, 10 and 20 km from the power plant (see Fig. 1). These zones delimit the area that is affected by the activities of the NPP (including plans for a possible nuclear accident), and also includes municipalities with direct financial support from the ČEZ company. The zones are officially established in the ‘External Emergency Plan’ (EEP), which is the basic document addressing measures to protect the population, the environment and properties in the event of a nuclear accident. The ‘Zone of Emergency Planning’ (ZEP) includes some 138 municipalities with a total population of nearly 100,000 (see Tab. 1).

This delimitation of zones has also been used by the ČEZ company for the purpose of allocation of financial support to surrounding communities. Financial support (in the form of financial donations, support for development projects or various sponsorship activities) has been directed primarily to

![Fig. 1: Area under study](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Number of municipalities</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Economically active population (EAP)</th>
<th>Commuters to work to Dukovany municipality Number</th>
<th>% of EAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4,199</td>
<td>1,644</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone II</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8,972</td>
<td>3,395</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone III</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>83,145</td>
<td>32,335</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>96,316</td>
<td>37,372</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Tab. 1: Basic characteristics of the area under study
Source: Population Census 2011 (CZSO, 2011); authors’ calculations*
Municipalities within the ZEP, although there are exceptions such as support for the cities of Třebíč and Brno, which are important for the life of the inhabitants of the ZEP (providing health and social services, education, etc.).

Municipalities located in the inner emergency zone have obtained, relatively, the highest levels of financial support through donations from specific contracts. The so-called “Agreement on good neighbourhood” between the ČEZ and the six closest municipalities includes clauses on mutual information about activities and plans of the company and communities, mutual assistance in solving some problems, the pursuit of long-term regional development, and improvement of living conditions and civic amenities (according to Šilhán, 2011, p. 19). Based on this agreement, ČEZ provided municipalities with annual financial donations. The municipalities reciprocated in providing ČEZ advertising, sought to educate residents about the power plant’s safety and environmental impacts, and they undertook to provide no support for protest actions against the company (ibid.).

Moreover, Dukovany and Rouchovany municipalities benefit from the fact that the NPP is located in their cadastral areas. As such, their incomes are significantly increased by property taxes, which account for more than 10 million CZK (appr. 370,000 EUR) annually. Rouchovany also benefits from the fact that the short-term storage of spent nuclear fuel is located in its cadastral area (the annual contribution is about 3 million CZK (appr. 110,000 EUR)). It can then be hypothesised that the most visible positive economic impact of the NPP on local development, as well as the most positive perception of the power plant, should be in such communities located within the inner emergency zone, and particularly in the municipalities of Dukovany and Rouchovany.

3.2 Methods and data

During December 2013 and January 2014, we carried out a standardised questionnaire survey of residents in local communities living in the vicinity of the power plant, to explore how they perceive the impacts of the power plant on their personal quality of life and the development of their communities. Given these data, we were able to evaluate the extent to which these perceptions were spatially and socially differentiated. The questionnaires were completed via on-site interviews (in peoples’ homes or on the street) by trained interviewers. Potential respondents were selected by quota sampling procedures, with respect to their basic demographic characteristics in order to represent the population of the region. The sample involved a total of 582 respondents, including 294 people living in the six municipalities in Zone I, 196 people living in the three selected municipalities in Zone II, and 92 people living in the three selected municipalities in Zone III. The basic characteristics of respondents are summarised in Tab. 2: the sample approximates the target population quite well.

Some distortion of the results, particularly as concerns the spatial differentiation of perception of impacts in municipalities in the third zone and the estimation of “zero effect distance” (a hypothetical distance where positive effects on the personal quality of life are no longer perceived), may be present as a consequence of the small sample of municipalities, as well as the location of all surveyed municipalities at a maximal distance of 14 km from the power plant. Nevertheless, this study was not aimed primarily at the estimation of absolute numbers but rather at exploring specific relative numbers and relationships, particularly differences between the municipalities in the first zone (with the highest direct economic profits) and other zones, and differences with respect to the socio-demographic characteristics of residents.

Following the survey of perceived impacts, we assessed the regional impacts of the NPP by analysing long-term official statistical data indicative of selected socioeconomic characteristics of the municipalities in the vicinity of power plant and in the wider region. In this paper, we focus specifically on the two key indicators that are most often mentioned in connection with the positive effects of nuclear power plants – job opportunities and the unemployment rate. These indicators represent important measures of economic advancement in the region and municipalities. For

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Share [%] in Sample (in Target Population)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>51 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>less than 20</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>14 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>18 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>15 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>18 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>15 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 and more</td>
<td>10 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>16 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary without GCE</td>
<td>36 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary with GCE</td>
<td>36 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>12 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in plant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16 (n/a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>84 (n/a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2: Basic characteristics of the survey sample (NOTE: * Share (%) in the population of Vysočina Region (virtually equivalent to South Moravia Region). Sources: authors’ survey and Population Census 2011
our analyses, we used data from the last three Population Censuses (Czech Statistical Office, 2011) and data on registered unemployment in the years 2000–2011 provided by the Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs (MPSV, 2012).

4. Results

4.1 General perception of the nuclear power plant

For purposes of comparison (Fig. 2), we used a single question concerning general perceptions of the Dukovany NPP (“What are your feelings when you see the cooling towers of the nuclear power plant?”), with the same terms employed in a previous study of the perceptions of the Temelín NPP (Těšitel et al., 2005, 2008). Dukovany – an older power plant in comparison with Temelín – is perceived and assessed by the majority of our respondents cognitively (as a ‘reasonable solution’ and a ‘technological achievement’), rather than emotionally (as a ‘necessary evil’, an object of ‘discomposure’ or ‘immediate danger’). Although this literal question was not applied in the earlier surveys in Dukovany (Horská et al., 1996, Vaishar, 1999), it can be inferred that the perception of Dukovany has improved with the length of residents’ cohabitation with the power plant, and that the fear of immediate danger was more common during the construction and in the first years of operation.

The levels of ‘discomposure’ or ‘fear of immediate danger’ increase with zonal distance from the power plant from the more recent Dukovany study (see Tab. 3). The more positive perception of the power plant by people living closer to it is probably influenced by the effect of habituation, everyday direct contact with the power plant and the familiarisation of risks (risk has become a part of our everyday reality), and also by the more significant economic impacts on communities in close proximity to the power plant. The level of fear of danger is also significantly affected by knowledge and personal experience, i.e. more highly educated people and people who work in the power plant are less likely to feel threatened by such risks.

4.2 Perception of impacts on people’s quality of life

The results from our survey concerning perceptions of the impacts of the NPP on particular ‘spheres’ of the quality of life, as defined by indicators of subjective well-being (Massam, 2002, cit. in Těšitel et al., 2008), are presented in Table 4. We used a similar list of items (i.e. individual ‘spheres’ of the quality of life) as that used in the previous research by Těšitel and colleagues (2005, 2008), for a possible comparison of our results with those from the Temelín NPP. From Table 4, it can be seen that only a negligible minority of respondents perceive negative impacts of the Dukovany NPP on their personal well-being. Most residents perceive positive impacts (particularly with respect to the development and image of the communities in which they live, access to public services, and their working activities), or no effects on their personal lives (particularly as regards their life values, relationships, and mental and physical health). These results are clearly more positive compared to the case of the Temelín NPP, where the average assessment

![Fig. 2: Perceptions of nuclear power plants in Temelín (1993 and 2003) and Dukovany (2014)](image)

Sources: Těšitel et al. (2005) and authors’ survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Share of respondents (%) who feel the ‘fear of danger’ or ‘discomposure’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>Zone I</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zone II</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zone III</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in power plant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3: Spatial and social differentiation of risk perceptions. Source: authors’ survey
of most spheres (except the access to services and everyday activities) were in a ‘negative territory’ (ranging from −0.1 to −0.4 in case of mental health).

We can assume that, as in the case of the general perceptions of the power plant (see Fig. 2), even in the case of their impact on the quality of life, the perceptions of Dukovany residents are more positive than perceptions of Temelín – presumably because of a longer co-existence of people with the power plant, inducing subsided fears, as well as the positive economic impacts on local development. We found significant differences between zones and municipalities within zones, which are related to the perception of impacts on the communities in which respondents live (F = 40.86; p < 0.001), access to services (F = 14.56; p < 0.001), and working activities (F = 18.84; p < 0.001). The highest percentage of people who perceive positive impacts in these matters is in the municipalities of Dukovany and Rouchovany (see Tab. 5). The spatial demarcation of emergency zones is also reflected in the proportion of people who work for the power plant – the largest share of workers was reported in the Dukovany municipality (every fourth respondent from this municipality worked in the NPP).

4.3 The effect of spatial and social distance on perceived impacts

For purposes of a more detailed analysis, we calculated the overall “index of impact” of the power plant, as a sum of the evaluation scores for all eight aspects of the quality of life. The sum of the eight items resulted in a satisfactory measure of reliability (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.68); hence, they were summed to create the overall index. The value of this index can hypothetically range from −8 to +8. For two thirds (67%) of respondents the value of this index is positive, for 27% the value is zero, and for only 7% is the

\[
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Aspect of personal life} & \textbf{Perceived impact [%]} & \textbf{Mean} & \textbf{Variance} \\
\hline
Community in which I live & 56 & 41 & 3 & 0.54 & 0.30 \\
Access to services & 39 & 60 & 1 & 0.38 & 0.26 \\
Working activities & 31 & 66 & 3 & 0.29 & 0.26 \\
Leisure activities & 15 & 84 & 1 & 0.14 & 0.14 \\
Life values & 10 & 88 & 2 & 0.07 & 0.12 \\
Relationships & 10 & 84 & 6 & 0.05 & 0.16 \\
Mental health & 6 & 90 & 4 & 0.02 & 0.10 \\
Physical health & 6 & 90 & 5 & 0.01 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\]

\[\text{Tab. 4: Perceived impact of the Dukovany nuclear power plant on ‘spheres’ of the quality of life.} \]
\[\text{Note: The impact was assessed on a three-point scale: positive impact (+1), no impact (0), and negative impact (−1). Individual aspects are ordered according to descending mean values. Source: authors’ survey}\]

Fig. 3. Distribution of values of the ‘index of impact’ by emergency zones. Source: authors’ survey

\[\text{Fig. 4: The relationship between distance of municipality from the power plant and perceived impact} \]
\[\text{Source: authors’ survey}\]

\[\text{Tab. 5: Spatial differences in perceived positive impacts} \]
\[\text{Note: Spheres with the largest variance in perceived impact are included. Source: authors’ survey}\]
value negative. The distribution of values of the index according to emergency zones is presented in Figure 3. We found that the mean value of the impact varies significantly depending on the emergency zone. While the average index value for municipalities in the first zone is over 2, it is only 1.15 in the second zone and 0.57 in the third zone. The mean value of the index for the whole sample is 1.45.

In addition to the variation by zone, the mean values of the index for specific municipalities (i.e. mean values of resident responses) strongly correlate with the specified geographical distance from the power plant (see Fig. 4).

Based on this linear regression analysis, a hypothetical boundary where the index of impact approaches zero is at a distance of about 15 km from the power plant. We note that such a spatially-determined distance from the power plant is not the only, and perhaps not even the main determinant, influencing perceptions of its impact. In this sample, nonetheless, the factor of spatial distance explains 66% of variations in the index of impact. We have also tested whether there is a relationship between the index of impact for municipalities and their size (population), the rate of unemployment, and the sum of donations gained over the period 2008–2011 from the ČEZ company (using data provided by Šilhán, 2011). No correlation was found for the first two variables, but a significant correlation (r = 0.30; p < 0.001) was found in the case of donations. These results are obviously affected by the small sample of municipalities, and they must then be interpreted with caution.

Devine-Wright (2005) has emphasized that the ‘social distance’ and the ‘location of interest’ are usually more important factors affecting public perceptions and attitudes to energy facilities than mere physical proximity. Indeed, we found that in addition to the spatial differences in the perception of positive impacts, there were significant differences according to age, education and occupation (see Tab. 6). Highly educated, young (up to 30 years) and middle-aged (30–49 years) economically active people, were more likely to report perceived positive impacts on their personal well-being. Working for the power plant also proved to be a very significant factor affecting perception of positive impacts. The value of the impact index reported by males (1.62) is higher than the value reported by females (1.36), but this difference is not statistically significant.

4.4 Regional economic impacts of the nuclear power plant

Large parts of the broader region encompassing the Dukovany NPP (particularly the districts located in the western part of the study area, such as Znojmo and Třebíč) have been among those regions most affected by unemployment in the Czech Republic since the 1990s. Moreover, the wider region has to cope with many other economic and social problems which are characteristic of peripheral areas in the Czech Republic.

With respect to the role of the Dukovany NPP as an important regional employer, we can identify three relatively compact areas with differing rates and long-term development of unemployment. The first area covers the eastern part of the region, i.e. the regional capital of Brno and its hinterland, which is characterized by very low rates of unemployment. Although most of the area surrounding the Dukovany NPP is located in the so-called ‘inner periphery’ (Musiš and Müller, 2008), it has a significantly lower average unemployment rate. In addition, the average unemployment rate of the wider commuting region of the NPP is slightly lower than the national average. We can argue that this area represents a specific region in the settlement system of the Czech Republic, given the effects of the NPP. In a regional context, the Dukovany NPP can be considered as an important centre comparable to secondary centres of the region (such as the cities of Znojmo, Třebíč, Velké Meziříčí or Jemnice). Further, the municipalities located beyond the regional reach of Brno city and the Dukovany NPP show some of the highest unemployment rates, not only within this region but also in the Czech Republic as a whole (cf. Oufredniték and Nemeškal, 2015).

![Fig. 5: Development of the unemployment rate within the three emergency zones](source)

Source: MPSV (2012), authors’ calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Index of impact* (Mean)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work in/for power plant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>87.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary without GCE</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>7.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary with GCE</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>less than 30</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30–49</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 and more</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 6: Social differentiation of perceptions of positive impacts (* Index of impact is a sum of evaluation scores for all eight aspects (values can range from −8 to +8); ** Result of the ANOVA, F-values and probability levels) 
Source: authors’ survey
Jobs in the power plant, the activities connected to its operation, the level of salaries and the employment structure both in the power plant itself and in companies in its supply chain operating in the region, have had a significant effect on the economic level of the wider region. An important role is played by the presence of people with higher economic and social capital and higher purchasing power. The construction of the NPP (in 1974–1987) significantly contributed to the increase of job opportunities in the study area (see Tab. 7). According to Svoboda and Hána (2015), the robust increase in job opportunities in the energy development sector was connected with a significant population increase in municipalities which became new homes for immigrant workers. This is particularly evident in the city of Třebíč, where new housing estates were constructed specifically to house the NPP employees.

Evaluation of the development of unemployment in the period 2000–2011 shows that when there is a nationwide trend of increasing unemployment, the growth of the unemployment rate in the inner periphery is higher than in other parts of the region. Comparison of the development of unemployment rates according to emergency planning zones (see Fig. 5) showed that long-term unemployment is highest within the municipalities of the second zone, which forms a kind of inner periphery within the NPP commuting region. The positive impact of the NPP on employment is also evident from the number of commuters to the Dukovany municipality (see Tab. 1). The number of commuters to Dukovany decreases significantly with distance from the power plant. The average rate of unemployment in municipalities of the third zone proved to be even lower than in the first zone, due to the effect of the suburban growth of Brno and several larger cities that impinge on the third emergency zone. The observed differences in the average unemployment rate for emergency zones, however, proved to be statistically insignificant (except for the years 2005 and 2006).

5. Discussion and conclusions

The results of this study indicate that the Dukovany nuclear power plant has had important positive impacts on its surrounding communities and the broader region, both as perceived by local residents and as evidenced by statistical data. These impacts are, however, significantly spatially and socially differentiated. In other words, the level of positive impacts is influenced by both the geographical distance from the power plant and the ‘social distance’, which is linked to the occupation and socioeconomic status of individuals. In addition, we can also infer a positive effect of ‘time distance’ (or the years of co-existence with the power plant) on perceptions. Somewhat ‘circumstantial’ evidence for this argument is seen in that the Dukovany NPP has been perceived both generally (as an object in the landscape) and specifically (in terms of impacts on partial aspects of the quality of life), more positively than the second and more recent Czech NPP in Temelín (cf. Těšitel et al., 2005, 2008).

Generally, local residents are more likely to perceive and report positive impacts of the Dukovany NPP at the community level than at the personal level. The power plant has positively affected the image and development of its neighbouring communities, the regional labour market and public access to services, while minor or negligible impact was perceived with respect to residents’ physical and mental health, their life values and relationships. Perceptions of positive impacts are correlated significantly with proximity to the power plant, and positive effects are also more likely to be reported by highly educated, young and middle-aged, economically active respondents whose work is connected to the power plant. In this sense, we can also infer the effects of the “social distance” of people from the power plant.

Our research results are in accordance with an earlier study on perceptions of the Dukovany power plant carried out in the early 1990s (Horská et al., 1996). People living in municipalities situated in the vicinity of the power plant tend to have positive attitudes as they see the economic benefits for their communities, while people from remote communities are more preoccupied with potential security risks and negative consequences, such as visual disruption of the landscape or the decline of property prices. Warren et al. (2005: 866) defined this reverse proximity effect as an “inverse NIMBY syndrome”, whereby those with power plants in their backyard area tend to be more supportive of the technology. This kind of acceptance of energy facilities for economic benefits is sometimes also called “Yes In My Backyard” (YIMBY).

The positive impacts on partial aspects of the quality of life are significantly more likely to be perceived by residents living in municipalities of the first emergency zone (up to 5 km from the power plant). In more remote municipalities, the positive effects of the NPP are less pronounced, and respondents tended to report neither positive nor negative impacts. The hypothetical distance where positive effects on the quality of life are no longer perceived was estimated by linear regression at about 15 km. Our results, however, could be strongly affected by the small sample of municipalities located in the second and the third emergency zones, and they must accordingly be interpreted with caution.

Tab. 7: Number of jobs per economically active population and employment in the energy sector according to emergency planning zones. Note: The wider commuting region includes the three zones of emergency planning plus 32 other municipalities belonging to the region on the basis of intensive commuting to work

Similar to our current results, Horská et al. (1996) found that inhabitants of municipalities mentioning positive impacts of the power plant considered the effects on the regional labour market, on the development of the civic and technical infrastructure of municipalities and the overall quality-of-life standard, as the most important aspects. While distance is still significant, our results (compared to Horská et al.) differ as concerns the overall perception of risks: almost 60% of respondents felt threatened by the power plant ten years after its commissioning at that time (mid-1990s), but nearly twenty years later such feelings have rapidly decreased. The positive effects of time, knowledge and proximity, on public attitudes towards nuclear power plants have also been reported from other countries, such as the UK, USA or France (Eiser et al., 1995; Greenberg, 2009b; Venables et al., 2009).

The concept of “familiarisation of risks” (Parkhill et al., 2010) can be used to support the survey results. The effect of familiarisation is expressed by feelings of risks and unrest that decrease with declining distance from the power plant. As Parkhill et al. (2010) pointed out, such familiarity was engendered through 'growing up' with the power plant (it was something that had always been there and had been part of peoples' everyday lives), and through perception of the power plant as a symbol of home. In addition, familiarity was also reinforced through social networks (the experience of working at the power plant; a worker as a family member or friend). In our study this is reflected in the fact that if a respondent works in the power plant, the perception of it is almost solely positive (this relationship could be termed a strong link of 'social proximity'). This is also closely connected to a higher degree of technical education and knowledge about issues concerning nuclear energy development or any other practices in related industries.

Nevertheless, Venables et al. (2009) stressed that local communities’ dependency on the nuclear industry in providing jobs, economic benefits and sponsorship activities, is not the only reason why some people express positive attitudes towards nuclear power plants. According to Bisconti Research (2010), a majority of people associate nuclear energy primarily with reliable electricity, efficiency, clean air, energy security, job creation and affordable electricity. The contribution of nuclear power to increasing national energy security and its role as a kind of ‘clean energy’ in mitigating global climate change, was included among the top-rated pro-arguments of nuclear power plants (in general) by a majority of respondents, and this is also seen in the case of this study of Dukovany. The fact that nuclear power plants create job opportunities and retain employment in host regions, is considered their key contribution.

Furthermore, the dominant economic role of NPPs, which may substantively bring significant benefits to local communities, such as jobs, property tax revenues, sponsorship for local activities or a range of other economic multipliers, have, however, often led to something Wynne et al. (2007) call a ‘dependency syndrome’ for much of the surrounding population. This is probably also the case of the Dukovany NPP as evidenced by the intense endeavours of local communities in the region to support the renovation of the power plant or even completion of other blocks of the facility. In this context, the extent to which any specific NPP has generated economic benefits for its host region throughout its operational stage and how far these benefits will be reversed on its closure, has to be seriously considered by experts and policy-makers (Lewis, 1986; Tomaney et al., 1999).

The region in which the Dukovany power plant is located can be designated as an area with a predominantly rural peripheral character, which has to cope with many socioeconomic problems, such as a high unemployment rate and few job opportunities (Peřtrovič, 2011). Despite these problems, the municipality of Dukovany still maintains the status of an important centre of commuting for work, which distinctly exceeds the importance of municipalities of a similar population size. The Dukovany nuclear power plant is an important employer, which mitigates potential problems of the region by providing job opportunities for a significant proportion of the local population (both directly in the power plant and in its supply chain, across a wider region). In the case of the closure of the power plant and the related reduction of job opportunities, it would be reasonable to expect a significant rise in unemployment and a considerable deepening of the socioeconomic problems of this region.
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European territorial cohesion policies: Parallels to socialist central planning?
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Abstract

Contemporary EU territorial cohesion policy presents some striking reminders of features of socialist central planning. The objective of socio-spatial solidarity aimed at balanced spatial development is a core principle of both spatial planning doctrines. Reviewing key planning documents, this article compares territorial cohesion discourses in terms of their normative and analytical natures in order to critically evaluate the uniqueness and novelty of the current modern concept. In spite of ideological contradictions, a commonly-shared realisation of the importance of urban agglomerations as specific integrated spatial units and the need to improve living conditions in disadvantaged areas, are crucial characteristics for both spatial planning policies. Moreover, analytical spatial planning procedures are based on similar methods and lead to nearly identical results concerning the spatial pattern for one specific case settlement system (the South Moravian Region, Czech Republic). In this respect, the currently-emphasised territorial cohesion discourse is familiar to that in former socialist areas in Central and Eastern Europe. Based on these findings, spatial planning authorities should learn from the past in reflecting on the limitations and advantages of spatial planning in the socialist era.

Keywords: territorial cohesion, socialist central planning, settlement systems, European Union, Czech Republic

1. Introduction

‘Territorial cohesion’ has become a conceptual buzzword often quoted in European regional and spatial planning policies. It is a frequent subject of theoretical discussions concerning balanced socio-economic development, as well as a goal of planning and decision-making practices. The broad thematic scope and an underdeveloped analytical apparatus, however, make this concept rather elusive in terms of its operationalisation and evaluation. It seems there is no single definition of territorial cohesion; instead, it is used as an umbrella term covering several purpose-built conceptual frameworks and approaches.

The term ‘territorial cohesion’ appeared in official EU documents for the first time in 1997 in the Amsterdam Treaty, with regard to the importance of services of general economic interest (SGEI). Here, the declared access to SGEI is understood as the cornerstone of territorial cohesion, but without any detailed specifications (Sauter, 2008). Later, the concept has become part of the regular reports on economic, social and territorial cohesion. The Third Cohesion Report defines territorial cohesion in a rather normative manner as a state of balanced development, reducing existing disparities and territorial imbalances (EC, 2004). A likely more meaningful statement, however, is that “people should not be disadvantaged by wherever they happen to live or work in the Union” (EC, 2004, p. 27).

Corresponding with this formulation, Martin and Ross (in Davoudi, 2005) suggest that the territorial cohesion concept “spatialises” some variety of so-called biographical risks, such as unemployment, disability, poverty, etc. In other words, an individual’s life chances reflect not only his or her position within the system of social interdependencies but, at the same time, their position within the structure of territorial interdependencies. As Mollé (2007, p. 84) points out, territorial cohesion is “a situation whereby people and firms are not unduly handicapped by spatial differences in access to basic services, basic infrastructure and knowledge”. The concept of territorial cohesion enunciated here echoes significantly the ideas of spatial justice understood as the “fair and equitable distribution in space of socially valued resources and opportunities to use them” (Soja, 2009, p. 2).

Employing a critical spatial perspective, research attention is drawn not only to the qualities of particular places and territories, but, more implicitly, to their organisation in physical, socio-economic and political space. As places of work and living do not exist as isolated geographic entities, place-based qualities and opportunities stem from the complex networks of territorial interdependencies mentioned...
above. Each particular urban system involves a specific arrangement of territorial interdependencies reflecting, among many other factors, political strategies articulated in the form of planning doctrines and policies. Spatial planning can be therefore regarded as an important platform translating essentially political concepts of territorial cohesion into the worlds of everyday urban activities. Planning interventions usually follow normatively-defined narratives of a territorially coherent society, applying tools related to the spatial (re)distribution of valued resources.

The general aim of this paper is to look more closely at the conceptualisation of territorial cohesion in two distinct periods of modern history. The contemporary operationalisation of the territorial cohesion concept implemented in EU countries will be compared with the central planning doctrines endorsed by socialist regimes. Such an historical excursion could shed light on the currently proclaimed territorial cohesion concept. Special attention will be paid to the political discourse framing the territorial cohesion concept in both of the periods, and particular spatial policies which shape the functional geographies of interdependent places and territories will be examined. The paper indicates that territorial cohesion is an evolving concept deeply rooted in its spatial and political contexts. The comparison then focuses on a Czech case study (the South Moravian Region), as the changing socio-economic and political conditions, as well as the development of relevant planning tools, will be critically explored with the aim of disclosing the scale of conceptual shifts and their imprints on planning practice.

2. The conceptualisation and operationalisation of territorial cohesion

2.1 Territorial cohesion in current EU planning doctrine

Achieving territorial cohesion is currently one of the main objectives of EU regional policy. The territorial dimension was officially attached to the goals of economic and social cohesion by the Lisbon Treaty (EC, 2007b). Thus, today, regional policy represents economic, social and territorial cohesion policy (Cohesion Policy). As a shared competence between EU and its member states, territorial cohesion aims at a coordination of policies with spatial impact to ensure integrated territorial development (Faludi, 2013). From this normative and theoretical perspective, the Territorial Agenda of the EU 2020 defines territorial cohesion as “a set of principles for harmonious, balanced, efficient, sustainable territorial development. It enables equal opportunities for citizens and enterprises, wherever they are located, to make the most of their territorial potentials” (EU Ministers responsible for Spatial Development, 2011, p. 2). Based on this quotation it can be assumed that territorial cohesion is characterised (besides its political nature) by a strong spatial planning dimension that includes an aspect of social and spatial solidarity.

This general and ambiguous definition, however, results in a number of different interpretations and reflections about the sense and relevance of the territorial cohesion concept (see, e.g. Davoudi, 2005; Doucet, 2006; Evers, 2008; Schön, 2005; Servillo, 2010). The most noticeable confusion associated with the concept is a simultaneous promotion of the principle of solidarity and also the competitiveness of European regions and Europe as a whole. In this regard, Waterhout (2007) identifies the storyline “Competitive Europe”, stressing the need for a competitive European territory, which stands in contrast to the traditionally understood meaning of territorial cohesion emerging in the storyline “Europe in Balance”. Given the purpose of this paper, the meaning of territorial cohesion emphasizing balanced development will be used.

With regard to decision-making processes, the objective is to make “both sectoral policies which have a spatial impact and regional policy more coherent” (EC, 2004, p. 27). Additionally, more effective coordination of EU policies, member states’ authorities, private actors, planners and regional or local authorities is required. The system of multi-level governance should be able to manage functioning of various territories and enhance territorial cohesion (Finka and Kluvánková, 2015). Respecting the principle of subsidiarity and the so-called bottom-up approach, vertical and horizontal coordination between decision-making bodies at different levels and sector-related policies is supposed to secure consistency and synergy within the process of achieving territorial cohesion (EU Ministers responsible for Spatial Development, 2011).

Reaching territorial cohesion should be based on an adaptation of development opportunities to the specific characteristics of a particular region. Thus, the diversity of regions is not ignored and is even regarded as a development potential (EC, 2008). Despite the awareness of the unique position and inner structure of each territory (notwithstanding its delimitation), common territorial priorities for the development of the EU have been established by the Territorial Agenda of the EU 2020. From a planning perspective, territorial priorities reflect challenges for territorial development that cover a wide range of fields of interest (from demographic and social challenges to environmental risks and climate change). Consequently, the list of territorial priorities is very complex as well. “Balanced spatial development” is seen as a key element of territorial cohesion and is predominantly associated with the structure of urban systems.

The promotion of “polycentric development” is therefore crucial in terms of avoiding the economic, social and spatial polarisation of human activities (however, supporters of a competitive European territory scenario see polycentric development as a bridging concept of cohesion and competitiveness), although such an assumption lacks empirical verification (Malý, 2016; Veneri and Burgalassi, 2012). One the one hand, the potential of metropolitan areas to generate economic and social prosperity is recognised, and the attractiveness of the largest agglomerations for living, working and investment seems to be unquestionable. One the other hand, territorial cohesion discourse accentuates the complicated position of spatially excluded territories and suggests that “rural, peripheral and sparsely populated territories may need to enhance their accessibility, foster entrepreneurship and build strong local capacities” (EU Ministers responsible for Spatial Development, 2011, p. 7). Realising the importance of “territorial cooperation”, territorial priorities include improvement of “spatial connections” (i.e. transport networks, communication technologies and infrastructure, cross-border relations, etc.) and strengthening "local
economies”. Achieving territorial cohesion should also respect ecological and natural values. In this regard, “protection of ecological systems” is also territorial priority.

Territorial priorities defined by the Territorial Agenda of the EU 2020 should not be viewed as isolated goals. By linking them to strategy Europe 2020, Böhme et al. (2011) identify five territorial keys that can be understood as crucial issues promoted by the territorial cohesion concept: accessibility, SGEI, territorial capacities/endowments/assets, city networking, and functional regions. Based on territorial priorities it can be argued that urban systems and their functioning play a key role in spatial development. Due to the extent of the EU in terms of land area, territorial cohesion is characterized by strong scale-dependency. In the context of urban systems, the role of cities/towns is partially determined by the geographical level at which they act as centres. Nevertheless, according to the concept of polycentricity, centrality stems from nodal positions within the urban network and connections to other localities, irrespective of scale level. Access to centres is thus an essential factor when trying to improve living conditions in disadvantaged areas and to achieve more territorial cohesion (e.g. efficient public transport connecting rural municipalities to local towns, highway networks ensuring relations between regional capitals, or accessibility of the largest metropolitan regions by air transport). Besides transportation accessibility to centres (provision of SGEI and jobs), focus is put on easy access to communication services (broadband, mobile telecommunication) and energy networks.

The principles of territorial cohesion are not ground-breaking. The promotion of balanced spatial development in order to reduce territorial disparities and more evenly distribute economic activities is deeply rooted in European policies. Interest in regional planning at the European level had begun to emerge during the second half of the 1960s. The formation of regional policy and the beginnings of any actual applied principles of cohesion policy, however, can be dated to the 1970s. The establishment of a common regional policy partly related to the implementation of the Common Market, which was unable to balance the differences between regions, and partly to reducing economic disparities before the planned single currency project (George, 1996). With growing inter-regional inequalities due to the expanding membership base of the EU (mainly the post-socialist countries of Central and Eastern Europe), European spatial development has been seen as increasingly important. In the late 1990s, the principles of territorial cohesion began to form during the process of preparing the European Spatial Development Perspective. The promoted model, however, did not represent an innovative strategy in the context of spatial planning. Rather it was inspired by “l’aménagement du territoire”, a French tradition of spatial planning (Faludi, 2004). ‘L’aménagement du territoire’ was developed as a strategic spatial framework designed for eventual intervention by the public administration and was based primarily on a regional economic approach to spatial development (Faludi, 2009). Economic changes in France in the 1960s (partially caused by the loss of the French colonial markets and the lowering of trade barriers within the European Economic Community), the increasing economic domination of Paris (at the expense of most other French regions) and consequently growing differences between the major cities and especially rural regions, were the main factors in adopting l’aménagement du territoire (Burnham, 1999). France, at that time a centrally-governed state, started to apply the policy of balanced spatial development with regard to geographical and social conditions in particular regions.

The concept of territorial cohesion (as well as l’aménagement du territoire) emphasizes the development of disadvantaged areas or territories lagging behind. By supporting equal access to SGEI and jobs, the territorial cohesion policy applies the European social model to spatial planning strategies. Access to SGEI and jobs should be ensured for all citizens irrespective of where they live. Location of residence, economic and social activities and relations between them, are in themselves preconditions for a certain level of territorial cohesion. Thus, the spatiality of everyday human lives is closely linked to general welfare and social status. Promoting territorial cohesion adds a spatial justice dimension to European spatial policy (Davoudi, 2005). It seems that thinking about space has been evolving from economic and technical perceptions of space as a container to a recognition of spatial and social causality, something that Soja (1980) called the socio-spatial dialectic. From the perspective of critical geographies, however, the current political and economic organisation of European space is one of the factors of spatial injustice. In contrast to territorial cohesion discourse, the epistemological concept of spatial justice in itself represents one of the critiques of capitalist economies. But in fact, no matter how truly socially motivated the promotion of territorial cohesion is, the aspect of social solidarity has become an integral part of EU spatial policy.

2.2 Territorial cohesion in socialist planning doctrines up to the 1990s

Socialism can be regarded as a general term for a specific socio-economic and political structure that orders many aspects of societal functioning. Single-party political systems, strong ideological anchoring, state ownership of the means of production (land included), rejection of market principles and a wide preference for collective interests – these are some of the distinctive characteristics of socialist regimes (Musil, 2001; Nedovic-Budic, 2001), when compared with capitalist societies in the period after WW II. In spite of such unifying symptoms of socialist order, however, there were numerous types of socialist societies, a variety of socialist frameworks stemming from specific historical legacies of the pre-socialist periods and from different adaptations of ideological premises to local milieu (Hamilton, 1976). As Musil (2001) points out, the socialist transformation was implemented in countries differing in terms of economic and urban structures, political institutions and cultural models.

The seemingly homogenous space of socialist countries has thus to be grouped into several categories, enabling a proper description of applied planning strategies. Firstly, we can recognize the category of Central East European socialist countries, including East Germany and the former Czechoslovakia, i.e. regions with relatively high levels of pre-socialist industrialisation and urbanisation, as well as Poland and Hungary, representing countries with a heritage of deeper regional disparities. The second distinct group covers the agrarian or semi-agrarian socialist states of south-eastern Europe, including Romania, Bulgaria and the former Yugoslavia. The Soviet Union and the non-European, predominantly developing socialist countries, can be further distinguished as a third or even a fourth category within the outlined classification (Dingsdale, 1999;
Musil, 2001; Sokol, 2001). The research interest here will focus mainly on the category of Central Eastern Europe, but still respecting the strong influence of political and planning paradigms emerging from the Soviet space during the post-war period.

The political systems under the socialist regimes were tightly coupled with the economic and social ones. The interconnectedness was visible in particular in socialist industrialisation which played the important ideological role of a flagship project, introducing not only economic but, at the same time, also social modernisation (Mareš, 1988). It was precisely this strong ideological dimension that made socialist industrialisation so different from other types of industrialisation processes (Szczepański and Furdyna, 1977). Socialist industrialisation was controlled through a strongly hierarchical central command planning system. The national economic strategy defined the basic framework for developing more specific policies for various sectors, and long- and middle-term economic priorities were set up on these decision-making levels to reflect the needs of the national economy as a whole (Hoffmann, 1994; Nedovic-Budic, 2001). The regional policies were generally given a lower priority, at least in the first two decades of socialist industrialisation, which was understood as a comprehensive universal tool diminishing existing regional disparities. Regional plans were formulated as rather auxiliary documents channeling the geographical distribution of nationally-defined planning targets (Enyedi, 1990). The top-level regional documents took the form of urbanisation strategies, which detailed physical arrangements at the nation-wide scale. Their effective design and scope followed to some extent historical legacies and national settlement specificities in their respective countries, as well as the modifications of political regimes since 1940s.

We can recognize several distinct phases of socialist industrialisation, having different impacts in terms of territorial interdependencies and regional disparities (Szczepański and Furdyna, 1977). During the period immediately after WW II and further into 1950s, the major effort was to restore national economies (Malík, 1976). The onset of industrialisation followed the Soviet heavy-industrialisation model, which was not accompanied by specific urbanisation strategies (Enyedi, 1996; Musil, 2001). The discourse of territorial cohesion was embedded primarily at the national scale, echoing the proclaimed equity between the Czech lands and the Slovak territories, as well as the modifications of political regimes since 1940s.

The delimited network of centres was normative and, therefore, the subject of deeper planning interest, as they caused problems both in terms of economic development and in terms of social cohesion. The territorial distribution of new industrial premises, for example, often did not correspond to the potential of local/regional labour markets (Mareš, 1988), resulting in a lack of the required labour force, long-distance commuting to work and emerging demographic imbalances in some industrial centres. Especially in the case of Czechoslovakia and Hungary, the spatial concentration of industry outpaced the tempo of the concentration of population, and this developed indirect urbanisation strongly and complicated the rational distribution of (non-industrial) resources (Musil and Link, 1976). Planning attention thus turned towards urbanisation strategies, promising to set up an optimal equilibrium between economic effectiveness and social goals.

The assignment for such goals can be cited from the Czechoslovak period analytical document: “The basic task of our settlement regulation is to work out how to distribute effectively housing and amenities development in the context of a too scattered settlement structure and how to, at the same time, reach the optimal settlement standards for all inhabitants within the national territory. The only solution is to establish a network of economic, social and cultural centres within the settlement system which will be well accessible on a daily basis, providing economic conditions for the concentration of population. We have to locate new housing and amenities development in these centres.” (Palla et al., 1962, p. 22). The first generation of these urbanisation concepts was developed in Czechoslovakia and Hungary during late 1960s and 1970s, theoretically based on Christaller’s Central Place Theory (Ryšáy et al., 1992). They transferred the cohesion discourse from the national to a regional level, and at the same time they refused the political concept of cities as spatial containers for industrial production. Instead, urbanism was put back into the game through taking broader non-productive and service urban functions into account (Enyedi, 1996; Szelenyi, 1996; Wu, 2003). This approach of “decentralised concentration” (Malik et al., 1968) established the basic territorial framework for the centrally-planned allocation of investments.

The delimited network of centres was normative and, to certain extent, utopian in nature. But by the middle of the 1970s, spontaneous processes had started to change the normatively-given spatial pattern of centres in a significant way (Musil, 2001). Many centres were developing more slowly than intended. In contrast, the hinterlands of some regional centres rapidly strengthened their positions within the national settlement systems. The criticisms of the central-place settlement system came from economic standpoints, together with more realistic analyses of urban processes, and set the stage for the birth of conceptually new urbanisation strategies. These concepts took into account the existence of spontaneous urbanisation processes, as well as the economic and demographic importance of emerging city regions and metropolitan areas (Musil, 2002). City regions (urban agglomerations) represented qualitatively new spatial units within the planning doctrines of those times. They were complex territories integrated through economic, social and transportation linkages, requiring qualitatively new discourse at the time as driven only by economic strategies, applying centralised distributive tools in rather extensive ways while ignoring regional feedbacks.

From the early 1960s the discrepancies between industrialisation strategies and regional policies became the subject of deeper planning interest, as they caused problems both in terms of economic development and in terms of social cohesion. The territorial distribution of new industrial premises, for example, often did not correspond to the potential of local/regional labour markets (Mareš, 1988), resulting in a lack of the required labour force, long-distance commuting to work and emerging demographic imbalances in some industrial centres. Especially in the case of Czechoslovakia and Hungary, the spatial concentration of industry outpaced the tempo of the concentration of population, and this developed indirect urbanisation strongly and complicated the rational distribution of (non-industrial) resources (Musil and Link, 1976). Planning attention thus turned towards urbanisation strategies, promising to set up an optimal equilibrium between economic effectiveness and social goals.

The assignment for such goals can be cited from the Czechoslovak period analytical document: “The basic task of our settlement regulation is to work out how to distribute effectively housing and amenities development in the context of a too scattered settlement structure and how to, at the same time, reach the optimal settlement standards for all inhabitants within the national territory. The only solution is to establish a network of economic, social and cultural centres within the settlement system which will be well accessible on a daily basis, providing economic conditions for the concentration of population. We have to locate new housing and amenities development in these centres.” (Palla et al., 1962, p. 22). The first generation of these urbanisation concepts was developed in Czechoslovakia and Hungary during late 1960s and 1970s, theoretically based on Christaller’s Central Place Theory (Ryšáy et al., 1992). They transferred the cohesion discourse from the national to a regional level, and at the same time they refused the political concept of cities as spatial containers for industrial production. Instead, urbanism was put back into the game through taking broader non-productive and service urban functions into account (Enyedi, 1996; Szelenyi, 1996; Wu, 2003). This approach of “decentralised concentration” (Malik et al., 1968) established the basic territorial framework for the centrally-planned allocation of investments.

The delimited network of centres was normative and, to certain extent, utopian in nature. But by the middle of the 1970s, spontaneous processes had started to change the normatively-given spatial pattern of centres in a significant way (Musil, 2001). Many centres were developing more slowly than intended. In contrast, the hinterlands of some regional centres rapidly strengthened their positions within the national settlement systems. The criticisms of the central-place settlement system came from economic standpoints, together with more realistic analyses of urban processes, and set the stage for the birth of conceptually new urbanisation strategies. These concepts took into account the existence of spontaneous urbanisation processes, as well as the economic and demographic importance of emerging city regions and metropolitan areas (Musil, 2002). City regions (urban agglomerations) represented qualitatively new spatial units within the planning doctrines of those times. They were complex territories integrated through economic, social and transportation linkages, requiring qualitatively new
definitions of cohesive territorial arrangements. Accepting the ‘universal’ nature of urbanisation processes, the socialist planning doctrines were weakened in their normative stance. The detailed physical planning approach was slightly re-oriented towards the employment of more integrated spatial planning tools. The delimitation of “preferred urbanisation axes” and “integrated urbanised areas” (Sulkiewicz et al., 1981) contextualised the cohesion concept in a more relational way.

2.3 Comparative scheme

Territorial cohesion discourses are primarily contextualized by political and economic systems and by their instances in certain periods of time. While EU spatial development strategies have evolved in democratic societies characterised by a free market environment and the rapid qualitative increase of communication technologies and overall individual mobility, the previous socialist planning approach was based on a totally different political regime, characterised by strong central governance and a limited role for local authorities in spatial planning processes. With respect to the territorial cohesion concept, however, the normative principles of contemporary European spatial planning policy and those of socialist planning doctrines exhibit similar features concerning the aims and priorities of cohesive spatial development. Although there were different underlying ‘raisons d’être’ for the spatialisation of socio-economic political narratives, the idea of balanced spatial development represents the common aspect of both planning approaches: the excessive concentration of (economic) activities should be counterweighed by the development of lagging areas that are disadvantaged in terms of access to resources. The principle of socio-spatial solidarity is thus embedded in both planning doctrines as a way towards a more just or effectively a more balanced spatial arrangement.

From a spatial planning perspective, the political goal of balanced spatial development is achieved via specific interventions into the functioning of a settlement system, attempting to counterbalance the uneven distribution of resources. The planning action is thus oriented mainly toward the support of the settlements centres outside of the economically most advanced areas. Generally, small and medium-sized towns are frequent objects of planning interventions in order to create a stable network of centres which would ensure the efficient use of their strengths, through coordinated cooperation (EC, 2008). According to EU spatial policy, cooperation between regional and local centres by the sharing of functions and provisioning of services contributes to less territorial concentration and more balanced development (EC, 2007a). Similarly, socialist central planning emphasised the role of centres in which basic public amenities are concentrated and where residents of particular hinterlands can satisfy their claims and rights to education, health care, social care, etc. (Musil, 2001). These centres should be spatially distributed as evenly as possible. In spite of distinctive urban system theories which serve as a framework for settings of spatial planning strategies and the delimitation of centres (see the empirical part of this work, below), a focus on daily-based access to services and jobs characterises both planning traditions.

There is a strong de-concentration bias underlying the normative discourse of contemporary European spatial planning, as well as in the ideologically-framed socialist doctrines. Planned de-concentration, however, often conflicts with the more spontaneous concentrateive nature of many social and economic processes. Although de-concentration of economic activities is one of the main aims of current EU territorial cohesion policy, the impact of metropolitan regions in terms of global competitiveness and their role in economic development is considered as crucial (EU Ministers responsible for Spatial Development, 2011). Highly-urbanised areas enjoy agglomeration economies, the advantages of clustering particular activities, easier access to higher education and health or social care facilities, etc. Consequently, “this is reflected in the high level of GDP per head, productivity, employment and research and innovation activity relative to the national average in capital cities and in most other densely populated conurbations” (EC, 2008, p. 5). Under socialist central planning policy, metropolitan and suburban processes were limited due to the equalising and regulatory approach to spatial development (Hampl, 2005). Even such a strongly restrictive planning strategy, however, was not able to hide the specific functioning of the largest urban areas. Reflecting the strength of regional agglomerations, socialist planners realised the imperfection of administrative spatial boundaries and the importance of complex territorial frameworks including broader spatial relations. Thus, the concentration of people and economic activities into growth poles (especially industrial agglomerations) gained its conceptual utilisation, leading to an increasing focus on highly urbanised areas (Musil, 2001). A certain duality in the planning paradigms spanning between concentration and de-concentration benefits, can be thus pointed out as a feature inherent in both doctrines under study.

2.4 Case study methodology

Having compared socialist and contemporary territorial cohesion discourses, we can argue that they share significant common features. The similarities can be found mainly in the spatio-political normative narratives framing the planning goals. What still remains unclear, however, is the extent to which these narratives are (and were) reflected in analytical practices of spatial planning. The current principles of EU spatial policy are translated into national spatial development strategies and planning tools. In the Czech Republic, the empirical focus of this paper, the form of spatial planning documents follows the general framework set by the Spatial Development Policy of the Czech Republic: “…a planning tool that sets up requirements and frameworks for detailed specification of planning tasks” (MMR, 2015a, p. 11). As a national document, the Spatial Development Policy concerns the issues of cohesion at a rather general level, particularly accenting the integrated development of cities and regions (reflecting spontaneous concentrateive processes within metropolitan areas), as well as the polycentric organisation of the settlement system (reflecting the normatively-defined goal of balanced spatial development). The general framework set by the Spatial Development Policy is developed into more concrete goals and measures by the Spatial Development Principles. This is the spatial planning document at the regional level and it must respect the Spatial Development Policy in order to ensure the vertically-binding interconnection of spatial planning documents. These documents (together with ad hoc studies of regional settlement structure) provide the information about analytical procedures that are based on the discourse on territorial cohesion.
Correspondingly, socialist documents on spatial development serve as the source for understanding the practical application of socialist central planning principles. The structure of the historical planning documents under study is analogous, in many ways, to that of the contemporary materials. The documents produced by the state Research Institute of Construction and Architecture were examined to interpret knowledge of planning measures at the national scale. The document “Principles and Standards of Physical Planning” (VÚVA, 1979) played the role of an historical counterpart to the contemporary Spatial Development Policy document. Analogically, the Physical Plan of the Brno Settlement Regional Agglomeration (Terplan, 1985) provided information concerning reflections of socialist national-wide policies in this specific regional context.

Reviewing spatial planning documents, comparing analytical approaches and their impact on the spatial arrangements of territories, this empirical study reveals the parallels and dissimilarities of EU and socialist spatial planning. The starting point for the empirical analysis deals with the national-scale level, in an effort to compare patterns of normatively-defined territories, where intensive development is (was) expected to take place. The first step in the analysis is based on the planning policies coping with concentration processes. We argue, that the socialist map of “growth poles” (urban regional agglomerations) is very similar to the contemporary normative delimitation of metropolitan regions. The (dis)similarity of policies intended to even out spatial imbalances is examined at the regional level in the second stage of this empirical analysis. This stage follows the normatively-planned de-concentration measures. Because the lower hierarchical level was crucial for the application of socialist de-concentration policies, the study region (namely the South Moravian Region – NUTS3) was established as the basic spatial unit for this part of the study. It was selected primarily due to the structure of its settlement system, including a variety of centres in terms of population size and economic importance, and hence it serves as a relevant model when describing urban hierarchies. The planned structures of the urban centres in the 1980s and the situation at present can now be compared.

The South Moravian Region is situated in the south-eastern part of the country and is characterised by high economic potential, especially given by the strong position of its regional capital Brno in the national economy (see Fig. 1). Moreover, its strategic location stems from its proximity to the metropolitan regions of Prague, Vienna and Bratislava. Regarding the spatial relations and functioning of its settlement system, Brno plays a key role as the administrative, economic and cultural centre of the region (Mulíček and Toušek, 2004; Kunc et al., 2012). The importance of Brno (380,000 inhabitants) is further increased by the relatively small sizes of other centres (approximately 35,000 inhabitants of the second largest city Znojmo), and its central position with reference to spatial context and routing of transport infrastructure (Kraft et al., 2014).

Fig. 1: Geographical location of the South Moravian Region. Source: ČSÚ (2014); authors’ elaboration

3. Empirical analysis and findings

3.1 National level – urban regional agglomerations and the metropolitan areas

Socialist urbanisation strategies were characterised by a continuous evolution of the normative approaches to spatial development. The first theoretically-based conceptions were questioned and modified by approaches emphasising spontaneous urban processes and the importance of highly-urbanised areas. With respect to analytical planning practices, the goal of decentralised concentration was initially expressed by the so-called “central settlement system”. The insufficient ability of the central settlement system to react to natural urban processes gave rise to strategies taking into account relatively spontaneous concentrative metropolitan processes. In order to regulate these urbanisation trends, urban regional agglomerations were delimited at the national planning level. They were conceptualised from the late 1970s as the elementary backbones of the national settlement system. The spatial delimitation of urban regional agglomerations is depicted, together with the metropolitan regions which were delimited as the target areas of integrated territorial investments (with respective to integrated development territorial plans), in 2014 (see Fig. 2).
Despite the long historical gap in development (these two distinct layers of metropolitan regions/agglomerations are almost 40 years distant from each other), there are just minor changes of overall geographic pattern. The number of delimited metropolitan regions is slightly higher in 2014 when compared with the 1976 proposal, as the Northern Bohemia urbanised belt was divided into two polycentric metropolitan regions and the Mladá Boleslav region emerged driven by the presence of a strong economic actor (Škoda Auto). Having accounted for the changes in spatial extent (which are sizable in the case of some metropolitan regions when compared to the socialist proposal), no other major structural variances which would distinguish the geographic logic of both delimitations are observed.

The question then is how much the similarity of spatial patterns stems from the affinity of socialist and contemporary planning discourses. The urban regional agglomerations were delimited during the 1970s as a kind of planning response to the gradual and rather spontaneous emergence of complicated inter-urban relations in the hinterlands of large Czech cities. These territories emerged from the traditional conceptualisation of local daily-urban systems organised through flows-to-work in secondary sectors. The VÚVA period analytical documents (1979) point out the functional division of labour developing between particular towns and municipalities within agglomerations. In particular, the rise of employment in the tertiary sector in metropolitan cores formed a qualitatively new spatial configuration. The analytical and planning discourse thus had to shift from quantitative urbanisation issues towards a more integrative approach able to grasp the functional diversity of urban regional agglomerations.

The socialist integration discourse was different from contemporary concepts of integrative planning, however. It understood agglomerations as urban systems with an internal hierarchy of particular centres and municipalities. Different functions and development strategies were normatively assigned to them in order to reach a desirable development of the agglomeration as a whole. Although there were several proclaimed targets of planning measures (among them environmental, infrastructural and facilities issues), the coordination of economic and housing policies was of the highest priority. As the extent of sprawling suburbanisation was restricted during socialism, the spatial balance between normatively allocated production and housing functions was one of the most important planning goals within urban regional agglomerations.

The political and planning narratives at the base of the delimitation of present-day metropolitan regions differ in terms of scale. In contrast to the situation in the 1970s, there is a strong embeddedness of national planning actions in European political discourse. Re-territorialisation, as well as the re-scaling of regional policies and planning measures, have become emerging issues within this discourse (MMR, 2015b). Bearing in mind the socio-economic significance of European metropolitan regions, it is not surprising that urban/metropolitan dimensions receive the foreground of planning attention. Thirteen metropolitan regions were identified in the Czech Republic in 2014, ordered in two hierarchical levels – the metropolitan areas of Integrated Territorial Investments (ITI), and urban agglomerations of Integrated Plans of Territorial Development (IPRU). As mentioned above, the 'top-down' delimitation of socialist
urban regional agglomerations is similar to the rather ‘bottom-up’ delimitation (there was no binding national methodology), managed at the regional scale.

Disregarding the internal hierarchy, these metropolitan regional agglomerations not only in terms of spatial delimitation but also in terms of political grounding. Just as in Czechoslovakian socialist planning discourse, they represent shifting scale, singularities emanating from existing spatial planning categories; they are proposed as the areas where integrated planning tools are to be applied, joining sector-based planning measures and financial schemes under a single strategic framework. The strategic documents giving reasons for the purpose of individual metropolitan regions employ concepts and issues highly comparable with socialist narratives. They respect the extraordinary (economic) position of urban cores, as well as the transforming nature of secondary metropolitan centres. Contemporary metropolitan plans, however, do not attempt to prescribe fixed functions to the metropolitan centres, as the initial ethos of socialist planning was substituted by a more networked and participatory approach. The metropolitan areas were considered in both periods under study as growth poles, where special planning measures had to be employed in order to ensure economic performance and, at the same time, internal coherence.

### 3.2 Regional level – urban centres

Besides realising natural concentrative tendencies, socialist nation-wide spatial policies applied the approach of “decentralised concentration”, relevant especially at lower hierarchical levels. At the regional level, a strictly normative approach to delimitations of centres (the initial definition of the central settlement system from the 1960s) was relaxed in the 1980s. Although the places of concentration of human and economic resources were still seen as growth poles, their potential to generate economic profit was tightly connected to their specific spatial, economic and social advantages supporting concentration tendencies (Terplan, 1981). As a result, the revised concept of the socialist settlement system was based on the delimitation of a hierarchical settlement system reflecting the main functions of potential centres and the broader spatial context.

In the 1980s, centres were defined by using information about a settlement’s functions and its regional significance (Terplan, 1985). The importance of centres was generally based on two indicators. The primary characteristic concerned the main types of residential, job and service functions. The second and rather additional indicator assessed the regional significance of centres by comparing the size of its respective micro-regions. A criterion of minimum functional size was adopted to determine settlement centres. In some relevant cases, a centre was represented by the organic integrity of more than one municipality. In other words, intensive mutual relations between settlement centres, expressed by mutual work commuting flows, served as a supplementary indicator to determine the final list of 338 centres (from 7,511 municipalities in 1970) at the national level, and 43 centres in the case of the South Moravian Region (in its present delimitation).

Using the two previously-mentioned indicators, the defined centres were divided into four main categories (see Tab. 1). The first category (A) represents basic settlement centres characterised by a low frequency of units and a large inner differentiation of the significance of centres. Besides the capital Prague, which is the only macro-regional centre, this category includes meso-regional centres with distinctive levels of significance. Basic settlement centres are predominantly centres and other larger settlements of the highest-level administrative units – regions – in their former delimitation. Secondary settlement centres (B) are micro-regional centres with relatively great importance for their hinterlands. Supplementary settlement centres (C) are micro-regional centres typified by looser relations between functions of centres and their regional significance and by more variability in a centre’s development potential. Spatial context and other features concerning position within the settlement system are important for planning intentions. Other settlement centres (D) play the role of sub-regional centres with local significance.

With respect to the principles of the current territorial cohesion policy, the basis for regional spatial planning policies in the Czech Republic is represented by the Spatial Development Principles (USB, 2015) and the Territorial Study of Settlement Structure (UAD Studio, 2014). In

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Settlement centres</th>
<th>Hierarchical level</th>
<th>Sub-categories</th>
<th>Number (SMR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>Macro-regional</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meso-regional</td>
<td>A1 strong</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2 medium</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A3 weak</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>Micro-regional</td>
<td>B1 very strong</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B2 strong</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B3 medium strong</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Supplementary</td>
<td>Micro-regional</td>
<td>C1 medium</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C2 weak</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C3 very weak</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Sub-regional</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Tab. 1: Categories of centres based on their function and regional significance (in 1985) and their presence in the South Moravian Region (SMR)
Source: Terplan (1985); authors’ calculations*
the case of the South Moravian Region, a municipality with production and service potential is understood as a centre (UAD Studio, 2014). Centrality is thus determined essentially by potential job opportunities, causing work in-commuting flows, and by the potential of service functions affecting in-commuting flows in terms of different types of services (retail, cultural facilities, social care, health care, administrative functions, etc.). Moreover, the importance of residential functions is also considered. Nevertheless, a purely quantitative approach including evaluation of the occurrence and prevalence of specific types of services or functions is not applied. Besides quantitative indicators (static and dynamic), settlement context and the embeddedness of a specific centre in broader functional relationships are taken into account.

Focusing on the delimitation made in 2014, the final number of centres in the South Moravian region is 54 (from 647 municipalities). The regional capital Brno is identified as a supra-regional centre (the only one in the region). Then, there are regional centres, sub-regional centres, micro-regional centres, and local centres (see Tab. 2). The hierarchical categorisation is complemented by the positional typology of each centre, however. In this context, a centre could be the core of Brno metropolitan region (BMR), situated within BMR (strict and looser delimitation), part of another agglomeration, networked with other centres, autonomous, or a periphery centre.

In general, methodological approaches to the delimitation of centres in both time periods show similar features. Primarily, both analyses are based on quantitative methodology concerning jobs and the services and residential functions of municipalities. Although this could result from the limited availability of municipal data, the focus on jobs and service functions is a traditional way to identify settlement centres. Secondly, the position and significance of centres within the settlement system is based on horizontal linkages and the potential integrity of particular territories. But, in fact, emphasising the importance of relational aspects with regard to the identification of centres is a typical concern of current analytical approaches. Thirdly, although the comparison of results could be problematic due to different scales and methods employed in both analyses, the distribution pattern of centres varies to a smaller extent and the main centres preserve their importance (see Fig. 3). The categories of centres determined in 1985 have been assigned to the nine categories created in 2014. A regional analysis from 2014, however, defines a large number of categories and thus the comparison should not be overestimated. It serves especially as a graphical visualisation and summary of the principal outcomes of the empirical investigation.

4. Discussion and conclusions

This paper examined territorial cohesion discourses characteristic for spatial planning doctrines in two historically distinctive periods of time. Comparing the current territorial cohesion concept pervading EU Cohesion policy and the spatial planning strategies at lower geographical (administrative) levels with socialist planning doctrine in the Czech Republic, the work reveals remarkable similarities not only in the spatio-political normative narratives but also in analytical practices of spatial planning mechanisms. In spite of ideological contradictions between both spatial planning doctrines emphasising social solidarity within spatial contexts, there is a shared principle of spatial development strategies. Uneven development is thus understood as a consequence in part of spatial inequalities resulting from various levels of territorial potential. Such a common ground is essential for the subsequent interpretation of particular narratives and analytical procedures.

The EU territorial cohesion concept could be understood as a political goal and also as a tool designed to ensure ‘spatial’ solidarity across the EU territory. Despite several attempts to clarify the concept (e.g. Faludi, 2004; Servillo, 2010), its multidimensional character does not allow a simple definition. The abstract meaning of the concept becomes clearer when territorial cohesion is reflected in spatial planning strategies and the structure of urban systems is questioned. In that case, supporting small and medium-sized towns as local centres, as well as metropolitan regions as growth poles of the EU and national economies, is a typical practical application of the territorial cohesion concept. Natural concentration processes increase the importance of the largest agglomerations while

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Settlement centres (hierarchical level)</th>
<th>Sub-categories</th>
<th>Number (SMR)</th>
<th>Positional typology*</th>
<th>Category (1985 delimitation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Supra-regional</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>I.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>B2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>II.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sub-regional</td>
<td>I.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>b, c, f</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>II.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>c, e, f</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Micro-regional</td>
<td>I.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>a, c, d, e, f</td>
<td>C3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>II.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>c, d, f, g</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>I.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>b, c, d, f, g</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>II.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>b, c, d, f</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>54</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2: Categories of centres based on production and service potential (in 2014) and their presence in the South Moravian Region (SMR). Source: UAD Studio (2014); authors’ calculations
Note: *a = the core of the Brno Metropolitan Region (BMR); b = within BMR (strict delimitation); c = within BMR (looser delimitation); d = part of other agglomeration; e = networked with other centres; f = autonomous; g = periphery centre
peripheral localities experience dramatic losses of population and economic power. A territorial cohesion policy aims at overcoming territorial disparities by finding solutions to the adverse situations of disadvantaged regions (EC, 2008). Similarly, the goal of socialist central planning was to eliminate economic and social disparities between cities and rural areas (Malík, 1976). The issue of spontaneous concentration (or de-concentration) processes was purposely mitigated (and ignored) in the initial phase of the socialist period in Czechoslovakia. To a certain extent, however, the ‘failure’ of the central settlement system approach enabled the formation of a more complex and integrated approach to spatial development. The realisation of the economic significance and specific functioning of urban regional agglomerations was a first step to modify the previous strict normative planning strategy into a more contextualised approach, respecting the distinctive qualitative nature of specific spatial units. Thus, both territorial cohesion discourses are characterized by a relatively substantial concentration/de-concentration duality.

Reviewing the analytical procedures and outcomes of both spatial planning doctrines we can argue that spatial pattern of agglomerations/metropolitan areas in the Czech Republic has not changed in a significant way. Nevertheless, the socialist approach was based on a slightly different understanding of urban agglomerations: although an agglomeration consisted of several spatial units, linkages between them were planned as vertical policies with the focus on coordination of economic development and housing. On the other hand, the current delimitation of ITI metropolitan regions respects increasing de-concentration processes, the functional specialisation of secondary centres emerging in mutual horizontal linkages between the spatial units, and the growing importance of the core city or entire metropolitan region for more distant municipalities (i.e. the larger area of ITI metropolitan regions as a consequence). Regardless of distinct internal processes, metropolitan areas are seen as specific spaces (territories in EU rhetoric) with great impacts on national (European) development, especially in terms of economic prosperity, and as units exceeding traditional administrative boundaries and requiring integrated planning tools.

Information about the analytical elaboration of the normatively-defined goal of supporting small and medium-sized towns is provided by the delimitation of centres at the regional level. The socialist delimitation worked with the absolute importance of centres (defined by jobs, service and residential functions), and the broader context including relations with surrounding municipalities played only a supporting role. Greater emphasis is put on the capability of centres to create their own catchment areas in the current delimitation. Due to the enormous stability of settlement systems, however, and despite transformation processes in the Czech Republic in the 1990s, the outcomes of both delimitations show a considerable degree of accordance. With regard to the type of centres in terms of their functions and desired (planned) development, any contradictory distinction between socialist and current spatial planning is mainly based on related economic systems and modes of production.

In the case of centres located in peripheral and rural areas, socialist planners accentuated agricultural functions with a strictly defined hierarchy depending on specific localisation related to agricultural land and potential consumption. The development of other centres was closely linked with industrialisation and agricultural mass production (Malík, 1976). Today, the centrality of peripheral centres is related to a broader spectrum of functions and activities and is more dependent on the position of the centre within the urban network.

In terms of spatial planning policies, the territorial cohesion concept does not represent a completely new spatial planning strategy, at least in the former socialist countries and especially in the Czech Republic. In spite...
of different ideological backgrounds, planned balanced spatial development is typical for both territorial cohesion discourses. As a common objective, spontaneous concentration processes should be counterbalanced by the growing prosperity of peripheral and rural regions. While socialist policies aimed at ensuring prosperity by direct investments in the production functions in central settlements, current EU regional policy intends to enhance the adverse situations of peripheral areas by strengthening local entrepreneurship, especially through the investments in the form of subsidies from the EU structural funds. The centralist top-down approach of socialist spatial planning has been replaced by a more decentralised system, characterised by a certain level of autonomous decisions concerning the spatial development of particular territories and a more limited power of the state apparatus. In contrast with the socialist regime, contemporary spatial planning policy is applied within a distinctive socio-economic context: a free market environment; international trade; and globalisation influences. In this matter, the EU goal of territorial cohesion seems to play the role of a socially-motivated ‘rescuer’ of areas not profiting from the capitalist economic system. As natural concentration processes continue, however, with the increasing importance of the largest agglomerations and metropolitan regions projected in the support of growth poles (ITI), one can seriously doubt improved cohesion for the most disadvantaged areas. This leads us to essential questions concerning the functioning mechanisms of a capitalist economy tightly connected to the concentration of wealth, production or even ideas into a relatively small number of key development centres.

Learning from the mistakes of socialist spatial planning associated mainly with the partial ignoring of regional and local specificities, current European spatial planning policies should be aware of the problems related to the strict following of normative concepts and grand narratives. Urbanisation processes emerge in a rather natural (or at least politico-economic) way, and thus spatial planning practices should be based on complex and integrated planning concepts and instruments. Instead of a non-effective application of a normatively-defined spatial redistribution of centres, contemporary territorial cohesion discourse places an emphasis on the advantages resulting from spatial diversity and the particularities of unique localities. Nevertheless, EU territorial cohesion policies build on grand narratives, including access to SGEI, polycentricity, or territorial capital with the purpose of continuous economic prosperity. Territorial cohesion practice should not be limited only to a growth and competitiveness rhetoric, but rather the regional diversities stemming from the varieties of European territories should be brought to the forefront of interest. In the context of the negative historical experiences of the former socialist European countries with central planning mechanisms, skepticism towards top-down spatial planning equalising policies is a legitimate concern. As a multi-scalar and multidimensional concept, territorial cohesion attempts to grasp all of the issues linked with regional development without a real awareness of the complicated realisation of this task with respect to the site-specific character of spatial inequalities. In this regard, understanding territorial cohesion as a place-based approach, even though it disregards to some extent the complexity of local development and requires different scenarios and practices in different spaces, seems to be a crucial interjection in order to move forward the effectiveness and comprehension of the territorial cohesion concept.
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Are there differences in the attractiveness of shopping centres? Experiences from the Czech and Slovak Republics

Josef KUNC a *, František KRIŽAN b, Kristína BILKOVÁ b, Peter BARLÍK b, Jaroslav MARYÁŠ a

Abstract
The measurement and evaluation of the attractiveness of shopping centres in the Czech and the Slovak Republics is examined in this paper, countries which had experienced seventy years of development within a single state. The methodological basis for measuring the attractiveness of 130 shopping centres is an evaluation of the factors that can be described as objective (exogenous and endogenous) and subjective (in vivo and in vitro approach). An aggregate indicator of the overall attractiveness of each shopping centre was computed as a combination of the sub-variables. Based on previous international studies, the factors (variables influencing attractiveness) that are typical for shopping malls anywhere in the world, as well as for the original specific information for the Czech-Slovak retail environment, enable a generalization of the results at least to the East Central European level, and to carry out a comparison with any other market environment.
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1. Introduction
The phenomenon of shopping centres is probably the most significant manifestation of current retail business in both the Czech and the Slovak Republic. We mean not only the frequently hard-to-overlook physical appearance, but especially the social and cultural phenomena of shopping centres, which has modified long-established patterns of shopping behaviours and shopping customs of the majority of the population (Grosmanová et al., 2015; Križan, 2009; Križan et al., 2014; Kita and Grosmanová, 2014; Kunc et al., 2013; Spilková, 2012a, 2012b; Timothy, 2005).

The main role of retailing, the sales of goods and services to final consumers, has been transforming into its contemporary format for several decades. Continually accelerating globalisation and internationalisation trends are reflected in hurried and hectic ways of life and lack of time (Giddens, 2002). The new dimensions of large-area chain stores and shopping centres have not only pushed the formerly traditional forms of retail shopping out of the attention of shoppers (Szczyrba, 2005), but shopping centres have replaced to a large extent traditional public spaces with everything that belongs in them (Cooper, 2007; Jackson et al., 2011; van Leeuwen, Rietveld, 2011; Voyce, 2006). Many commercial and non-commercial functions (e.g. catering, post offices, banks, medical offices, etc.) have gradually “moved over” from individual municipal districts to the shopping centres. As indicated by Spilková and Hocheľ (2009) and Pospěch (2010), shopping centres became one of the key bearers of changes in the consumer societies of post-socialist countries.

If we follow the relationship between shopping and place of purchase, we find that it does not always have to serve the economic reasons of the rational consumer. This disproves the previously-accepted opinions that a consumer prefers minimal mobility for shopping and behaves entirely economically, as indicated in the earlier previous research by Rushton (1969) and Potter (1979). Later work showed that the consumers choose their place of purchases according to other factors, e.g. choice of goods, good service, services,
size, cleanliness, atmosphere, shops, and the level of the attractiveness of a shopping place. Experience shows that people often do not respect the logic of economic thinking and they do not follow strictly economic aspects. As reported by Wallsley and Lewis (1984), if a large modern business centre, offering high-quality services, a wide range of goods, good prices, etc. was built in a certain town, not all people around would do their shopping in it. On the contrary, it would be possible to observe shoppers from relatively long-distance locations. It turns out that shopping is influenced by many factors varying in time and space, and that it is a relatively complicated social phenomenon.

Thus, consumer behaviours cannot be simplified and summarized in some general model. They are continually shaped by the influences of specific changes in the retail sector and in retail networks. Golledge and Stimson (1997) and Spilková (2003) describe the formation of the process of shopping behaviour in economies of transformation as a transition between the phases of the organisation of society and the economy, i.e. the transition from socialism through a transitional phase to the market economies. Shopping behaviour is not just a repeating unchanging activity, but it is going through processes of forming. A consumer goes through the process of space searching, before collecting the necessary information about retail opportunities, so that s/he can subsequently exclude those that are unfavourable unattractive ones.

Modern malls became “worlds in themselves” (Crawford, 1992), comprised of shopping services as well as social and cultural activities (Kunc et al., 2012a, 2012c), and people like to “gravitate” (Wolf, 2003) towards these “magnets”. The objective of this paper is to measure the attractiveness of the shopping centres in the Czech Republic and Slovakia, with an emphasis on exogenous (localization) and endogenous (operation and assortment) factors, and a summary (subjective) measure of centre attractiveness for potential visitors. The methodological approach is based on numerous examples of similar empirical studies dealing with the different ways of measuring the attractiveness of shopping centres. On the other hand, the specifics of the Czech-Slovak retail environment introduce an original element to the study of attractiveness. The data and information on the two case study countries are not bounded determinants, but they are shifting the results to implications and generalisation. Moreover, the variables used (factors determining attractiveness) are so typical of studies of retail centres and people like to “gravitate” (Wolf, 2003) towards these “magnets”.

The factors of “accessibility” and “parking” are important as they reduce the cost and time of travel, as the closer they are, the fewer trips are required (Ghosh, 1986). Research has shown that larger shopping centres offering free car parking are often perceived as more attractive than traditional town centres (Timmermans, 1996; Teller and Reutterer, 2008). More specifically, a manager’s willingness and ability to collaborate and thereby cross boundaries to other stores (shopping centres) is the important factor.

2. The attractiveness of shopping centres

Shopping centres or retail outlets generally compete with each other for customers. They are trying to attract clients with a range of shops and services, entertainment and various events, as well as new channels of sales and place marketing (Teller and Elms, 2012; Warnaby et al., 2005). As claimed by Finn and Louviere (1996, p. 241), most research that has collected image ratings data for shopping centres has studied a limited number of centres and analysed the dimensionality of the image data across consumers. But, from a management perspective, it is not clear why shopping centre managers would be concerned about the dimensionality of image (in this case attractiveness) when the analysis is conducted using a sample of consumers. From a manager’s perspective, it may be more important to identify centre characteristics that determine the image of the shopping centres in their market. Teller et al. (2015) see the managers as key to unlocking potential and consequently building up a competitive advantage for the network and its nodes. More specifically, a manager’s willingness and ability to collaborate and thereby cross boundaries to other stores (shopping centres) is the important factor.

All of these as well as other characteristics of retail create its attractiveness. Therefore, the issue of the attractiveness of shopping centres has gained the attention of the academic community as well as practice in recent years, as evidenced by the number of expert studies (e.g. Arentz and Timmermans 2001; Awang et al., 2013; Burns and Warren, 1995; Děbek, 2015; Guy, 1998; Lusch and Serpkenci, 1990; Teller and Alexander, 2014; Teller and Reutterer, 2008; Teller and Elms, 2010).

The attractiveness of shopping centres is influenced by many characteristics, which can be divided into four groups (Teller and Reutterer, 2008): i) site-related factors; ii) tenant-related factors; iii) environment-related factors; and, iv) the buying situation-related factors. These groups of factors include a wide variety of more specific factors (Děbek, 2015; Micu, 2013; Teller, 2008; Teller and Elms, 2010, 2012, and others).

The factors of “accessibility” and “parking” are important in the group of site-related factors. In general, we can say that the attractiveness of a shopping centre decreases with distance (accessibility) to the centre (Dennis et al., 2002a). Retail agglomerations are attractive for consumers because they reduce the cost and time of travel, as the closer they are, the fewer trips are required (Ghosh, 1986). Research has shown that larger shopping centres offering free car parking are often perceived as more attractive than traditional town centres (Timmermans, 1996; Teller and Reutterer, 2015). Moreover, the availability of public transportation near to shopping centres may influence the choice of place of purchase (Ibrahim and McGillodrick, 2003). The parking possibilities also influence the comfort of purchase (Alzubaidi et al., 1997). A study by Marjan (1995) points out that parking facilities, a large shopping area and the availability of more diversified goods influence shopping. On
the other hand, the significance of the factor of parking has been discussed, as some studies question the impact of this factor on retail turnover (cf. Mingardo and Meerkerk, 2012; van der Waerden, 1998).

The second tenant-related group involves two groups of factors (Teller and Reutterer, 2008). The first group of factors represents “mix of retail-tenants” and the second “mix of non-retail tenants”, such as gastronomy and entertainment facilities (bars, restaurants or cinemas) (Garg and Steyn, 2014; Wakefield and Baker, 1998). Tenant mix (retail and non-retail) affects the success of the mall, because a proper tenant mix can attract more patrons and thus increase the sales of retailers (Abrate et al., 1985). On the other hand, it should be noted that an appropriately selected tenant mix can cause some synergistic effects (Mejia and Apple, 1999). It can also be due to the fact that “anchor stores” attract the highest or a higher share of customers in comparison with other smaller retail tenants (Levy and Weitz, 2006). As claimed by Bean et al. (1988), the concept of an ideal tenant mix has not yet been formulated, which provides reasons for further research in this area (Garg and Steyn, 2014; Plálík and Abrudan, 2013). Generally, this group of factors is considered to be that with the highest relative importance (Teller, 2008).

The environment-related factors in the third group include factors such as “orientation” and “ambience” (Teller and Reutterer, 2008), but mainly “atmosphere” (Wakefield and Baker, 1998). Atmosphere is the first and sometimes the most important factor affecting the attractiveness of a shopping centre (cf. Turley and Milliman, 2000). As Teller et al. (2010) noted, the tenant mix and the atmosphere are the most important influencing factors. More specifically, the effects of retail tenant mix are strongest where there is a direct influence on the three endogenous factors. Atmosphere has a direct effect on satisfaction and retention proneness, with patronage intention being only indirectly affected. On the other hand, it should be noted that analysis of the attractiveness in terms of atmosphere is not clearly given, since the research concepts are diverse (Děbek, 2015).

The last group of factors is represented by the buying situation-related factors. This is a subjective factor evaluated from an individual’s point of view and includes two factors (Teller and Reutterer, 2008): the perceived ‘distance’ between the starting point of a specific trip and the destination of choice; and, the perceived importance of a shopping trip, measured in terms of an individual’s ‘involvement’.

The attractiveness of a shopping centre can be measured by various methods, normally thought of as two approaches. The first of them utilizes primarily quantitative methods based on interaction models (Reilly, 1931; Huff, 1963). In the field of retail marketing, studies evaluating the attractiveness of retail locations have been classified by Teller (2008) in the following research streams:
1. approaches based on spatial interaction theory;
2. models of retail attraction based on random utility theory; and,
3. multiplicative competitive interaction models.

Such models refer to the establishment of “objective” criteria for attractiveness in terms of retail consumer perceptions. The second group is represented by methods evaluating the attractiveness to consumers primarily by applying more qualitative methodologies such as interviews and questionnaires, in particular in-home interviews or telephone surveys (Teller and Reutterer, 2008). While the first group of methods has a dominantly spatial character in an effort to determine the boundaries of the impact of retail units, the second group has a socio-economic nature in order to tackle place marketing.

3. Methods and data

Evaluation of the attractiveness of shopping centres is based on the preferences of consumers as the main factor determining attractiveness in many studies (McGoldrick and Thompson, 1992; Oppewal et al., 2006; Teller, 2008). Retail attraction research can be categorized as two approaches (Teller and Reutterer, 2008). The first of them, “in vitro”, uses interviews or telephone surveys, which requires strong imaginary skills (particularly with regard to unfamiliar retail sites) and/or the high shopping involvement of respondents. The second approach can be called by analogy “in vitro”, as it requires the analyst to confront respondents with more realistic shopping tasks or even real shopping situations. The evaluation of the preferences of a representative sample of respondents can be considered particularly demanding in order to analyze the 130 shopping centres in the two countries (Tab. 1). Therefore, we used a special case of the “in vitro” approach in this contribution.

In general, the attractiveness of shopping centres can be evaluated by the following variables: availability, number of parking places, size of leasable area, structure of retail stores, business hours, atmosphere/visual characteristics of the shopping centre, pricing, social events, etc. (Donovan and Rossiter, 1982; Nevin and Houston, 1980; Teller, 2008; Teller and Reutterer, 2008; Sit et al., 2003; Uschev et al., 2015; Wakefield and Baker, 1998). In this study, the attractiveness of the shopping centres was evaluated on the basis of the factors divided into three groups: A) exogenous; B) endogenous; and, C) complex factors (Tab. 2).

The factors under evaluation are articulated in various units of measure, which makes it impossible to compare them. Therefore we have standardised the quantified measures using Z-scores. Using this method, we have eliminated the dependence of the data on the units of measurement and on the location and variance parameters. These standardised data were subsequently individually assessed for each shopping centre according to the following formula:

\[
AM_i = \left( \sum A_{1i} + A_{2i} + A_{3i} \right) + \left( \sum B_{1i} + B_{2i} + B_{3i} \right) + \frac{\sum C_{1i}}{n_i}
\]

where, 

- \(AM_i\) is the measure of (aggregate) attractiveness for shopping centre \(i\);
- \(A_{ni}\) is an exogenous factor \(n\) for shopping centre \(i\), \((n = 1, 2, 3)\);
- \(B_{ni}\) is an endogenous factor \(n\) for shopping centre \(i\), \((n = 1, 2, 3)\);
- \(C_{1i}\) is a complex factor for shopping centre \(i\), and \(n_i\) is the number of evaluated factors for shopping centre \(i\).

Similarly to the quantification of the complex factor \(C\), the empirical estimation of 10 experts, both from the business and academic environments, was used to establish the aggregate measure of attractiveness AM (“in vitro” approach). The expert group was provided with data for all factors under assessment (A, B and C) and, according to the variable values, they independently and anonymously defined limits for five categories of shopping centre attractiveness: (1) very high; (2) moderately high; (3) average; (4) limited; and, (5)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Centrum Černý Most</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>OC Laso Ostrava</td>
<td>Ostrava</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Avion Shopping Park</td>
<td>Brno</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>OC Šestka</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Spektrum</td>
<td>Příhonice Čestlice</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Obchodní centrum DBK</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NC Borské Pole</td>
<td>Plzeň</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>OC Novodvorská Plaza</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Avion Shopping Park</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>OZC Zlaté jablko</td>
<td>Zlín</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nákupní centrum Příhonice</td>
<td>Řížany u Prahy</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>NC Gěčko České Budějovice</td>
<td>České Budějovice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Olympia Brno</td>
<td>Brno</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>OC Dragoun</td>
<td>Cheb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OC Grand Pardubice</td>
<td>Pardubice</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>NC Gěčko Liberec</td>
<td>Liberec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>OC Letňany</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Bondy centrum</td>
<td>Mladá Boleslav</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>GECO Ústí Všebořice</td>
<td>Ústí nad Labem</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Plzeň Plaza</td>
<td>Plzeň</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>OC Futurum</td>
<td>Hradec Králové</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>OC Galerie Dvořák</td>
<td>Plzeň</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>OC Futurum</td>
<td>Ostrava</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Palladium</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Čl Praha</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Campus Square</td>
<td>Brno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Park Hostivař</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>City Park Jiříň</td>
<td>Jiříň</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Centro Zlín Malenovice</td>
<td>Zlín</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>NC Oza Kladno</td>
<td>Kladno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>OC Futurum</td>
<td>Brno</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>OC Futurum Kolín</td>
<td>Kolín</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Velký Škalíček Brno</td>
<td>Brno</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Nisa Center</td>
<td>Liberec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Čtyři Dvory</td>
<td>České Budějovice</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Central Most</td>
<td>Most</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Olympia Mladá Boleslav</td>
<td>Mladá Boleslav</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Afí Palace Pardubice</td>
<td>Pardubice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Avion Shopping Park</td>
<td>Ostrava</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Galerie Fénix</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>OC Nový Šmíchov</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Arkády Pankrác Praha</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>EuroCenter Hradec Králové</td>
<td>Hradec Králové</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>OC Atrium</td>
<td>Hradec Králové</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>OC Haná Olomouc</td>
<td>Olomouc</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>OC Rýnovka</td>
<td>Jablonec nad Nisou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>OC Plzeň</td>
<td>Plzeň</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Galerie Liberec Plaza</td>
<td>Liberec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Metropole Zlínín</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Forum Liberec + My Tesco</td>
<td>Liberec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>OC Europark</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Forum Ústí nad Labem</td>
<td>Ústí nad Labem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Olympia Teplice</td>
<td>Teplice</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Chomutovka</td>
<td>Chomutov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>OC Fontána</td>
<td>Karlovy Vary</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Galerie Harfa</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>OC Karviná</td>
<td>Karviná</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>OC Galerie Moritz</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Palác Flóra</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Breda &amp; Weinstein</td>
<td>Opava</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>NC Královo Pole</td>
<td>Brno</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>OC Forum Nová Karolina</td>
<td>Ostrava</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>IGY Centrum</td>
<td>České Budějovice</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Galerie Šantovka</td>
<td>Olomouc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Olympia Olomouc</td>
<td>Olomouc</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Centrum Krakov</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>OC Silesia</td>
<td>Opava</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Fontána Teplice</td>
<td>Teplice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Olympia Plzeň</td>
<td>Plzeň</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Centrum Pivovar Děčín</td>
<td>Děčín</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Galerie Vaňkovka</td>
<td>Brno</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>OC Lužiny</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>OC Čukrovar</td>
<td>Hodonín</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Florentinum</td>
<td>Praha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>OC Varyšida</td>
<td>Karlovy Vary</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Galerie Teplice</td>
<td>Teplice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Olomouc City</td>
<td>Olomouc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>OC Galerie</td>
<td>Ostrava</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Galerie Butovice</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Centrum Chodov</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>NC Eden</td>
<td>Praha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Centrum Zlín Čeplkov</td>
<td>Zlín</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Mercury Centrum</td>
<td>České Budějovice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1: List of shopping centres (ranked according to the date of opening)
Source: Retail Book (2010, 2014); author’s survey based on the websites of the particular shopping centres
insufficient. Final limits for the selected shopping centre categories were calculated by means of weighted averages of the proposed limits for the individual categories.

Other attributes of attractiveness, in the context of the size of the city's population, as well as the influence of factors on aggregate attractiveness, were evaluated on the basis of descriptive statistics. The results of these analyses were processed in graphics (CorelDRAW) and cartography (ArcMap) programs.

The data can be divided into four groups. The first group includes data from internal databases of the authors of this article, i.e. continuously collected data related to the retail field development and transformation within the particular country. The second group of data include internal databases of the individual shopping centres, focused on their internal structures and their retailing facilities. The third group includes internal databases of the INCOMA and GfK survey agencies for recent years (2015). The last data group is based on field research. These data form the basis for our empirical approach to resolving these issues.

4. Results

The aggregate attractiveness of the shopping centres (AM) was analysed by the combination of the exogenous (A1–A3), endogenous (B1–B3) and complex factors (C1). In the following graphs (Fig. 1), indicators for individual Czech and Slovak shopping centres are presented.

Location and accessibility (A1) are the essential exogenous factors determining the attractiveness of a shopping centre. In this article, location is understood within the wider context of shopping centre accessibility, and was quantified from the centre of the city road network to the location of the shopping
centre, considering that cars are the most frequent means of transportation for shopping. The average accessibility of a shopping centre is 9.4 minutes in the Czech Republic and 6.4 minutes in Slovakia (Tab. 3). The value of the A1 factor exceeds the average (65.1%) in the Czech Republic for most shopping centres. This factor reaches below-average values for the shopping centres in Slovakia (48.9%). This selected indicator for shopping centre accessibility obtains higher values in smaller towns than in large cities (Fig. 1), as the centres are more accessible. On the contrary, shopping centres are frequently located at peripheries in more populous cities, resulting in higher travel costs.

The numbers of parking spaces are based on the shopping centre data. The average number of parking spaces per a shopping centre is 1,002 in the Czech Republic and 866 in Slovakia. For this indicator, the attractiveness of shopping centres reaches higher values in more populous cities than in less populous ones with regard to the numbers of potential consumers. It should be noted that shopping centres without available parking spaces were built both in the Czech Republic and in Slovakia (e.g. Galerie Moritz in Olomouc or SC Mirage in Žilina). These shopping centres are located in historical city centres.

A shopping centre’s success depends on potential consumers (Huff, 1963), who are influenced by the shopping centre’s attractiveness. Potential consumer quantification is based on the catchment area specification (Dennis et al., 2002) within a distance of 30 km using the Network Analyst tool from the ArcGIS environment. Empirically, we estimate that about 85% of consumers are included in these zones. The average number of potential consumers (A3) of Czech shopping centres is 720,943 and the difference between the minimum and the maximum is about tenfold. The average Slovak shopping centre has 356,780 potential consumers.

The endogenous factors represent the second group for this evaluation. The ‘size of the leasable area’ (B1) is one of the basic indicators of shopping centre classification (Lambert, 2006), and also of shopping centre attractiveness (cf. Coleman, 2012). The average Gross Leasable Area (GLA) of a Czech shopping centre (26,799 m²) is almost 5,000 m² larger than that in Slovakia (21,946 m²). While the smallest centres in both countries are comparable, the difference between the largest shopping centre in the Czech Republic (OC Letňany in Prague, 125,000 m²) and the one in Slovakia (Avion Shopping Park in Bratislava, 84,000 m²) is over 50,000 m². The GLA is linked to the number of businesses (stores) and also to the location of significant magnets in the shopping centres. The smaller shopping centres that are characteristic of smaller towns, still significantly lag behind in their aggregate attractiveness.

The structure and the number of businesses (B2) are conditioned by the location of the magnet within the shopping centre. Brown (1993) calls this “magnet” as such stores that initially attract customers (according to Prendergast et al., 1998). The attractiveness of the magnet within a shopping centre draws not only higher consumer attention but also higher retail business concerns. The number of retail facilities indicates that the larger and frequently also more suitable mix of shops determine consumers’ decision-making (Wakefield and Baker, 1998). The shopping centres are mutually comparable in the number of retail facilities in both countries. More numerous businesses are characteristic for Slovak shopping centres with GLAs smaller than those in the Czech Republic. Conversely, Czech shopping centres feature smaller numbers of business facilities within larger GLAs.

In the case of the indicator of entertainment and leisure (B3), the authors selected only the existence of a multiplex cinema with more than two theatres and with a common cinema format or IMAX large-scale cinema system with 3-D technology. Other potential attractors of entertainment and leisure time spending (gaming facilities, fitness centres, bowling, children’s areas, climbing walls, etc.) hardly exert such a “mass” attractiveness for the visitors as the multiplex cinema, not only in the Czech Republic but also in Slovakia (Ooi and Sim, 2007).

The complex factor (C1) was the last evaluated factor, based on a subjective categorization, representing the empirical approach of specialists from the fields of geography and economics. They established the subjective measure of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>t-values; df = 128; probability levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 SC in CR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>t = 2.89; p &lt; 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC in SR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>t = 0.98; ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 SC in CR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,027</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>814.22</td>
<td>t = 4.46; p &lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC in SR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>640.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 SC in CR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>115,542</td>
<td>1,575,650</td>
<td>720,943</td>
<td>545,402.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC in SR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>89,373</td>
<td>686,451</td>
<td>356,780</td>
<td>161,395.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 SC in CR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>26,799</td>
<td>22,635.82</td>
<td>t = 1.42; ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC in SR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>21,489</td>
<td>18,184.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 SC in CR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>50.48</td>
<td>t = 0.86; ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC in SR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>50.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 SC in SR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>t = 0.24; ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC in SR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 SC in CR</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>t = 2.16; p = 0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC in SR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3: Descriptive statistics of attractiveness factors of shopping centres in the Czech and Slovak Republics
Legend: SC – shopping centre. Probability levels (ns = non-significant). Source: authors’ survey
Fig. 1: The attractiveness indicators expressed by endogenous, exogenous and subjective factors (standardised values)
attractiveness (on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 = the most attractive and 5 = the least attractive) for all of the assessed shopping centres. The Slovak shopping centres are considered less attractive due to a lower average attractiveness level (3.1 from the 5-degree scale). The specialists from the Czech Republic assessed the average level of shopping centre attractiveness at 2.7. The different values could be influenced by the different numbers of the evaluated shopping centres and by their distribution in space, and by different concentrations in the capital cities of both countries.

The aggregate attractiveness (AM) is based on data from all the assessed factors (A1, A2, A3, B1, B2, B3 and C1) as judged by specialists, who determined five intervals for the individual categories of shopping centre attractiveness (Fig. 2).

From these data, we determined five categories of shopping centres according to their attractiveness (Tab. 4). The shopping centres with limited attractiveness are the most numerous group and they represent approximately one-third of all shopping centres in the Czech Republic. A higher number of this category’s centres are located in the north-west of the country (Fig. 3). This could be due to their concentration in a strongly urbanised, but structurally (industrially) affected territory with high unemployment rates and lower purchasing power of the local population, resulting in fewer shopping trips and a lower attractiveness of the shopping centres. The category ranked second in the Czech Republic includes shopping centres with very high attractiveness levels. These are mostly shopping centres...
Tab. 4: Categories of shopping centres in the Czech Republic (CR) and the Slovak Republic (SR) (Share of shopping centres in %). Source: authors’ surveys
Legend: I. = very high attractiveness; II. = moderately high attractiveness; III. = average attractiveness; IV. = limited attractiveness; V. = insufficient attractiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I. category</th>
<th>II. category</th>
<th>III. category</th>
<th>IV. category</th>
<th>V. category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM SC in CR</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC in SR</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2: Aggregate attractiveness (standardised values)
Source: authors’ surveys

Fig. 3: Classification of shopping centres according to their attractiveness in the Czech and Slovak Republics
Source: authors’ surveys
located in the capitals. For example, over one-half of all shopping centres in Prague fall into this category. One-fifth of Czech shopping centres are centres with average attractiveness and they exhibit a relatively uniform distribution in space. The smallest number of shopping centres was included in the group designated (according to the factors analysed) as insufficient-attractiveness centres. These are either shopping centres located in towns with small numbers of inhabitants (former district towns, such as Hodonín, Chomutov and others), or shopping centres complementing retail facilities in towns with multiple shopping centres (such as the regional towns of Olomouc and Plzeň).

The shopping centres with ‘average attractiveness’ comprise the most numerous group in the Slovak Republic (36.2%). These shopping centres are located in both large and smaller towns (by population). It is possibly related to the structure of the regional population distribution and regional economic development within the context of regional disparities in Slovakia. Over one-fifth of all shopping centres in the country are shopping centres with limited attractiveness, located mainly in western and northern Slovakia – areas with lower purchasing power of the local populations, daily trips to more populous towns and shopping there, over-the-border shopping and the general economic situation. In comparison, very high attractiveness is typical only for the Slovak metropolises, Bratislava and Košice (Fig. 3).

To analyse the aggregate attractiveness of the shopping centres, we evaluated its association with the size of the city's population2 (Fig. 4). On the one hand, it is possible to observe the concentration of the most attractive shopping centres in the most populous cities. Conversely, the least attractive centres are typical for the less densely populated cities in the Czech and Slovak Republics as well. It may also be noted that in populous cities, there are also less attractive shopping centres.

For illustration, we consider only the two largest cities, which are natural development poles and economic drivers of the Czech Republic (the capital city of Prague and Brno) and Slovakia (the capital Bratislava and Košice). The shopping centres with less extent of attractiveness can be divided into three groups (Tab. 5). In the first case, one registers the shopping centres which are located mainly in the peripheral parts of cities, mostly built on greenfields and difficult to access by public transport. The second type represents centres that are part of different multifunctional spaces, especially in combination with residential and administrative functions, with modern and luxurious office space with shared entrance areas and a distant and unapproachable effect on the number of potential customers, weakening “more massive” interest in this type of centre. A third type of shopping centre is the one with specialised shops and selected brands targeted to a specific clientele, which includes not only more expensive brands of fashion, footwear and fashion accessories, but also gastronomic facilities,

---

2 The outlet centres located in rural municipalities, are certain exceptions. One example of such an outlet centre is in Voderady near the town of Trnava in Slovakia (Civáň et al., 2014)
services and facilities for entertainment and leisure. These centres are generally not attractive enough for the lower and middle classes, influencing attendance the most.

Aggregate attractiveness (AM) is affected by the analysed factors in different ways (Fig. 5). In general, the most significant positive correlation with aggregate attractiveness was estimated for the indicators B1 and B2, and it is a strong correlation in both countries. The significant impact of the size of leasable area (tenant mix) on the attractiveness is confirmed by several studies (Teller, 2008). Second, positive correlations were also observed for the number of parking places (cf. Reutterer and Teller, 2008). Average correlations are typical for the factors A1, A3 and C1. The accessibility of the shopping centres, with respect to the number of potential consumers does not play an important role in our study. On the other hand, it is important to note that the subjective factors also significantly influence the results of the analysis. The multiplex cinemas, as the main representative of additional services (B3) of Czech and Slovak shopping centres, have only weak, but positive impacts on the aggregate attractiveness. One reason for this could be the fact that 3/5 of all shopping centres are missing these facilities in both countries.

5. Conclusions

Although many studies have focused on the topic of shopping centres in Czech and Slovak geography (e.g. Civán et al., 2014; Fertaľová, 2005, 2006; Klápka et al., 2013; Krizan et al., 2014; Kune et al., 2011, 2012b, 2013; Maryas et al., 2014; Mitriková, 2008; Trembošová, 2009, 2012; Spilková, 2005, 2010, 2012a, 2012b; Spilková, Hocheľ, 2009; Szczyrba, 2004, 2005), an empirical study of the attractiveness of shopping centres has not been conducted in the Czech or Slovak research literature. We conclude that the evaluation of the attractiveness of the shopping centres, as a dynamic element of Central and Eastern European countries, is quite complex and to some extent a subjective task. In this paper, we referred to earlier published scientific studies measuring the attractiveness of the retail environment (especially shopping centres): for example, using agglomeration attributes (Teller and Elms, 2010); the catchment area (Dolega et al., 2016); central place theory and the retail hierarchy (Dennis et al., 2002b); or, directly according to respondents’ consumer preferences (Dennis et al., 1999). Furthermore, we also took into account some of the specific conditions of the Czech-Slovak retail environment and the post-1989 market in the CEE countries. We also used an evaluation of the attractiveness of the shopping centres by a group of experts. The aggregate attractiveness is a combination of objective and subjective factors.

The results of the study answer the research questions. The shopping centres can be generally considered as more attractive in the Czech Republic (Q1). More than one-quarter of the shopping centres can be specified with a high level of attractiveness in the Czech Republic, although this proportion is less than one-fifth in Slovakia. The economic situation and the spatial distribution of the shopping centres play a significant role in both countries. The average leasable area is more than 5,000 m² greater than the Slovak case for shopping centres in the Czech Republic (Kunc et al., 2013). On the other hand, tenant mix is diversified on average in the case of shopping centres in Slovakia. Even though tenant mix is considered the most important factor of attractiveness (Teller, 2008), it was not primarily expressed in aggregate attractiveness.

Aggregate attractiveness is influenced by various factors in different ways (Q3). The analysis of the attractiveness of shopping centres in the Czech Republic and Slovakia confirmed the importance of selected endogenous factors. The most important of these include “GLA” and “tenant mix.” On the other hand, exogenous factors such as “parking” and the factor of “accessibility” play important roles. The endogenous factor B3, which represents attractiveness in the context additional services (multiplex cinema), obtained the lowest level of correlation. It turned out that this factor had the lowest impact on the attractiveness of the shopping centres in both countries. Following the selected methodological approach, ‘subjective categorization of the shopping centres’ has no significant impact on the results of the analysis. It should be noted, however, that we found positive correlations between the assessed factors and aggregate attractiveness in all bivariate associations.

The results of the present comparative study can be generalized to the Central European level. The empirical results can be compared within any standard market environment in the world. Among the generalized implications of the research questions, it is possible to assert the following:

- the theoretical and methodological approach to measure the attractiveness of shopping centres is supported by a number of similar studies from other foreign countries;
- the variables used (factors determining attractiveness) are typical for most shopping centres in the world and they cannot be ignored in similar analyses;
- the theoretical assumptions of the significance of the tenant mix has been empirically supported, i.e. tenant mix is a decisive endogenous factor in the attractiveness of shopping centres. This finding brings additional insights for the practice of marketing planning; and

![Fig. 5: Correlations of analysed factors and aggregate attractiveness (CZ = Czech Republic, SK = Slovakia)](Source: authors' surveys)
• the empirical evidence from both countries, as examples of post-socialist countries largely affected by the transformation of the retail environment and by the dynamic development of the construction of shopping centres, is directly applicable to the practice of marketing, in terms of the optimal arrangement of retail space and financial returns.

The authors of this paper are also aware of the limiting factors of this study, which can be characterized in three ways. The first can be matched with the notions of Dolega et al. (2016, p. 81) “It should be highlighted that although such indicators might influence our choice of a shopping destination, it may not be feasible to measure them on a systematic basis across a national extent”. The trans-boundary impacts were not taken into account in our analysis. Cross-border shopping and visits to the shopping centres across borders is relatively common in the Shengen area. This phenomenon is also characteristic for the Czech Republic and Slovakia (cf. Cíváň and Krogman, 2013; Dołzbłasz, 2015). A second aspect is based on the method of enumeration of aggregate attractiveness. This is a sample of respondents, experts from various disciplines, who subjectively evaluated the attractiveness of shopping centres. Consumers’ opinions could be quite different. The third limiting factor is based on different perspectives to measure attractiveness, as any single measure of attractiveness is far from comprehensive (Timmermans, 1996). The results of this sub-analysis indicate that the aggregate attractiveness is as defined by the authors. On the other hand, it is possible to encounter various ‘sub’-dimension of attractiveness. For example, the Tellerr and Reutterer (2008) analysis is based on three dimensions (overall attractiveness, situational attractiveness and sustainable attractiveness). This aspect of the work represents a possible direction for future research. Also, measuring the attractiveness of one town with the “in vivo” approach is a topic for future studies in post-socialist countries.
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Some dilemmas of post-industrialism in a region of traditional industry: The case of the Katowice conurbation, Poland

Robert KRZYSZTOFIK a *, Maria TKOCZ a, Tomasz SPÓRNA a, Iwona KANTOR-PIETRAGA a

Abstract
The problem of using the concept of post-industrialism to define regions with traditional industries is addressed in this article. It focuses on the diversity of industrial development in the Katowice conurbation (Poland) and the difficulties of situating the region in the widely-used taxonomy by Phelps and Ozawa, which assumes a one-way transition from the late-industrial to post-industrial stage. The authors point to the fact that only some of the towns can be described as post-industrial, since there are also towns in which traditional industries continue to develop relatively well and others at an advanced stage of re-industrialisation. The proposal is made that the Katowice conurbation can be described as a “trans-industrial region” in order to account for the various stages of development in the industrial sector in the towns of the conurbation, and to underline the dynamic nature and temporal variability of the industrialisation factor in the region.
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1. Introduction
In Europe, many significant large urban regions have their origins from nineteenth and twentieth century developments of industry and mining. The largest European urban conurbations of this kind are the Ruhr region in Germany, Nord/Pas-de-Calais on the border of France and Belgium, the Ostrava region in the Czech Republic, Donbass in Ukraine, or the Upper Silesian Coal Basin and the Dąbrowa Basin in Poland. In most cases, these are polycentric regions, defined as urban conurbations here. The functioning of such regions, determined by industrial and mining sectors, makes them economically and spatially specific. Another characteristic feature of such regions is that the dynamics of transformations are defined not only with regard to path dependence, but also with the variability and evolution of the process of industrialisation itself.

Both spatial and temporal attributes of conurbations significantly differ from those characteristic of monocentric urban agglomerations whose development was based on mixed functions: service, trade and production, frequently strengthened by administrative functions, in particular, capital cities. London, Paris and Moscow, as well as Prague, Warsaw, and Stockholm, provide good examples of such agglomerations.

Another type of urban region is the polycentric agglomeration, whose development is an effect of their geographical proximity to even larger cities (Parr, 2004; Meijers, 2008). Such systems have a complex functional genesis, and the nature of the development of the towns that form them is determined by production, as well as by services and administrative functions. Randstad Holland or the Saxony triangle in Germany (Kloosterman and Lambregts, 2001; Hudec and Urbančíková, 2008; Franz, 2010) can be mentioned here.

The genesis of urban agglomerations, however, is only the initial phase of their existence. The functional identity of a given agglomeration converges with later stages of development only when the functional component which brought them into existence is relatively permanent. This is particularly visible in the large industrial-mining conurbations.

Functional transformations are also evident today. They follow at least two opposing directions and are conditioned by either centrifugal or centripetal forces (Krugman, 1997; Krzysztofik, 2014). Changes in the functional character of European agglomerations have been visible since at least since the mid-twentieth century. On the one hand, there has been a strengthening of the potential of the monocentric
agglomerations and those polycentric ones whose development was the effect of the convergence of urban areas of larger towns. On the other hand, there is a decomposition of the functional basis of those urban regions whose development depended on a strong industrial function, in particular mining (Domański, 2003; Birch et al., 2010; Neffe et al., 2011). In the research literature, explanations of the functional changes in regions with mining and industrial genesis have been correctly based on processes of de-industrialisation, including closures of industries and their re-structuring. This aspect has been emphasized in numerous explanatory studies and multi-regional studies (Scott, 1982; Hamilton, 1984; Scott and Storper, 1987; Lever, 1991; Strangleman, 2002; Steiner, 2003; Müller et al., 2004; Lux, 2009; Musil, 2010; Coenen et al., 2014).

An equally important role in explaining these transformations has been played by analyses of specific regions traditionally perceived as industrial or post-industrial, such as Ruhrgebiet (Knapp, 1998; Van Dijk, 2002; Eckart, 2003), the Ostrava region (Sucháček, 2005, 2010; Hruška-Tvrdý, 2010; Rumpel nad Slach, 2012), Donbas (Swain, 2007), Nord/Pas-de-Calais (Leboute, 2009), the Katowice conurbation (Klasik and Heffner, 1991; Tkocz, 2001, 2003; Domański, 2002; Mikolajczak, 2008) and others (Cooke, 1995; Hassink and Shin, 2005; Trippel and Otto, 2009; Wirth et al., 2012). Although research results and conclusions in some of these works are diverse, as a whole they form the bases for a critical analysis of the issues under discussion here. Hence, the critical analysis of some case studies and existing theoretical explanations constitute further generalisations made in this contribution.

With reference to the functional changes taking place in the Katowice region (see Fig. 1), which is analysed in this article, an attempt is made to define the region’s character using the taxonomy suggested by Phelps and Ozawa (2003), as pre-industrial, late-industrial and post-industrial stages.

---

**Fig. 1: The Katowice conurbation – location and administrative divisions**

Notes: Fig. 1A: 1 – Tyneside Conurbation; 2 – West Yorkshire Urban Area and Greater Manchester Urban Area; 3 – North Staffordshire Conurbation; 4 – West Midlands Conurbation (The Black Country); 5 – Nord-Pas-de-Calais (Nord-Pas-de-Calais Coal Basin); 6 – Mons-Charleroi Region (The Pays Noir, Black Country) and Liège (Liège Coal Basin); 7 – Saar/Saargebiet (Saar-Warndt Coal Basin); 8 – Ruhr/Ruhrgebiet (Ruhr Coal Basin); 9 – The Ostrava-Karvina Agglomeration (Upper Silesian Coal Basin); 10 – The Rybnik Conurbation (Upper Silesian Coal Basin); 11 – Donbas (Donetsk Coal Basin). Fig. 1B: 1 – boundary of Poland, 2 – province boundaries, 3 – Katowice conurbation boundaries, 4 – powiat (NUTS 4), 5 – gmina (NUTS 5), 6 – boundaries between city in urban-rural gmina and rural area, 7 – rural area in urban-rural gmina, 8 – core of conurbation, 9 – inner zone of conurbation, 10 – outer zone of conurbation. Normal text – city, B. – Będzin, Ch. – Chorzów, Cz. – Czeladź, Pi. – Piekary Śląskie, Py – Pyškovice, R. – Radzionków, Si. – Siemianowice Śląskie, So. – Sośnicowice, Św. – Świętochłowice, W. – Wojkowice. Bytom, Gliwice, Knurów are the case studies used in this paper.

Sources: author’s elaboration
The use of this categorisation is dictated by the fact that it includes both dynamic and functional aspects of possible explanations. Another advantage is that, to a large extent, it concerns regions forming urban conurbations based on mining and industrial sectors.

The relations between industrialisation, de-industrialisation and re-industrialisation are subject to review initially. It has been assumed that these processes provide key contexts for defining the region as one at the late-industrial and post-industrial stages. Considering the issue of re-industrialisation, broadly understood as a renewed development of industrial functions, however, there appears to be a certain conceptual dissonance. Therefore, the basic aim of this article is to question whether the process of re-industrialisation undermines the validity of describing the region as late-industrial or, perhaps even more so, as post-industrial. Is there another stage of the transformations? If so, what is its nature? Some new proposals are formulated in response to these questions on the specific nature of functional transformations in regions whose genesis was based on industrial and mining functions.

2. Theoretical background

2.1 Mechanisms of development

The research problems discussed here are situated at the interstices between urban and economic geography and the new economic geography. On the one hand, the paper interprets the evolution of an urban conurbation; on the other, it stresses the role of economic factors in its continuity and structure. This seemingly well-known system of dependencies demands, however, further research, as has been recently pointed out by Gwosdz and Sobala-Gwosdz (2012).

The necessity of a more general background against which urban forms and functions should be considered is a first consideration. In this respect, a useful approach is the one assuming the basic role of centrifugal and centripetal forces in shaping the socio-economic and spatial system of regions (Krugman, 1997). Each of the above-mentioned forces, which are also city-forming forces, can predominate in a given area and place. They can also, particularly at present, balance each other. Assuming that the Katowice conurbation discussed in this article has been created by centrifugal city-forming forces (Gwosdz and Sobala-Gwosdz, 2012; Krzyżtowski, 2014), their contemporary weakness, and in some places disappearance, constitutes a key framework for explaining the spatial imbalance of the industrial potential of the region. But the weakness and disappearance of the socio-economic attributes defining each of the city-forming forces do not always mean that the gap will be filled by attributes represented by the opposing type of city-forming forces. In practice, frequently the former traditional attribute, for example an obsolete industrial plant, is replaced by a new attribute – a modern industrial plant from another sector of industry. Functionally, they both represent the consequences of the influence of the same city-forming forces, in this case centrifugal ones.

The centrifugal city-forming forces in the Katowice region were visible in the mass exploitation and production of material goods exported beyond the local resources, which led to several important effects:

• the emergence of a network of city-forming points near industrial and mining facilities;
• an intra-urban, strongly specialized economic base, generally independent from supply and demand in the rural or less-urbanized surrounding region (as in central place theory formulations, for example). Bituminous coal or goods produced by the processing industry were exported to any place in Europe or the world, depending on the demands of supra-local markets. A part of coal or steel production was used in situ as an element of a longer cycle of manufacturing, whose products finally were exported outside the region. Relations between the town and other regions (as markets) outside the region dominated those between the town and the surrounding region (with the exception of the above-mentioned endogenous connections in industry); and
• the creation of a system of centrifugal city-forming forces determined by centrifugal systems of the economic bases of the towns in the conurbation.

If the impact and interactions of city-forming forces in a region are determined in every region predominantly by the size and structure of the economic potential (Krugman, 1997), it can be assumed that in heavily industrialized and mining regions this attribute is definitely the determining one. Today, however, the economic development of regions with traditional industries in Central-Eastern Europe tends to be influenced by three processes: (1) the continued functioning of industrial plants constructed in the industrial and late-industrial stages, which is occurring mainly in the mining industry and large industrial plants from other sectors with more than 1000 employees; (2) re-industrialisation based on new industrial plants, frequently based on investments representing sectors that are new to the regions, and they are often situated in special economic areas or functionally derelict areas, including brownfields; and (3) investments in the service sector, particularly large area investments (trade, logistics) and to a lesser extent in R&D sector investments (Gwosdz, 2014; Klasik, 2008; Pospíšková et al., 2014).

2.2 The question of post-industrialism with a special focus on Phelps and Ozawa’s concept

This listing of business ventures determining the development of formerly strictly mining or mining-industrial regions in Central-Eastern Europe, stresses three of the theoretical issues defined by Phelps and Ozawa (2003).

Firstly, these regions are in the final stages of their late-industrial or post-industrial evolution or relatively somewhere in between these stages. Phelps and Ozawa (2003) correctly phase this evolution, pointing out some classical directions of changes in the functional database already existing in geographical space, which generally can be determined by the phrase: from industry to services. Also, in most cities in the Katowice region examined in this article, the service function dominated the industrial function.

Secondly, an essential feature of the post-industrialism of old industrial districts should be pointed out, which Phelps and Ozawa (2003, p. 593–594) believe to be the borrowed size of towns1. As shown in further explanation below, this element of the evolution of changes in the post-industrial region is again characteristic for the analysed conurbation.

---

1 The meaning of this term, which was proposed by Alonso in the 1970s, has recently been extended to include cities located outside the old industrial and mining districts (Burger et al., 2015).
The third issue related to the Katowice conurbation, as in many other cases, is the fact that post-industrialism, understood as a functional change, is accompanied by two more phenomena: re-industrialisation and urban shrinkage combined with de-industrialisation. The effect of the former is a restriction on the increasing role of services in relation to industrial functions (see Gwosdz, 2014). The effect of the latter is an overall decrease of the region’s economic potential, accompanied by de-urbanisation and de-industrialisation (Krzysztofik et al., 2014).

Those regions in Central-Eastern Europe that are traditionally described as post-industrial have been shown to undergo the following changes:

a. change in functional structure due to the increasing role of services at the cost of the industrial functions (post-industrialism);

b. some continuation of still significant potential of mining and traditional industry (late-industrialism);

c. attempts at re-introducing industrial functions, often unrelated to the structure of industry sectors (re-industrialism); and

d. the disappearance of all exogenous functions (exogenous services, industry, mining) with a simultaneous exposure of endogenous functions (complete de-industrialisation, de-urbanisation and urban shrinkage).

Taking into account the above-listed changes, one should ask whether the regions should be treated as ‘post-industrial’ in the literal sense of the word. The dissonance is particularly noted in the interaction between temporally- and spatially-identical post-industrialism (point a), late-industrialism (point b) and re-industrialism (point c), with Sosnowiec providing one of the more interesting examples in the Katowice conurbation (Krzysztofik et al., 2013).

2.3 The question of re-industrialisation

A separate issue in considering re-industrialisation in the context of post-industrialism is the question about the future development of large industrial regions. Generally, one can notice that a gradual disappearance of traditional industries is constantly balanced by industrial investments based on new and medium-advanced technologies (Borowik, 2014; Westkämper, 2014). An important role here is performed by the search for a new spatial policy for the development of industry, as well as by the placement of the policy of re-industrialisation in the canon of EU economic development.

A slightly different economic-spatial policy with regard to re-industrialisation can be observed in Russia, for example, where, alongside the need to create new industries, particular attention is paid to the strong need for technological and organisational modernisation of traditional industrial centres, in particular of the electrical and machine industries (Schuysky, 2013; Dubenetski, 2014).

At this point, however, the issue of the re-industrialisation model should be addressed. The essential question in this context is whether the development of new businesses in the Katowice region meets the criteria for one of the four developments of the concept of New Industrial Districts (NID) proposed by Markusen (1996), or does it rather adopt an individual model of development? Markusen (1996, p. 296) distinguished four forms of industrial districts: The Marshallian NIDs, including the Italian form; “the hub-and-spoke” districts; the satellite industrial platforms; and the state-centred districts. The division was later extended and improved by Pickernell et al. (2007) and Corneli (2015). Referring to this typology, the initial thesis may be that the development of re-industrialisation in the Katowice region is closest to “the hub-and-spoke” model. This model for the Katowice conurbation, however, has its own characteristic features, as discussed below.

3. De-industrialisation and re-industrialisation of the region after 1989

The emergence of a highly urbanised conurbation in the south of Poland was connected with bituminous coal mining and the steel industry (Riley and Tkocz, 1999). By the end of the nineteenth century, the core of the contemporary urban region was formed, and by the middle of the twentieth century towns charters were given to all towns in the region. The urbanisation of the region included the spatial development of settlements near or next to the largest coal mines, steelworks, and industrial plants. Since the mid-twentieth century, this network of often loosely connected settlements began to integrate into a system of large (over 100 thousand inhabitants) and medium-size towns. The last stage of integration took place in the 1970s (Gwosdz, 2014; Krzysztofik, 2014).

3.1 De-industrialisation

The first symptoms of an economic crisis in the region’s industries were noticed already in the 1980s, but it was only in the 1990s that a number of radical changes took place (Fig. 2). Except for the automotive and power engineering industries, these changes consisted in closing down and restructuring industrial plants. All sectors underwent commercialisation and privatisation. The automotive industry and power engineering did relatively well – all of the larger plants in these sectors were modernized and expanded – but the overwhelming majority of local traditional industries suffered dramatically. Most bituminous coal mines were closed down or merged, and the steel industry was thoroughly restructured: steelworks with 2–4 thousand workers were turned into metallurgical plants with less than 1,000 employees, and some (Gliwice) were closed down. In 1980, there were over 20 thousand people employed in Huta Katowice (Arcelor Mittal) in Dąbrowa Górnicza; after 2010, there were only some 5,000, including those employed in companies working for the steelworks. Light industry disappeared almost completely: out of six big production plants, four were closed down and the other two were thoroughly restructured. Similarly, non-ferrous metals industries, coking, chemical and mineral industries underwent restructuring. A significant number of plants in the metal, machine, and electro-technical sectors went bankrupt, including those constructed in the 1970s.

The de-industrialisation of the region is a continuous process which was particularly intense in the period between 1994 and 2004. At that time, all features of de-industrialisation were visible: the highest number of large

2 The terms “exogenous functions” and “endogenous functions” are used in the context of traditional economic base analysis.

3 There should be a public discussion about expected types of industry in Gliwice and Katowice and also a question of future development of some investment areas – whether they should develop towards industry or services?
and medium-size companies was closed down; the highest number of employees were fired or sent on holidays; the greatest decline in manufacturing sales; and the largest decreases in profitability were noticed. In this period, the number of jobs in industries was reduced by as much as 400 thousand in the region. According to Tkocz (2003, p. 38), about 280,000 people left the coal mining sector in southern Poland due to the closure of 29 coal mines and job restrictions in other mines. More than one half of this number refers to the Katowice conurbation. However, it may constitute a more general remark because such differentiation does not exclusively refer to the Katowice conurbation, but it may constitute a more general remark to the issue of development of some branches of industry (i.e. automotive) in Central and Eastern Europe (Ženka et al., 2015, p. 69).

The “hub-and-spoke” model emphasises the priority of the local system for the needs of a large manufacturing plant, as a network of smaller suppliers is formed. At the same time, the corporate and ownership dependence here it is not always an obstacle, at least in the case of new industry in the Katowice conurbation. It should be emphasised, however, that this model can only be applied to describe the automotive industry in the region. It is rarely representative of the newly-established plants of other industries not directly related to the automotive, such as the electro-technical, machine, mineral, chemical or meat industries. Their location is relatively casual and attractive forces were agglomeration economies and economic, legal and administrative profits resulting from the location in the Katowice Special Economic Zone (the KSEZ), or other privileged local economic zones. Most brand new large industrial plants were built in Gliwice and Tychy (greenfields type investments), Sosnowiec (brownfields), Katowice (brownfields), Dąbrowa Górnicza (brownfields), Siemianowice Śląskie (greenfields and brownfields). This quite specific location of new investments clearly reflects what is contained in the scientific metaphors of Markusen: “sticky places in slippery space” or even the “borrowed size of towns” proposed by Alonso. These issues are expanded in the following section.

Re-industrialisation of the region also consisted of a significant expansion and modernisation of certain industries traditional to the region. A brand new image has been given to the local large coal-fired power stations, in particular the “Lağısa” power station in Będzin and Chorzów, and “Jaworzno II” and “Jaworzno III” in Jaworzno. The coke plant “Przyjaźń”, the largest in the region, has been modernized. A significant part of the process of re-industrialisation is based on small and medium-sized companies of all sectors in all towns of the conurbation. The nature and investment policy of these companies vary: some of them function in the privileged KSEZ, some have built new plants outside the zone, and others use the buildings, sometimes modernized, of formerly existing companies.
4. Local development paths of industry in the Katowice conurbation

4.1 Introduction

In comparison to large monocentric agglomerations, the conditions for industrial development in polycentric conurbations are relatively good. Here, services naturally limit the role of industry and push it outside the town. The process is facilitated by rent land rates, which are higher in the centre and much lower in the peripheries. In the case of large area investments, this significantly restricts development in the centre of the town, and not infrequently in the town itself. Generally, in such agglomerations industry is less competitive than services, particularly when its peripheral location runs the risk of limited transport accessibility.

Due to polycentricity, in urban conurbations the cross-section level of land rents is shaped like a wave. The value of land rent on the transverse axis increases near city centres and decreases away from them (Fujita, Thisse, 2002, p. 201–209). The differences between the crest of the wave and its base are not, however, as great as in the case of the centre of a monocentric agglomeration and its periphery. The inner-city niches of the location economies that appear in conurbations constitute an important element attracting new industries. Their advantage is also the fact that they are densely populated and have good transport facilities (the factor of job market accessibility).

Due to the dynamics of urbanisation and industrialisation, there are many brownfields and other investment areas available. With respect to re-industrialisation, the fact that they are owned by one or, less frequently, two or three persons is another advantage. Taking into account the often fragmented ownership of greenfields in the suburbs, this is a potential asset.

This seemingly unusual phenomenon derives from the fact that many investors expect a rapid start for their business activities. Hence, they are willing to bear greater financial expenditures on regeneration and decontamination of a brownfield belonging to one legal institution (entity), rather than wrestle with the purchase of a dozen or dozens of smaller greenfield plots. Due to the fact that some small plot owners are not interested in selling them, and some other plots have an unresolved legal status, as a result, the investment process becomes extended in time, which frequently and often ultimately discourages potential investors.

On the other hand, the re-industrialisation of such regions may be limited by the excessive degradation of post-industrial areas and by too heavy urbanisation (here: residential functions), which may hamper the development of industrial functions. As the example of some cities in the Katowice conurbation demonstrates, however, re-industrialisation can be successful, particularly in areas where the disadvantages of the conurbation were minimized by locating industries within its borders.

De-industrialisation and re-industrialisation in the Katowice region are uneven processes: i.e. many towns are still at the late post-industrial stage while others are at the post-industrial stage (Fig. 3).

To be precise, in 2010, there were only five typically industrial cities, where the number of employees in industry exceeded 55% (Bieruń, Knurów, Łędziny, Lądziska Górze, Miasteczko Śląskie). In two cases – Dąbrowa Górnicza and Jaworzno – industry and services employ a relatively similar number of people (45–55%). In most cities, however, the percentage of employees in industry decreased to below 40%, often even below 30%. For example, in the years 1989–2010, the employment share in industry in Będzin fell from 70% to 25%, in Myśłowice from 80% to 34%, and in Świętochłowice from 70% to 33% (CSO data, 2011).

Apart from this, as already mentioned, a key element in the process of economic transformation is its re-industrialisation, which is most preferably presented based on the models of the “borrowed size of towns” and “sticky places in slippery space”. Both models indicate an unevenness of development of the region conditioned by the local inter-city competition. Determinants of competitive advantages are many, ranging from administrative and academic functions, good transport accessibility, traditions for development of certain industries in a particular city, with a final emphasis on the role of local actors and on the creativity of municipal government and local leaders. The result is the apparent economic success of some cities compared to the failures of others. The “oversized” cities in this respect certainly include Gliwice and Tychy, and among the smaller towns – Siewierz. All three of these centres also meet the criteria of sticky places in the region. The example of Gliwice is discussed later in this section. At this point, the growing role of this city, not only in the Katowice conurbation but also in southern Poland, will be highlighted. These differences are reflected quite well in Fig. 3. Bytom is placed on the opposite side: twenty years ago, it was one of the largest industrial centres in Poland, and today, due to strong de-industrialisation, it is the most problematic city in the country.

A characteristic feature of the spatial differentiation of new investments is the fact of their peripheral locations. In the centre of the region, a clearly visible slippery space developed. The situation is particularly unfavourable in the central-western part. While in the south-eastern part the term “slippery space” (towards new industrial investments) is mitigated by the functioning of traditional industries, in the central-western part it is associated with fairly advanced de-industrialisation.

In order to explain the essence of the changes in the industrial structure of the Katowice conurbation, the cases of three cities: Gliwice, Knurów and Bytom, which represent the most common types of industrial transformations in the region, are presented below.

4.2 The case of a re-industrialised city – Gliwice

Until 1990, the town of Gliwice was based on industries and services. The key sectors were bituminous coal mining (two large mines), the steel industry (two steelworks), and metal, electro-technical, and chemical industries. As a result of economic transformations, one mine and one of the steelworks have been closed down. The steelworks and metal plant have been thoroughly reconstructed. The plants from

---

4 The most famous example in the Katowice conurbation is “EXPO-SILESIA” – Exhibition and Trade Centre in Sosnowiec, where its location, on a brownfield, required a lot of demolition and was ultimately more favourable than the initially contemplated prestigious location on the outskirts of Cracow (greenfields).

5 In many cases, the costs of regeneration, and especially decontamination, are born by local governments and institutions connected with environmental protection (e.g. just closed (2016) WATT factory in Sosnowiec).
other sectors built before 1990 have been almost completely restructured or closed down. The new stage of economic development of the town began with the establishment of the KSEZ investment areas and the flagship investment in Gliwice – an Opel automotive plant (currently General Motors Manufacturing Poland). The automotive plant and favourable local conditions, such as the availability of higher professional education (Silesian Polytechnic University) and a very good transportation network (a highway junction, an important railway hub, an inland port), stimulated the inflow of new investors from the industrial and service sectors. A key role in the industrial sector is held by automotive industry (including Deadong, General Motors, HP, Kirchoff, Nexteer, NGK Ceramics, Plastic Omnium Auto, Tenneco Automotive, and TRW Braking Systems).

Companies located in Gliwice are closely linked to General Motors Manufacturing Poland, as well as other automotive factories in the CEE. The essence of the “oversizing” of Gliwice as a centre of industry was not so much the creation of a special economic zone or a large number of cooperating plants, as the strengthening of a company that would be stimulating the economy and boost the influx of new companies in the automotive industry. Positive feedbacks were reported quickly as Opel accelerated the development of plants producing components. These, in turn, perpetuated the status of the Opel’s Polish factory in the global structure of General Motors. Both the development of the Opel factory and the cooperating companies actuated the expansion of the special economic zone, also for other industries. Gliwice, in the early 2000s, became the most recognisable sticky place in the Katowice conurbation, struggling with restructuring and the liquidation of traditional industries.

Re-industrialisation of Gliwice at the turn of the twenty-first century meant that the city, like no other in the Katowice conurbation, is the antithesis of the post-industrialism concept in the analysed region. Even though in the period 1989–2010, the number of people employed in industry decreased from 64.5 thousand to 38.8 thousand, the share of the industry decreased only from 65% to 42%.

Taking into account logistics, which is closely related to industry, the rate fell to approximately 50%.

---

*Fig. 3: Types of industrialisation dynamics in towns of the Katowice conurbation (Legend: 1 – towns with almost exclusively new or radically modernised industrial plants. Old industries have been replaced by new ones; 2 – towns whose economic structure is dominated by traditional industries. Lack of new industrial investments; the development of the city is based on traditional industries; 3 – towns in which new industrial plants are developed alongside the existing old industrial plants which have completed the process of restructuring. Old industries are replaced or balanced by new industrial investments; 4 – towns in which most traditional industries plants have been closed down, but in which new industries have not been developed; 5 – towns in which traditional industries plants have been completely closed down and new industries have not been developed; 6 – towns with no industrial function or with a limited industrial function in the past and at present)*

*Source: authors*
the suggested late-industrial or post-industrial stages. On a regional scale, Gliwice represents the type of city whose evolution is opposed to the one-way evolution visible in Phelps and Ozawa’s model.

4.3 The case of a post-industrial city – Bytom
The situation in Bytom is completely different from that in Gliwice. Until the end of the twentieth century, Bytom was one of the largest mining and industrial centres in the region and was dominated by bituminous coal mining, the steel industries and other companies working for mining and heavy industries in the region. The “Bytom” clothing company was a well-known brand.

The depletion of deposits and the economic and structural problems of the Polish mining industry at the turn of the century led to the closure of most of the mines, and the closure and restructuring of steelworks. In 2015, only one large coal mine (about 1.5 thousand employees) and a small one (0.2 thousand employees) were functioning. The larger coal mine will be closed in the future.

At present, Bytom is the best example in Poland of a town affected by de-industrialisation. Except for the restructured steelworks, a power plant and the soon-to-be closed coal mine, there are no large and medium-sized industrial plants. The town has experienced the drastic results of urban shrinkage (rapid depopulation, the highest number of unemployed in the whole region, and the most serious social and spatial problems in the administrative territory of the town). Urban shrinkage and the strong inter-town competition in the Katowice conurbation resulted in a relatively weak development of exogenous, pro-development services. Services are predominantly endogenous. In Kantor-Pietraga’s (2014) system of depopulating and shrinking towns, Bytom is described as “a functionally useless town.”

Bytom is a classic example of highly advanced post-industrialism. In contrast to Gliwice, no clear re-industrialism processes have taken place here. The employment in industry is in a steady decline. After the liquidation of mining, the share of industrial employment is in a steady decline. After the industrialism processes have taken place here. The “KSEZ – the most dynamic actor on the regional scale, Gliwice represents the type of city whose development of exogenous, pro-development services. Services are predominantly endogenous. In Kantor-Pietraga’s (2014) system of depopulating and shrinking towns, Bytom is described as “a functionally useless town.”
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5. Trans-industrialism as a response to post-industrialism dilemmas
A key problem presented in this paper is the definitional dissonance regarding the question whether the term “post-industrial” can be used to describe a region in which there simultaneously appear processes of re-industrialisation (Gliwice) and of the stabilisation of traditional industries (Knurów). From the perspective of the activated mechanisms of de-industrialisation of the so-called traditional industries, the answer is certainly positive. The changes in this regard generally follow a one-way trajectory; after all, even if coal mining continues in Knurów, the coke plant based on coal has been closed down. Assuming that the end of the trajectory is determined by complete de-industrialisation (understood as the closure of former industrial plants), then the question emerges of “When the end will take place?”. We do not know the answer to that. What we do know, however, is that the Katowice region does not fully follow the scenario described by Phelps and Ozawa (2003, p. 586), who set the end of the late-industrial stage at the end of the twentieth century.

Instead, what we observe is a spatial structure characterised by a marked lack of internal balance of development. According to Gwosdz (2012), who analysed the characteristic features of the economic base and employment in the towns of the Katowice conurbation, only six towns – Będzin, Chorzów, Gliwice, Katowice, and Sosnowiec – can be described as post-industrial. The problem is that even in this group, the role of industry and re-industrialisation is quite significant, as demonstrated by the example of Gliwice. Also, as other research shows (Gwosdz, Sobala-Gwosdz, 2012, p. 500), 45% of the employed in the towns of the conurbation work in the sectors of mining industry and industrial production.

The problems with definition become even more important when we attempt to determine precisely the process of industrialisation. Therefore, the question is whether re-industrialisation occurs when:

• new industrial plants appear in general, including plants based on advanced technologies;
• the economic potential of new industrial plants begins to dominate over the still existing (at the time of analysis) plants representing the so-called traditional industries; and
• the economic potential connected with new investments begins to dominate over the lost economic potential.
linked to the closed-down industrial plants representing the so-called old industries (i.e., Tkocz, 2001; Martin and Sunley, 2006; Tripl and Otto, 2009).

Finally, a reference should be made to the spatial redistribution of the re-industrialisation phenomenon. The metaphor of Markusen, “sticky places in slippery areas”, that well reflects the reality of the region, significantly breaks apart the previously uniform and consistent mining and industrial region. Dualism in development is deepened with every decade, visibly transforming the hitherto industrially defined territory consisting of dozens of medium-sized and large industrial centres into a network of cities with limited linkages in terms of industry (with the exception of the automotive industry).

As the argument to date suggests, the problems with defining post-industrialism in the Katowice conurbation are caused by our lack of knowledge (at this given moment) about the possibilities of future development of the industry in a given region. Is the development of the process of re-industrialisation at its highest point? Or is it only a stage in its development? A completely new perspective on the process of re-industrialisation has been proposed by Martinat et al. (2014), who use Karviná, a town in the Ostrava region, to argue that re-industrialisation may be based even on traditional industries (the mining industry in Karviná).

The problem of post-industrialism should also be approached from other two sides. The first one is the purely empirical perspective. In this case, a post-industrial region is one where there can be observed a decrease in the number of workers employed in industries and a decline in the proportion of workers employed in industries in relation to those employed in general or in services. This is an irrefutable argument for treating a region as post-industrial, particularly when both tendencies become more significant.

Firstly, a lot of companies classified as service companies work exclusive for industry and in industrial plants. While outsourcing concerns both production facilities and offices, it is included within the service sector in employment statistics. Before the early 1990s, in post-socialist countries these groups of employees were the workers of given industrial plants. For example, in 2001, in the largest steelworks in the Katowice region, ‘Huta Katowice’ in Dąbrowa Górnicza, there were employed approximately 5.2 thousand workers and over 7 thousand worked in subsidiary companies and businesses producing for the steelworks. Many of the companies were classified as services. At the same time, it should be pointed out that in 1996 in Huta Katowice there were 20.3 thousand employees and there was no division into the two groups of workers. Therefore, the actual decrease in the number of employees due to the restructuring of Huta Katowice shows that part of the workers changed the sector of the economy without changing the work place.

Second, another problem is the question of strongly developed endogenous services (the employed in the region provide services for the inhabitants of the region). These services are not city-forming factors, and therefore form a secondary sector in relation to exogenous services (the employed in the region provide services for those living outside the region) and industry. The quantity and quality of exogenous services are revealed by the low level of employment in exogenous services and industries, as well as by the overall demographic potential. The latter is a crucial issue in the Katowice conurbation (Krzyżtofik et al., 2014). Examining closely the structure of employment in the towns of the Katowice conurbation, we note that the predominance of those employed in services is, to a large extent, an effect of the high proportion of workers employed in endogenous services. It is particularly visible in shrinking towns and in towns defined as post-industrial (Runge et al., 2014).

Taking into account the evolution of the economic base of the Katowice conurbation as discussed above, we cannot offer an adequate and uncontroversial definition of the region’s present economic development. The definitional dissonance is a result of, among other factors, the following issues:

- the diverse stages of the process of de-industrialisation of the so-called old industries;
- the uneven character of the process of re-industrialisation;
- the partly overestimated real role of services.

The “post-industrial region” is a very ambiguous term, especially as defined by Phelps and Ozawa (2003), who appropriated Hall’s (1997) concept of post-industrial agglomeration. Kucinski (2008) and Gwosdz (2012) have recently questioned this definition; as Kucinski (2008, p. 165) writes: “The fact that the industrial function has disappeared or is disappearing in a given town does not have to mean that it is connected with a shift in the economy from the industrial to the post-industrial stage.” In turn, Gwosdz (2012) has proposed to describe the Katowice conurbation as a late-industrial region. Indeed, this claim may be supported by the great number of towns in the Katowice conurbation whose economy is based on the so-called traditional industries. At the same time, however, this description does not fully reflect the economic status of those towns where traditional industries have been closed down or have been significantly restructured and have been replaced by new industries, as has been the case in, for example, Gliwice or Tychy.

It is also difficult to describe the Katowice conurbation as a (late-)industrial region, if only because of the significant role of services. Undoubtedly, as has been shown, some towns in the regions are (late-)industrial. Thus, in order to contribute to the discussion on the nature of the functional transformations of such regions as the Katowice conurbation, we propose to describe the region as trans-industrial (Fig. 4). Currently, the term is employed in two ways. In a wider sense, it is related to the character of the social change in the world caused by the role of industry as one of the quantifiers of overall social development in the history of civilisation (Kassiola, 1990). More narrowly, it has been used to explain specific organisational connections in the media market (Meehan, 2005).

In this situation, we would like to draw attention to the process-oriented value of the term in the functional approach – in direct relation to such terms as industrialism, post-industrialism, or re-industrialism. The meaning of this term may also be manifested in the fact that:

- it represents an alternative to the terms late-industrial or post-industrial, especially when the definition of these two stages is questionable;
- it emphasizes the continuity and sustainability of industrial development in the region – a sustainability, which, contrary to stereotypical assumptions, is characterized by the variability of key industries in the region;
- it underlines the fact that neighbouring towns can simultaneously function at very different stages of functional evolution in relation to the development of industry;
it presents re-industrialisation as an alternative to the de-industrializing path of functional transformations; and

it finds a place in the general trajectory of transformations for such objects as the fossil-fuel power station “Łagisza” in Będzin which, on the one hand, belongs to the traditional industries in the region and, on the other, after modernisation and extension, is one of the most technologically advanced facilities of this type in Europe.

Above all, “trans-industrialism” departs from the sharp division into stages proposed by Phelps and Ozawa which, in complex settlement systems like polycentric agglomerations or conurbations, can lead to some inconsistencies. Importantly, it suggests that we should see the transformations as a whole in which Phelps and Ozawa’s taxonomy, as well as the phenomena of de-industrialisation and re-industrialisation, are included (Fig. 4).

What is a trans-industrial region? It is a defined region (administrative, economic, urban) which includes towns and settlements at various stages of industrialisation, starting from proto-industrialism and ending with post-industrialism, and in which there appear theoretically opposing trends in economic transformations, such as de-industrialisation and re-industrialisation.

6. Discussion

The above definition of trans-industrialism begs the question of whether the term is not tantamount to industrialism, where the latter is understood as the development of industry in general. It is definitely not. Trans-industrialism is a much more narrow term. If industrialism points to the overall processes connected to the development of industry in general, trans-industrialism emphasises a specific moment of the development – the here and now. The development includes also the quite diverse evolution of industrial functions in individual towns in the region.

Of course, the term “here and now” is not clear and needs to be clarified. The first issue to be resolved is the issue of the region. Theoretically, the area having the same boundaries at the time of research as in the defined past should be subject to analysis. A barrier to such a depiction of delimitation is the fact that some cities, once they have lost industrial functions and become centres of service industries, “alienate” themselves with respect to the industrial region, with which they were identified even 15 or 20 years before. This process is not dynamic, but noticeable, especially in areas where the industrial region is experiencing an enhanced crisis, and the examined city with services is developing based on the new functions. Changes of administrative boundaries are also problematic, as well as the social and economic delimitations introduced due to various needs. In the Katowice conurbation, administrative changes took place in 1999. Since the 1990s, at least a dozen delimitation projects for the region have been developed.

The time aspect is even more difficult to define. Unless we are able to determine the upper dividing line – the present at the time of research, it is more difficult to determine the lower line of division. In Poland and the CEE countries, the

Fig. 4: Model concepts and the location of the trans-industrial region (Legend: I – Phelps and Ozawa’s model; II – the authors’ model; I – Phelps and Ozawa’s model (A – pre-industrial stage; B – industrial stage; C – late-industrial stage; D – post-industrial stage); II – range of changes in future – possibilities

Source: authors
period 1989–1990 might be helpful since it refers to the time of the collapse of the socialist system. A more “fluid” nature of the lower dividing line will be in countries where there was a continuity of the capitalist economy. Referring back to the upper dividing line – the present, however, it should be considered to what point of time we are able to extend the period of study. While for the Katowice conurbation, the period 1990–2015 does not raise any doubts, would it be the same for the period 1990–2030?

The term “trans-industrial” has been proposed as a point of departure for a discussion about the spatial and temporal heterogeneity of economic processes and phenomena, and about the formation of town functions. It is proposed as an answer to the restrictions imposed by the currently used terms and definitions, in particular by the term “post-industrial region”.

This seemingly fairly simple idea is followed by a number of methodological challenges. They mainly concern the ways to assess the role of industry in the regional economy. The methodology in this regard is diverse and significantly complex, starting from simple participation rates of employees in the industry relative to the total number of employees, and ending at fairly complicated procedures, integrating factors of employment, investment, GDP or the number of large enterprises. All of these attributes may be considered both in terms of traditional industries and also new industrial plants. Although there are a number of comparison tools, an undoubted challenge is their clarification. One should assume, however, that getting unanimity on this issue is not going to be easy – even more so due to the fact that a thorough analysis of the issue will also be required for the services sector, the functioning of which is not always possible to be compared simply with the industrial sector.

Despite these undoubtedly objective limitations to the application of the trans-industrialism concept, the authors are convinced that undertaking further studies in this matter, both in terms of methodology, case studies and further theoretical explanations, is worthwhile.

7. Conclusions

The functional transformations in Central European urban regions whose economy has been until recently based mostly on mining and traditional industries, are very diverse. They depend on many factors, the most important of which are the relocation of industry in Europe; GDP; the spatial form of an urban region (conurbation, polycentric agglomeration, monocentric agglomeration), or regional factors, such as labour market, transportation network and economic policy. In this article, however, we have attempted to explain these determinants and their influence on the functional identity of a region.

With respect to the Katowice conurbation – the largest urban region of this type in Central-Eastern Europe – the authors have demonstrated the difficulties involved in defining it in terms of literal post-industrialism. The difficulties are caused by; on the one hand, the interaction between the actual de-industrialisation of the region and the marked signs of its re-industrialisation, and, on the other, by the limited range, at least with respect to demographic potential, of the replacement of industries by exogenous services, particularly by those which could create a functional alternative in the future. Additionally, these are accompanied by the process of de-industrialisation and the shrinkage of some towns in the conurbation.

The problems with offering an unequivocal definition of the changing functions of the Katowice conurbation have led us to propose the term “trans-industrial” to describe the region. This allows us to uphold a dynamic approach to the changes and to evade answering the question whether the region should be defined in line with the Phelps and Ozawa taxonomy as post-industrial or late-industrial. The proposal to define the region as trans-industrial does not mean that we should stop evaluating and analysing industrialism and post-industrialism in the specific towns of the conurbation.

On the basis of the trajectory of the transformations of functions in “model” towns, it is advisable to anticipate changes in functionally complex towns. The importance of the challenge follows the original assumption that urban regions, such as conurbations, are, in fact, strongly integrated urban systems. The problems of one town “spread” to the neighbouring towns. In the Katowice conurbation, it is clearly visible in the directions and intensity of commuting to work. Despite some differences, the Katowice region may be a point of reference for other East Central European urban regions described as post-industrial, such as the Ostrava and Rybnik regions.
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Teenage overweight and obesity: A pilot study of obesogenic and obesoprotective environments in the Czech Republic
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Abstract
Child overweight and obesity represent a serious health problem worldwide. The Czech Republic now ranks the fourth most obese country in Europe and obesity and overweight is becoming more and more frequent in children and teenagers. This pilot study estimates the prevalence of obesity and overweight among Czech teenagers aged 14–15 years in terms of neighbourhood characteristics, and assesses the effects of neighbourhood environmental quality versus family or personal-level factors on teenage obesity and overweight. The results show that unsafe environments result in the risk of lesser physical activity of their inhabitants, but since the vast majority (92%) of the students felt safe in their neighbourhoods, mediation through safety of the neighbourhood is not at stake. Second, the housing estates demonstrate the most severe problems with both obesity and overweight and their built environments, but when perceptions of sporting facilities and similar opportunities for physical activity are factored in, they do not have low scores; therefore, mediation by physical activity is not a relevant response to the obesity problem. These findings imply that the most important obesogenic and obesoprotective factors are likely to be found within the family environment and personal life styles.
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1. Introduction
Child overweight and obesity represent a serious health problem worldwide. A high prevalence of child obesity is no longer only a problem in the USA and other developed countries, as problems with child obesity are often reported from developing countries, as well as from the so-called transition countries of Central and Eastern Europe. The Czech Republic, one of the latter countries, used to rank among the countries with a high prevalence of obesity in adults (Hainer et al., 1999), but even at the beginning of this century the situation with child obesity and overweight was not critical (Kobzová et al., 2004). This started to change rapidly, however, and the Czech Republic is now the fourth most obese country in Europe (measured by adult obesity) and obesity is a major health issue for the Czech population. What is more, obesity and overweight is becoming more and more frequent in children too, mainly among boys. Recently, the Czech Ministry of Health presented the National Health Strategy 2020 focusing on 16 main topics developed into action plans. The fight against obesity and overweight is among the most important of these, because obesity is an epidemic with negative outcomes for an individual’s health – it increases the risk of hypertension about six-fold and the risk of diabetes about seven-fold. There will be about one million Czechs with diabetes as a consequence of overweight in the next ten years. Research into obesity and overweight and their prevention among children and adults is thus a national health policy priority.

2. Theoretical frameworks
The spread of the obesity epidemic worldwide has been a catalyst for a myriad of studies investigating the linkages between the risk of overweight/obesity and various factors. These factors include both individual (genetic conditions, life style, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, gender) and contextual variables. At the contextual level, the effects of a multi-dimensional environment may contribute to obesity or overweight, including the effects of the home environment and parents’ influences on diet and physical activity, the broader social environment and the physical environment of the neighbourhood where a person lives. The issue of the geographic factors, especially built environment and its influence on obesity, has attracted significant attention,
pointing to the evidence that there are some environments which seem to be more obesogenic than others. The built environment encompasses all human-made infrastructure and resources supporting human activity (building, transport infrastructure, parks, stores, service facilities, etc.) (Davis et al., 2005). The implications for interactions between public health policies and urban design have been established in this field of research, involving many disciplines such as urban planning, landscape architecture, geography, economics, epidemiology, sociology, nutrition science, etc.

As Ding and Gebel (2012) indicate, since the beginning of the millennium, research on the built environment and obesity has skyrocketed and critical literature reviews help to summarize the results in this area. There are a number of quality literature reviews (and also reviews of reviews, such as de Vet et al., 2011, Gebel et al., 2007, Ding and Gebel, 2012) on the influence of the built environment on obesity. Booth et al. (2005) presented one of the first reviews based on nine pioneering studies: they stressed the neighbourhood influences, mainly the effect of safer neighbourhoods which often result in more physical activity and less obesity; lower socioeconomic status, which often leads to less physical activity; the walkability of a neighbourhood and more available physical activity resources; and the land-use mix within the neighbourhood, which usually increases physical activity. Their study has an important methodological implication as it concludes that neighbourhood-level analysis is more representative of the daily lifestyles of residents than the metropolitan level, consisting of many counties with varying built environments (Booth et al., 2005, p. 114). Similar to this methodological note, Panter and Jones (2010) suggest that environments outside the home neighbourhood where individuals spend most of their time should also be studied. In compliance with this guideline, both the home and the school environments have been appraised in this paper.

Another review by Black and Maciniko (2008) summarizes the literature on neighbourhood determinants of obesity since 2004, when the majority of articles began to appear. Three elements of built environments appear in these studies: urban design and the physical appearance of public spaces; land use, mainly the density of residential and other activities; and transportation systems, the availability of sidewalks, bike paths, etc. The neighbourhood contextual environment in other studies has also included access to sport and leisure facilities, green space and the degree of urbanization, the perceived safety of the neighbourhood, its general attractiveness, and social capital or social support within the community. This review is worthy to mention in the context of this paper because one of the important results is that the authors found that the bulk of the literature focuses on urban neighbourhoods in high-income countries. The current paper thus also aims to fill this gap by focusing on the Czech Republic – a region where very little information about the obesogenic and obesoprotective environments is available.

A more recent review by Feng et al. (2010) presents a systematic and quantitative assessment of an up-dated body of literature (22 context-based and 15 geographic buffer papers). The selected papers evaluated three domains of the built environment: the physical activity, land use and transportation, and food environments. The authors conclude that although it has become increasingly common to attribute obesity to characteristics of the built environment, existing evidence did not identify a clear and strong role for the built environment. The heterogeneity of the studies limits their comparability and any findings of systematic evidence.

In a similar vein, another review by Durand et al. (2011) studied built environment factors related to physical activity and obesity risk in relation to planning implications, including the so-called “smart growth” principles. These principles in the surveyed studies included a range of housing opportunities and choices, walkable neighbourhoods, communities with a sense of place, mixed land uses, open space and critical environmental areas, a variety of transportation choices, community-oriented development and compact building design. When quantifying the results of the surveyed studies, nevertheless, few studies reported significant associations between the above-mentioned principles and physical activity or the body mass index. These authors concluded that the mostly exclusively non-significant results here were not surprising since the majority of the studies were cross-sectional, and therefore they anticipated that there are many important factors on other levels which remain unmeasured (such as eating behaviours, etc.).

A slightly more recent review of literature examining the relationship between built environment (parks, trails, sidewalks) and physical activity or obesity by O. Ferdinand et al. (2012) presented similar results. The majority of the surveyed studies (89%) do report a beneficial contribution to physical activity and health, but since these papers utilized simple observational study designs, they are not suited for determining causality. Based on this extensive review of the literature, this paper aims to use knowledge stemming mainly from U.S. research reports for a pioneering study analysing the built environment and neighbourhood effects on obesity in the context of a post-socialist country. Literature reviews helped to focus interest on the most commonly-used variables describing various facets of the built environment for this paper’s analysis. Furthermore, the micro-geographic level has also been incorporated (quality of the home and school environment, sport facilities, etc.) following the suggestions of Brownson et al. (2009, in Ding and Gebel, 2012), as an audit of the “details” in the quality of the environment and various amenities at a micro-scale. Similarly, the hypothesis that the linkage between the built environment and obesity varies in different geographic settings (type of neighbourhood, metropolitan versus non-metropolitan) has been tested. Still, in the post-socialist context, many specific elements may apply.

The post-socialist countries have experienced a dramatic process of transformation and democratisation since the beginning of the 1990s. The democratisation of society and the introduction of meritocratic principles and economic freedom, however, have had some negative consequences, e.g. a steep increase in criminality, xenophobia and other socio-pathological phenomena. Structural changes and steep price rises lowered the standard of living in some households, whereas other households, on the contrary, profited from the free market economy and the re-establishment of property rights.

In the Czech Republic, the health behaviours of many people changed due to higher stress related to the need to adapt to new conditions, resulting in an even higher prevalence of alcohol consumption, smoking and drug use and unhealthy lifestyles in general. Secondly, the neighbourhood influence is not as clearly pronounced as
in the U.S. studies, where racial, socio-economic and even religious heterogeneity correlate with the specific features of neighbourhoods (Janssen et al., 2006; Lopez, 2007; Story et al., 2002; van Lente and Mackenbach, 2002, etc.1). The typical housing estates from the communist era with their concrete blocks of flats, housed a wide spectrum of resident classes from manual or blue collar workers to the intelligentsia and elites (Enyedi, 1998; Herfert et al., 2013; Kähr and Tammaru, 2010; Musil, 1987). Even today, these estates accommodate a socio-economic mixture of residents. The same is true for suburbia, which accommodates both new suburbanites in luxurious family houses and the former dwellers, with a different socio-economic profile.

This paper presents a pilot study of several diverse effects on teenage overweight and obesity, taking into account facets of the built environment (the existence of playgrounds, recreational space, adequate housing, etc.), social capital (perceived neighbourhood safety, behaviour norms, area deprivation), family background (economic status, social status), and individual behaviours (physical activity, walking activities) that are thought to influence overweight and/or obesity. There are two broad objectives:

- to estimate the prevalence of obesity and overweight among Czech teenagers aged 14–15 years, using a variety of neighbourhood and built environment characteristics; and
- to assess the effects of neighbourhood environment, quality versus the family or personal level influences on teenage obesity and overweight.

3. Methods

3.1 Data collection

The data for this pilot study came from an on-line survey among elementary school students (9th grade), which was administered in 38 selected schools in the Czech Republic, between October 2013 and March 2014. The schools were selected by a purpose-built sampling frame (Dzúrová et al., 2015): First, the schools in Prague were classified for the survey according to their neighbourhood type so that they represented different built environments. The seven built environments included blocks of flats in housing estates, new family houses in suburban areas, row houses, old city apartment houses, newer apartment houses, family houses and semi-detached houses, etc. Second, the schools outside Prague were selected based on their previous results in the ESPAD (The European School Survey on Alcohol and Other Drugs survey). Schools differed according to the trend of their health risk behaviour development – four types of trends were selected: improving, problematic, stable but good, and stable but bad. The Directors of the selected schools representing each trend type were contacted and asked for permission to conduct the survey. The questionnaires were completed in class, usually during lessons of computer education. Students were given a unique code for each school, ensuring the anonymity of individual data. After entering this school-code, the on-line survey form opened and was ready to be filled out. The research process followed the ethical guidelines proposed by the Czech government; thus, all procedures were performed in compliance with the relevant laws and institutional guidelines which appropriate institutional committees have approved.

Only students aged 14–15 years were selected for the analysis. Altogether, 1,025 valid responses were received: 48.5% of the sample was girls and 51.5% boys; 39% of the surveyed students lived in the capital city of Prague and the remaining 61% in other areas of the country. Most of the students lived in housing estates with blocks of flats (38.2%); 20.2% lived in traditional family houses, 18% lived in newly-built family houses in suburbia, 9.5% in new apartment houses in outer city areas, 7.2% in older city apartment houses in inner city neighbourhoods, 4.5% in row houses and 2.3% in semi-detached houses.

3.2 Measures

The dependent variable for the analysis in this paper was the odds of obesity and overweight defined according to the international sex- and age-specific cut-off points for body mass index of 25 kg/m² and 30 kg/m². These cut-off points were constructed in order to define child obesity based on the same principle at different ages, based on averaging the reference population of children from a mix of large representative surveys in different countries (Cole et al., 2000). The body mass index (BMI) proved to be one of the most satisfactory indicators of relative obesity (Keys et al., 2014).

Besides the above-mentioned neighbourhood type (with respect to the built environment), other neighbourhood and school environmental factors were the primary independent variables of interest. We use similar characteristics of neighbourhoods to the U.S. studies (Singh et al., 2010). Since the disorder or delinquency issues differ in the USA and the Czech Republic, however, we chose those appropriate for the Czech context. In contrast to the aggregate data, we used the adolescents’ own perceptions of their home and school environment to extract subjective measures of the environmental contexts (for the importance of individual perceptions, see, e.g. Winstanley et al., 2008; Picone, 2003; Weden et al., 2008). These selected characteristics included, for example, signs of violence or vandalism, poor or dilapidated housing, garbage or litter in the neighbourhood, drugs or alcohol consumed on the streets, and racial or religious problems. Built environment factors such as access to parks, greenery, playgrounds and sport facilities, were also assessed in the survey. These items were scored on a scale from 1 to 4 points, coded as 1 = no problem, 2 = small problem, 3 = bigger problem, 4 = serious problem, so that the higher scores indicated a greater degree of neighbourhood disadvantage. Last, neighbourhood safety was based on the question, “Do you feel safe and secure in the area of your home: never, sometimes, usually or always?”. A second important group of variables was presented by determinants of behavioural factors with potential effects on obesity, such as physical activity (at school, at home, with friends, specialized training etc.), and the student’s mode of transportation to school (both changed to binary variables for the analysis). The last group of variables covered individual and family demographic and socio-economic characteristics such as age, gender, family affluence (below average, average, above average), education of parents (elementary school, secondary school, university degree), etc.

3.3 Statistical analysis

The data were transferred into a database and analysed statistically using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social

---

1 As regards ethnic and religious heterogeneity, the Czech Republic is rather homogenous (70% ethnic Czechs, 89% Czech-speaking) and mostly atheist (34.2% without religion) (Czech Statistical Office, 2011).
Sciences), version 17 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). First, descriptive analyses were conducted to explore the character of the data and their basic distributions (SPSS command Analyze – Descriptive Statistics). Second, contingency analyses (chi-square statistics) were applied to test the overall associations between the covariates (SPSS command Analyze – Descriptive Statistics – Crosstabs). Subsequently, logistic regression models were used to estimate the odds of obesity and overweight in the sample of Czech teenagers. The dependent variable was defined as binary (underweight or normal weight coded as 0, versus overweight and/or obese coded as 1). Next, logistic regression models were conducted to examine the effects of the particular factors (SPSS command Analyze – Regression – Binary Logistic). A three-level data structure was applied in the logistic regression models: the environmental level (1); the family level (2); and the personal level (3) which has entered into the analysis as individual blocks of variables. Thus, a multilevel model was used, but the data structure has to take into account the fact that in some cases, the data were collected for one class in any chosen school, which likely means that the data will be clustered, i.e. there is a within-class correlation of responses.

4. Results

The prevalence of overweight and obesity in this study is depicted in Tab. 1. Altogether, 17.2% teenagers were overweight and 3.8% were obese. At the age of 14–15 years, boys were more likely to be both overweight (20.8% overweight boys versus 13.3% girls) and obese (4.7% versus 2.8%). The results show that there are significant gender differences for overweight (chi-square = 10.27, p = 0.001) but not for obesity (chi-square = 2.57, p = 0.109).

As regards the type of neighbourhood (Tab. 2), the highest prevalence of overweight and obese teenagers was found in the neighbourhoods with row houses (26.1% and 6.6% respectively), followed by teenagers living in housing estates with blocks of flats (19.1%, and 4.3%), however, the differences are not statistically significant. Chi-square analysis of the Tab. 2 shows Overweight: chi-square = 7.47, p = 0.29; Obese: chi-square = 1.78, p = 0.939, but table has too many cells with expected frequencies less than 5 for the association to be tested properly.

The exploratory data analyses further show that the majority of students came from average income families (60.4%), 29.1% rated their family as above average (somewhat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% within gender</th>
<th>Total Count</th>
<th>% within gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>82.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>82.8%</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1: Prevalence of overweight and obesity among ninth-grade students. Source: author’s calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighbourhood Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% within neighbourhood</th>
<th>Total Count</th>
<th>% within neighbourhood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block of flats</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New family house</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newer apartment house</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>94.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older family house</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>97.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-detached house</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>82.8%</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2: Prevalence of overweight and obesity according to neighbourhood type. Source: author’s calculations
rich and very rich), and 10.5% came from families with lower than average income. Teenagers reported doing vigorous physical exercise alone or with friends (57.3% and 53.4% respectively), 16.6% participated in sports teams and 29.5% reported some professional training. Surprisingly, the majority of the children (82.1%) said they did not take part in school physical education. As for transportation, 53.5% of the respondents walked to school and 38% used public transport, while only 0.5% cycled to school. The remaining 8.1% used car, motorcycle or other means of transport.

The vast majority of responding teenagers evaluated their neighbourhood as safe (‘always safe’ 45.9%, or ‘most of the time safe’ 46.2%), 6.6% felt safe in the vicinity of their homes only sometimes, and just 1.3% of the surveyed teenagers responded that they never felt safe in their neighbourhood. As regards particular problems of the built environment, in average drug and alcohol consumption around schools, violence and vandalism, and garbage or litter around schools have been often mentioned as a large problem (17.3%, respectively, 14.9% and 12.6%), as well as drugs and alcohol consumed around home (13.2%, a serious problem), dilapidated neighbourhoods, litter in the streets, etc. around home (10.7%), and vandalism, violence and crime in the home neighbourhood (10.1%).

The inhabitants of particular neighbourhoods differed significantly in their health behaviours (physical activity, transportation to school) and in their assessments of the qualities of built environment characteristics. Teenagers living in unsafe neighbourhoods were significantly the most likely to be physically inactive (C = 0.123, p < 0.001). The same is true for those teenagers who reported that they perceive violence and vandalism in their home neighbourhood as a serious problem (C = 0.102, p < 0.05). Even stronger is the consequence of negative perceptions of the school environment and physical activity: those students who criticize the racial, religious or ethnic disorder around their schools were those who were physically inactive (C = 0.100, p < 0.05), and the same applies to those who perceive the consumption of drugs in the vicinity of their school as a serious problem (C = 0.101, p < 0.05). Also, rather important is the revealed relation between the type of the neighbourhood and transportation to school (C = 0.414, p < 0.001): the children from the housing estates are much more likely to walk to school compared to the children from older and new family houses, as these students are more reliant on public transport. The relation between perceived safety of the neighbourhood and the mode of transport is statistically significant (C = 0.198, p < 0.001), but it brings mixed results.

Rather surprisingly, those living in housing estates were significantly less likely to negatively evaluate the access to sporting facilities of the housing estates’ schools, while teenagers from row-houses and older family houses significantly more often evaluated the sporting facilities in their neighbourhood schools as problematic (C = 0.196, p < 0.05). When we turn to characteristics of the built environment around the homes of respondents, the inhabitants of housing estates were significantly less likely to positively evaluate their neighbourhoods and the racial or religious problems, while those living in suburban areas with new family houses are more likely to evaluate these issues positively (C = 0.220, p < 0.001). Exactly the same is the case for the question about violence and vandalism in the neighbourhoods of housing estates and new family houses (C = 0.198, p < 0.05), for the lack of greenery (C = 0.199, p < 0.05), use of drugs and alcohol in public spaces (C = 0.216, p < 0.001), and overall dilapidation of the neighbourhood (C = 0.226, p < 0.001).

The binary logistic regression models for the measures of teenage overweight and obesity is depicted in the next table. Tab. 3 presents three models which are (1) environmental, (2) family, and (3) personal. It reflects the survey structure by assessing particular levels of the analysis. It showed significant results only for the gender and family affluence explanatory variables. Boys are about 1.6 times more likely to be overweight than girls at the age of 14–15 years. Teenagers from average affluent families are 2.45 times more likely to be overweight and/or obese than teenagers from more affluent families. Also, teenagers from less affluent families have a higher likelihood of being overweight and/or obese (1.7 times more than those from affluent families). The effects of the built environment of home and school neighbourhoods, the differences between Prague and the rest of the country, or the perceived safety of the neighbourhood were insignificant or mixed, as well as the results for physical activity or means of transport in the second model (Tab. 3).

5. Discussion

Despite the fact that our results have not revealed significant associations between built environment characteristics and teenage overweight and/or obesity, as is common for many studies coming from the U.S. or “western” context (Booth et al., 2005; Janssen et al., 2006; Lopez, 2007; van Lenthe and Mackenbach, 2002, etc.), there are many results related to particular covariates of overweight and obesity worth noting in the Czech sample.

Housing estates with blocks of flats seem to be the most problematic type of neighbourhood when considering overweight and obesity, and for many reasons. First, their residents are more likely to suffer from the effects of racial, ethnic or religious disorder, violence and vandalism, use of alcohol and drugs in public spaces, and overall untidiness, garbage and litter in the surroundings of their homes, as well as the overall dilapidation of the houses and whole neighbourhoods. Such environments are perceived as unsafe and, according to our results, this also brings a higher probability of being physically inactive. Similar outcomes were found by Saelens et al. (2003a, b), who showed that safer neighbourhoods with a mixture of functions often result in more physical activity and less overweight and obesity. Similarly depicted by the results of Franzini et al. (2009), it seems that a favourable social environment of the neighbourhood positively influences overall physical activity.

We agree with their findings, although Franzini et al. (2009, p. 275) in their study concluded that the physical environment was not significantly associated with measures of physical activity, because the children get
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>Exp(B)</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of neighbourhood</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>housing estate</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new family house</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>row house</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>1.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>older apartment house</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>newer apartment house</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>older family house</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semi-detached house</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Prague vs. Non-metropolitan** | | | |
| non-metropolitan | 0.305 | 1.258 | 0.290 | 1.278 | 0.336 | 1.255 |

| **Feeling safe in the neighbourhood** | | |
| always | ref | ref | ref |
| most of the time | 0.371 | 2.739 | 0.410 | 2.531 | 0.242 | 3.869 |
| sometimes | 0.530 | 2.026 | 0.519 | 2.063 | 0.345 | 2.979 |
| scarcely or never | 0.204 | 4.394 | 0.203 | 4.396 | 0.129 | 6.104 |

| **Perception of racial, ethnic or religious disorders around school** | | |
| no problem | ref | ref | ref |
| small problem | 0.557 | 1.405 | 0.466 | 1.529 | 0.431 | 1.599 |
| bigger problem | 0.346 | 1.726 | 0.304 | 1.818 | 0.247 | 1.993 |
| serious problem | 0.411 | 1.635 | 0.369 | 1.717 | 0.333 | 1.812 |

| **Perception of litter, rubbish, untidiness around school** | | |
| no problem | ref | ref | ref |
| small problem | 0.843 | 1.104 | 0.909 | 1.059 | 0.851 | 1.100 |
| bigger problem | 0.346 | 1.514 | 0.359 | 1.498 | 0.307 | 1.582 |
| serious problem | 0.784 | 0.889 | 0.782 | 0.887 | 0.708 | 0.848 |

| **Perception of drug or alcohol use in the public space around school** | | |
| no problem | ref | ref | ref |
| small problem | 0.201 | 1.819 | 0.176 | 1.888 | 0.210 | 1.814 |
| bigger problem | 0.140 | 1.928 | 0.122 | 1.990 | 0.116 | 2.032 |
| serious problem | 0.521 | 1.336 | 0.464 | 1.395 | 0.460 | 1.406 |

| **Perception of vandalism and dilapidation around school** | | |
| no problem | ref | ref | ref |
| small problem | 0.591 | 0.748 | 0.579 | 0.740 | 0.455 | 0.664 |
| bigger problem | 0.564 | 0.751 | 0.582 | 0.761 | 0.386 | 0.645 |
| serious problem | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.984 | 0.990 | 0.875 | 0.925 |

| **Perception of traffic congestions and other traffic problems around school** | | |
| no problem | ref | ref | ref |
| small problem | 0.338 | 0.808 | 0.394 | 0.638 | 0.262 | 0.547 |
| bigger problem | 0.359 | 0.625 | 0.409 | 0.651 | 0.303 | 0.578 |
| serious problem | 0.828 | 0.890 | 0.889 | 0.927 | 0.737 | 0.830 |

| **Perception of greenery around school** | | |
| no problem | ref | ref | ref |
| small problem | 0.951 | 1.036 | 0.972 | 1.021 | 0.848 | 0.894 |
| bigger problem | 0.689 | 1.243 | 0.731 | 1.209 | 0.876 | 1.091 |
| serious problem | 0.676 | 1.270 | 0.698 | 1.251 | 0.880 | 1.091 |

Tab. 3: Binary logistic regression models for teenage overweight and/or obesity. Notes: ref. = reference category; results in bold = p < 0.05. Model 1 is for the (home and school) environmental factors; Model 2 adds in family characteristics; Model 3, individual characteristics. Source: author’s calculations
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of sport facilities around school</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no problem</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small problem</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigger problem</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serious problem</td>
<td><strong>0.046</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.410</strong></td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of racial, ethnic or religious disorders around home</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no problem</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small problem</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigger problem</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serious problem</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of vandalism and dilapidation around home</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no problem</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small problem</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigger problem</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>1.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serious problem</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of greenery around home</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no problem</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small problem</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>1.759</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>1.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigger problem</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>1.994</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>1.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serious problem</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>1.562</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>1.443</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>1.841</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of litter, rubbish, untidiness around home</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no problem</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small problem</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigger problem</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serious problem</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>1.838</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>1.643</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>1.614</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of drug or alcohol use in the public space around home</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no problem</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small problem</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigger problem</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serious problem</td>
<td><strong>0.005</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.197</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.007</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.206</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.008</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.214</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother’s education</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>university degree</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary school</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elementary school</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Father’s education</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>university degree</td>
<td></td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary school</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>2.258</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>2.455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elementary school</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic affluence of the family</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>above average</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td><strong>0.031</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.286</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.022</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.450</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>below average</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>1.637</td>
<td><strong>0.044</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.712</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physically active (yes/no, yes = ref.)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physically active</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation to school (passive/active, active = ref.)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation to school</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender (girl = ref.)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td><strong>0.029</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.627</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3: continued
most of their physical exercise in school, gym etc., so that
neighbourhood physical characteristics are less relevant.
This becomes problematized in our study and its results,
which show that the level of physical activity at school is
critically low and most of the teenagers who are physically
active get the majority of their exercise alone or with friends
in their spare time.

There is another paradox related to physical activity and
the built environment in the Czech context: as our results
show, the teenagers from housing estates (perceived as highly
problematic environments) obviously do not suffer from any
major lack of sporting facilities around their home or in the
schools in their neighbourhoods, and they are also those
who are most likely to walk to school. The housing estates
were built during the communist era and often represented
a challenge for urban planners and architects, who aimed
to fulfill the requirements of architectural competitions
(Musil, 1985; van Kempen et al., 2009). Therefore, despite
the fact that today’s housing estates may already be
dilapidated or in need of repair and reconstruction, there
is a surprisingly large amount of green space and accessible
sport facilities both within the school complexes and within
the public spaces of these neighbourhoods.

Carrying out this pilot study has turned out to be an
important step towards a future research agenda in the
realm of obesogenic and obesoprotective environments in
the Czech Republic, where we evidently can obtain a more
intricate picture. First, it is true that unsafe environments
result in the risk of lesser physical activity for their
inhabitants, but, on the contrary, the vast majority (92%)
of the students felt safe in their neighbourhoods. Thus,
mediation through the safety of the neighbourhood is not
at stake. Second, the housing estates demonstrate the most
severe problems with both obesity and overweight and their
built environment, but when it comes to the perception of
the sporting facilities and similar opportunities for physical
activity, they were not attributed low scores; moreover,
the students from the housing estates are more used to
walk to school and back. Therefore, again, mediation by
physical activity is not the most relevant response to the
obesity problem. This implies that the most important
obesogenic and obesoprotective factors ‘hide’ within the
family environment.

6. Limitations

There are many limitations to this pilot study and its
results should be interpreted cautiously. First, our pilot
sample is small, so that its statistical power is limited.
Second, the study is based on the self-reported height and
weight of teenagers, which may be affected by certain
underestimations – under-reporting for weight and over-
reporting for height (Legleye et al., 2014). The inaccuracies
of self-reported weight and height may affect the
distribution of overweight and obesity risk in our sample,
but the degree of these effects, if any, cannot be determined.
Third, we have not studied the health food availability and
food choices in the particular neighbourhoods, although
these are also very often related to the risk of obesity.

Fourth, the data structure employed in this research is
clearly multi-level in nature, i.e. the ‘students-in-classes’ is
a first hierarchical level of responses, such that the student
responses will be affected by their shared class location, and
hence not independent of other responses. As such, schools
would be represented as Level 2 units. A full response to
this data structure is to employ a multi-level/mixed model
approach. For this pilot study, with relatively few cases
per level, we have chosen to employ regular regression
estimation methods for the models. Fifth, the results of any
statistical analysis do not necessarily imply causality.

7. Conclusions

This pilot study contributes to a growing body of research
on the covariates of child and teenage overweight and
obesity, especially the effects of built environments and
neighbourhood characteristics. The findings of this research
project, similar to other studies cited in the theoretical
background, indicate that teenagers living in unsafe and
socio-economically disadvantaged neighbourhoods
in a state of dilapidation – housing estates with blocks of
flats – are at increased risk of overweight and obesity.
The effects of contributing factors behind these results,
however, do not seem to act in the same way. The majority
of children perceived their neighbourhood environment as
safe, thus lack of safety does not put Czech children and
teenagers at risk of overweight or obesity by discouraging
physical activity, as it does in many U.S. studies. Also, the
history of Czech housing estate construction is different
and these neighbourhoods were not perceived as “social
living”, neither at the very beginning of their construction
nor today, such that these areas do not suffer from a critical
lack of green spaces or sport facilities. Thus the built
environment was not recognized as playing an important
role in the development of child and teenage obesity in the
Czech Republic.

Obesity is caused by complex interactions between
various genetic and environmental factors. From our study,
it is obvious that micro-geographic characteristics (such as
the built environment and neighbourhood quality) do not
seem to significantly influence the overweight/obesity of
the surveyed teenagers, so that the main influences reside
in the family environment and individual life-style habits.
Public health policies therefore have to focus on individual-,
family-, and school-based interventions to promote
a healthy lifestyle (Dodson et al., 2009; Kipke et al., 2007;
Nestle, 2010; Simon et al., 2008). Parents might be targeted
to increase their involvement in their children’s leisure
time activities, mainly hobbies and physical exercise. Given
the extremely low involvement in school physical education,
revealed in this pilot study, considerable attention should
be focused on school environments, their sport facilities
and the quality of their physical education courses. Last
but not least, after revealing the significant association
between family affluence and overweight/obesity; it can be
concluded that schools should also have a role in promoting
the available physical activities for everybody, including
those children whose parents cannot afford to pay for
commercial physical activity courses.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. Ladislav Čeľmy for
his assistance with obtaining data from the elementary
schools. This study was supported by the SOPHIE project
(“Evaluating the impact of structural policies on health
inequalities and their social determinants and fostering
change”) which received funding from the European
Community’s Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007–
2013) under grant agreement No. 278173, and from
the Czech Ministry of Health via the grant: “The analysis
of the relation of youth health-risk behavior and socio-demographic determinants of the environment” (No. 12118-5/2011). This publication was also supported by the project “National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH-CZ)”, grant number ED2.1.00/03.0078, and the European Regional Development Fund.

References:


Please cite this article as:

Fig. 7: Eurovea Galleria, Bratislava – the largest and most spectacular shopping centre in the Slovak Republic, connecting Danube river embankment with the city centre (Photo: Josef Kunc.)
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