Proceedings of 27th Central European Conference

## (Teaching) Regional Geography

17th October 2019, Brno

Darina Mísařová & Jana Petráková (eds.)

Masaryk University Press Brno 2020

© 2020 Masaryk University ISBN 978-80-210-9693-6 (paperback) ISBN 978-80-210-9694-3 (online ; pdf) The organizers of the 27th Central European Conference:

Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Department of Geography and Regional Development, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Constantine the Philosopher University in Nitra, Slovak Republic



#### **Program Committee:**

Prof. Péter Bagoly-Simó, Geographic institute, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany

PaedDr. Jozef Cimra, School for gifted children, Bratislava, Slovak Republic

Assoc. Prof. Pavel Chromý, Ph.D., Department of Geography, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. A. Petr Daněk, Ph.D., Department of Geography, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Assoc. Prof. Eduard Hofmann, Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Assoc. Prof. Petr Knecht, Ph.D., Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

#### **Reviewers**:

M.A. Petr Daněk, Ph.D., Department of Geography, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Mgr. Radek Durna, Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Assoc. Prof. Eduard Hofmann, Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Dr. Martin Hubáček, Department of Military Geography and Meteorology, Faculty of Military Technology, University of Defence, Brno, Czech Republic

M.Sc. Petra Karvánková, Ph.D., Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Assoc. Prof. Petr Knecht, Ph.D., Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Assoc. Prof. Jaromír Kolejka, Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Dr. Darina Mísařová, Ph.D., Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

M.A. Hana Svobodová, Ph.D., Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Eng. Zdeněk Šilhan, Ph.D., Department of Regional Economics and Administration, Faculty of Economics and Administration, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

All papers have been peer-reviewed.

The authors of individual papers are responsible for technical, content, and linguistic correctness.

## OBSAH

Introduction
Landscape Fragmentation Around Us – Integrating the Issue into Educational Processes at Primary and Secondary Schools
Mapping of urban geoheritage in city of Liberec using participatory research21 Emil Drápela
Regional and Geographic Features of pro-poor growth in Africa
Comparison of Static Perspective Views and 2D Maps – the Role of Age, Spatial Abilities, and Task Nature
Comparison of map reading skills and geographical knowledge of future officers and geography teachers
Anthropogenic relief transformations – their knowledge and evaluation with regard to the uniqueness and cultural identity of regions
Pre-industrial landscape of the Jeseníky region as a natural and cultural heritage
Geographical approach to the analysis of elections on the example of parliamentary elections in Slovakia in 2016
Geological paths – their use for the regional geography teaching 113 Lucie Kubalíková, Emil Drápela, Aleš Bajer, Dana Zapletalová, Marie Balková, Kamil Zágoršek, Karel Kirchner, František Kuda, Pavel Roštínský

Monuments of cultural heritage from the perspective residents – a case study of Mikulčice (Czechia) and Vlkolínec (Slovakia) 125 Libor Lněnička, Lenka Dvořáková
Regional biogeographical model of vegetation zones in doctoral programme Regional Biography in Olomouc (Case study for Norway spruce) 139 Ivo Machar, Marián Halás
Agriculture Development of the Nitra Region in the Context of Slovakia after year 2004
Regional Geography of Aid: Subnational Approach to Foreign Aid Allocations in Research and Education
Regional Geography Education in Poland 173 Agnieszka Świętek, Wiktor Osuch
Didactic Aspect of Teaching Environmental Geography (The Draft of Environmental Geography Textbook for Primary Schools) 187 Michaela Strišková, Daša Oremusová, Magdaléna Nemčíková
Environmental visualization of selected Czech regions: analysis of geography textbooks
Retail and shopping behavior in small towns in Slovakia (example study of Zlaté Moravce town)
Inquiry-based learning and its using in geography at the second level of primary schools
Secret potential of the ecosystem services in lower secondary education in Slovakia

### INTRODUCTION

On 17th October 2019, the 27th Central European Geographical Conference took place at the Pedagogical Faculty of Masaryk University in Brno. The conference was organized by the Department of Geography, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University in partnership with the Department of Geography and Regional Development, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Constantine the Philosopher University in Nitra and the South Moravian Branch of The Czech Geographical Society. The main theme of this year's conference was focused on teaching regional geography at various levels of the education system.

The October meeting was attended by Czech, Slovak, Polish and German experts with experience from all spheres of geographical education. Together, they sought after answers to the question of how it is possible in geographical education at primary, high school and university education successfully incorporate the ideas of so-called "new regional geographies".

Like previous years, this year was no exception – instead of the traditional model of presentation of linearly arranged papers in thematic sections, preference was given to a panel discussion with the moderator and thus the opportunity for a deeper reflection on current issues of geography. At the same time, the need for the exchange of experiences (not only) from university teaching and debate on the topic of the analysis of the current state of regional geography in the Czech Republic and other Central European countries pervaded the whole conference.

The beginning of the conference was dedicated to a moderated plenary session, where keynote speakers discussed the past, present and future-changing visage of regional geography and its forms of teaching.

This was followed by a moderated panel discussion, which discussed the issue of linking regional geography with the teaching of physical or socioeconomic geography, trying to find the inspiration in some innovative foreign approaches, controversy over the unhappy situation of availability of regional geography textbooks or sharing experiences with real implementation of new regional geography and examples of good and bad practice.

The conference also included a poster section. The presented posters were available for viewing throughout the day, and a two-hour block was reserved for their presentation by researchers and subsequent short discussion between the participants of the section.

In total, more than 65 geographers went through actual geographical topics, shared information and experiences and gave another point of view to the others, which I believe will lead to progressive innovations in teaching regional geography.

Editor

# Geological paths – their use for the regional geography teaching

Lucie Kubalíková<sup>1,2</sup>, Emil Drápela<sup>3</sup>, Aleš Bajer<sup>2</sup>, Dana Zapletalová<sup>4</sup>, Marie Balková<sup>2</sup>, Kamil Zágoršek<sup>3</sup>, Karel Kirchner<sup>1</sup>, František Kuda<sup>1</sup>, Pavel Roštínský<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Geonics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Drobného 28, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geology and Pedology, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology, Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 3, 613 00 Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup>Department of Geography, Komenského 314/2, 460 01 Liberec V-Kristiánov, Czech Republic

<sup>4</sup>Archaia Brno, z.ú., Bezručova 15/78, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic

E-mail: Lucie.Kubalikova@ugn.cas.cz

Abstract: Geological paths (geopaths, geotrails) represent a type of educational paths that connect sites which are interesting from the Earth-sciences point of view. These sites (e.g. outcrops, old quarries, historical buildings built of local stone or viewpoints) often represent the links between geodiversity and other phenomena within a region (which is in accordance with a holistic approach to geotourism). Thus, they can give complex information not only about the abiotic nature but also about biodiversity, history or culture of the region, usually through narrative. Suitable interpretation of geodiversity and its relationships to the biodiversity and cultural heritage allows to identify regional specifics, it helps to find the mutual connections between particular phenomena within the region and it supports the holistic perception of a given region. The contribution presents an example from the Brno city where the urban geopath can be used for teaching regional geography of Brno and its surroundings.

Keywords: geodiversity, geotourism, geoheritage, cultural heritage, Brno

## INTRODUCTION: GEOTOURISM AND EDUCATION

In the last decades, the geotourism has shown a considerable growth all over the world and it is appreciated and accepted as a useful tool for promoting natural and cultural heritage and for fostering local and regional economic development (Hose, 2012, Dowling, Newsome, 2018). Originally, the geotourism was defined as "tourism relating to geology and geomorphology and the natural resources of landscape with an emphasis on provision of interpretive and service facilities to enable tourists to acquire knowledge" (Hose, 1995), later, the National Geographic (2005) presented a more complex definition: geotourism as geographical tourism: tourism that sustains or enhances the distinctive geographical character of a place, i.e., its environment, heritage, aesthetics, culture, and the well-being of its residents. This approach is reflected e.g. in Arouca Declaration (2011) and in holistic concepts of geotourism as well, e.g. Dowling (2013) who stresses the ABC concept or Dowling and Newsome (2018) who discuss the mutual relationships between the various concepts (Fig. 1).

Geological tourism: Tourism relating to geology and geomorphology and the natural resources of landscape with an emphasis on provision of interpretive and service facilities to enable tourists to acquire knowledge (Hose 1995) Geographical tourism: Tourism that sustains or enhances the geographical character of a place – its environment, culture, aesthetics, heritage, and the well-being of its residents (National Geographic 2005)

Geotourism today: Geotourism is sustainable tourism with a primary focus on experiencing the Earth's geologic features in a way that fosters environmental and cultural understanding, appreciation and conservation, and is locally beneficial. Geotourism product protects, communicates and promotes geoheritage, helps build communities and works with a wide range of different people. Use of ABC concept (links between Abiotic—Biotic—Cultural components of an area)

Fig. 1: Definitions and approaches to geotourism

Geotourism and education have been always closely related. Environmental education is one of the pillars of the geotourism and it also plays an important role within nature conservation (Dowling, Newsome, 2010). Since the early 1990s when the concept of geotourism originated, the education and interpretation were emphasized and accepted as an important tool that can raise the awareness of the geodiversity and geoheritage conservation and contribute to the sustainable development of geotourism. The educational aspect is integrated or reflected in numerous definitions and approaches to geotourism, beginning from the early ones up to the present holistic approaches (Dowling, Newsome, 2018).

National Geographic has adopted the term geo-education to describe education about our world; a well-rounded geo-education provides young people with a

fundamental understanding of how the human and natural worlds work at local, regional, and global scales (National Geographic Society, 2018). This approach includes both natural features and anthropogenic impact on them (and vice-versa). Dowling and Newsome (2010) consider the geoeducation a part of the environmental education that is focused especially on Earth sciences and which seeks to create interlinks among geology, pedology and geomorphology within the landscape. The importance of geoeducation and interpretation is also emphasized by Hose (2012) who presents three key interrelated aspects of modern geotourism: geoconservation, geohistory and geo-interpretation. The geoeducation is, of course, an important tool for increasing public geoliteracy (Clary 2018, Vegas Salamanca, Díez Herrero 2018).

Based on the abovementioned, it can be stated that geoeducation has numerous functions, for example: (1) it helps to increase recognition of geodiversity and geoheritage in international, national, regional and local levels which contribute to the geoconservation activities; (2) it makes geodiversity relevant to where the people live and the places they visit; (3) it helps to interpret, utilise and widen understanding of geodiversity and geoheritage for numerous purposes (including geotourism and other forms of sustainable tourism); (4) it helps to create and foster the sense of place and regional identity; (5) it contributes to discover the links between abiotic, biotic and cultural components of the landscape by the public. These selected aspects make the geoeducation really essential not only for geoconservation and geotourism, but also for regional geography teaching.

## **GEOPATHS: AN EFFECTIVE TOOL FOR EDUCATION**

There are numerous geotourist products that have an educative outreach. Geological pedestrian trails (geotrails, geopaths) are one of the ways how to introduce geoheritage to the public. They are usually seen as part of educational activities, as Gray (2013) notes, "the greatest threat to geodiversity is ignorance". Geotrails combine the desire for knowledge (as the main reason for knowledge-based tourism), the experience of an attractive location and the positive feeling of movement. Their appearance can vary greatly depending on the phenomenon they represent, from short walks to and around certain locations (e.g. geotrails in the Mixteca Alta UNESCO Global Geopark; see Palacio Prieto et al., 2019) to long-distance hiking trails (e.g. GeoRoute Ruhr; see Wrede, Mügge-Bartolović, 2012). According to Brilha (2018), the following factors have to be taken into account for the geotrail to be implemented in geosites: (1) the geoheritage has a remarkable aesthetic relevance; (2) the geological/geomorphological significance can be easily understood by visitors with no geoscientific background; (3) there is a low risk of degradation as a result of human activities; (4) there are good facilities and infrastructures to receives visitors, including those with disabilities. Geotrails can be combined with other kinds of educational activities such as visitor centres, museums, theme parks, disused mines, audio-visual presentations, expert-led programs etc.

Geotrails today are not only a hiking trail lined with interpretation panels; on the contrary, they often use various 3D models, the ability to touch the stone, interact with exhibits or admire the aesthetic side of various works of art. In recent years, modern technology has been widely applied, where, with the help of a smartphone, a visitor can view a virtual 3D model, play a video, or explore the surroundings of his / her habitat using augmented reality, which shows the location in another time period. In addition, if geotrail tells an interesting story, which Drápela and Büchner (2019) consider being a key factor in the acceptance of the educational component of the trail by ordinary tourists, it can be used for thematic teaching at various levels of education. Brilha (2018) describes the suitability of geosites for educational use when: (1) its geoheritage is resistant to the eventual destruction caused by students; (2) it can be easily understood by students of different school levels; (3) it can be easily reached by bus or short and easy trails; (4) it provides safe conditions for students, in particular considering the younger ones. The possibilities of using geotrails for educational purposes are enormous, as geology affects both nature in the locality and many related human activities. They can be used not only in the teaching of biology and geography but also in history, civics, physics, chemistry, art, etc. However, it has the closest connection to teaching the regional geography of the local region.

## STUDY AREA

Brno is the second largest city in the Czech Republic (population approximately 380 000 inhabitants) and it is situated in the south-eastern part of the Czech Republic. It lies on the contact of the two different geological units: Bohemian Massif and Carpathian Foredeep. The geology and landscape of the area is very diverse; in the relatively small area of the city, numerous rock types are present: Cadomian Brno massif (metabasalts, diorites and granodiorites), Paleozoic cover (clastic sediments, limestones), Jurassic limestones, Neogene sediments of the Carpathian Foredeep (gravels, calcareous clays) and Quaternary sediments (loess, fluvial sediments, anthropogenic deposits) (Müller, Novák, 2000). The study area belongs to the two different geomorphological provinces: Bohemian Highlands and Western Carpathians (Demek, Mackovčin, 2014) which implicates a variety of landforms. In the northern and central parts, the relief is tectonically influenced (horsts, grabens and tectonically conditioned valleys) and more pronounced, the southern part is rather flat. The uniqueness of the relief of the Brno City lies in the "chessboard" layout of the ridges and valleys which influenced the situation of the important communications, buildings and urban development in general (Buček, Kirchner, 2011).

The centre of Brno reflects the lithological and morphological diversity of the wider area (Fig. 2). Two geocultural sites - Špilberk and Petrov Hills are probably the most important landmarks within the Brno City and they represent the inherent part of the city's image. These elevations are noteworthy both from Earth-sciences and cultural/historical point of view. Geologically, both Špilberk and Petrov Hills are a part of metabazite zone of Brno Massif, they are built of Cadomian basalts which were intensively deformed and metamorphosed into the green slates and they represent one of the oldest rocks in the study area (Müller, Novák, 2000). The pillow lavas on the top of Špilberk Hill represent one of the best examples within the Czech Republic. On the eastern slopes of both elevations are covered by loess. Geomorphologically, both sites are considered tectonic horsts elevated above the flat relief of Dyje-Svratka Valley (Demek, Mackovčin 2014). The original landforms (macroforms) are still visible and distinctive, however, parts of the elevations (including natural outcrops) have been modified by human activities that document the land use and landforms changes in the past.




Fig. 2: A geological scheme of the city centre with Špilberk and Petrov Hills which correspond with occurrences of metabasalt outcrops.

### GEOPATH THROUGH BRNO CITY CENTRE AND ITS POSSIBLE USE FOR REGIONAL GEOGRAPHY TEACHING

Based on the detailed literature review (Buček, Kirchner 2011, Czech Geological Survey 2019, Müller, Novák, 2000, Mrázek, 1993), an inventory

and assessment of geotourist resources in Brno city was done (Kubalíková et al. 2017, Kubalíková et al. 2019 in prep) and a geopath connecting Petrov and Špilberk Hills was proposed. It includes eight stops which intent to cover all the types of geotourist resources (outcrops, hydrological features, viewpoints, building stone, geomorphology, paleontology, anthropogenic landforms). The supporting information material (Fig. 3) was issued by Tourist Information Centre of Brno, so the geopath can be considered a full value tourist attraction centre. The printed electronic in the city and material (https://ticbrno.cz/informacni-centra/magazin/to-je-geostezka-centrem-brna) includes a brief description of every site comprehensible for a wide public. However, in the future, more education-oriented activities are intended: for every stop, the team of geographers, geologists, historians and teachers wants to propose activities to recognize particular geodiversity features and their relationships to the other phenomena of Brno's region. Primary, the team would like to stress the importance of geodiversity in the city, however, this is going to be done by interpretation of relationships between geodiversity, biodiversity, history and cultural heritage.




Fig. 3: Printed material of the Geopath through Brno city centre

Below, there are several examples of how originally geologically and geomorphologically important sites can be used for regional geography teaching.

Stop 1: St. Peter and Paul's Cathedral

The cathedral is built on a distinctive metabasalt elevation (such elevations were usually used for placing important buildings), the building stones for the

cathedral came from quarries in Brno or nearby, e.g. white limestone from Stránská skála, purple conglomerate from Červený kopec, and dark metabasalt probably from small quarries in the Brno centre.

Topics for regional geography:

- Influence of the landforms on the position of important buildings and city development
- Mining history, diversity of materials, extraction of the building stone
- Religious history

Stop 2: Metabasalt outcrop on Petrov

In Precambrian (some authors say over 700 Ma ago), Brno lay on a mid-ocean ridge from which lava spewed. Later, under great pressure and heat, it underwent metamorphic processes so that rocks we call metabasalt appeared. The outcrops are now protected as Important Landscape Element.

Topics for regional geography

- Geology, volcanism, tectonics
- Geomorphology (resistance of the rocks)
- Nature conservation and management of protected sites

#### Stop 3: Denis Gardens

From the lookout in Denis Gardens, it is possible to observe the difference between two European-scale geologic provinces: Bohemian Massif and Western Carpathians (Fig. 4). The obelisk is built of coral marble from Šumbera and it is possible to find some fossils here.



Fig. 4 A view from Denis Gardens: the difference between two main geological units of the Czech Republic can be observed here: pronounced relief of Bohemian Massif (old and resistant rocks, e.g. metabasalts, granodiorites or

limestone) and flat relief of Western Carpathians (soft and younger sediments as sands or clays)

Topics for regional geography

- geological and geomorphological settings of Brno and its surroundings, its influence on agriculture and landuse in the past
- influence of landforms on the position of important buildings or communications
- geography of the industry (importance of natural resources and landforms for industrial development)
- paleontology

#### Stop 4: Water under the Petrov Hill

The spring in Studánka Park is one of several fracture springs under Petrov. In the Middle Ages, it was an important water resource, but at the end of the 19th century, the water was contaminated by typhoid-causing bacteria. There are some legends about underground lakes under Petrov that are based on the existence of underground wells which sometimes overflow due to the high pressure.

Topics for regional geography

- hydrography, hydrology, hydrogeology
- use of water resources, water management, contamination
- underground anthropogenic landforms

Stop 5: Pellicova Street (use of local building stone)

The walls are composed mostly of red conglomerate mined on Červený kopec, which is one of the materials typically used in Brno. There also appear grey limestone, red-grey granodiorite, and dark metabasalt, so on a simple wall, it is possible to study the diversity of building material from Brno and its close surroundings.

Topics for regional geography

- geological history of the Brno surroundings
- typical rocks for buildings, mining history
- engineering geology, use of natural resources

Stop 6: Bunker 10-Z

Thanks to its good engineering geologic conditions, the Špilberk massif was suitable for the constructing of the war shelter and other underground constructions. The Bunker 10-Z (a typical military anthropogenic landform) was built during World War II, today it is a museum.

Topics for regional geography

- anthropogenic landforms
- history, historical geography, military history
- engineering geology

Stop 7: A view on the northern pavilion

From this lookout, it is possible to observe the landforms of the northern part of Brno. The terrain is varied due to the diversity of the bedrock: in addition to the mentioned pre-Paleozoic metabasalt, the area also has Paleozoic granodiorite, limestone, and sandstone; Mesozoic limestone; Cenozoic sand and clay; and Quaternary loess. Hardier rocks forming ridges and hills were broken, and rivers (Svratka and Ponávka Rivers) found their way along these breaks and over many thousands to millions of years created deep valleys.

Topics for regional geography

- geomorphology, influence of geology on landforms
- influence of geodiversity on the position of important buildings and communication
- mining history (quarries)

Stop 8: Pillow lavas on Špilberk Hill

The small outcrops of dark metabasalt that can been found on Špilberk are often incorporated into the castle walls. The most interesting is the outcrop in front of the main castle entrance, especially because it include pillow lava – rock that formed from lava extruding under water and that prove that Brno lay at the bottom of a Precambrian ocean on a mid-ocean ridge.

Topics for regional geography

- geological history, volcanism
- geoheritage issues and geoconservation
- recultivation, revitalisation
- incorporation of the outcrops into the historical buildings

## CONCLUSIONS

Geology and geomorphology (or geodiversity) have strong relations to other components of the landscape and influence human activities (position of the cities themselves, the suitability of landforms for industrial development, managing natural resources, influence on cultural identity, landforms as typical features of a city's panorama).

Geopaths can be seen as a tool for promoting geoheritage that can be used for teaching regional geography, biology or history. Interpretation of the relationships between geodiversity and cultural heritage allows specifying regional peculiarities or typical characteristics of a given region (e.g. use of typical building material that contributes to the typical appearance of the buildings in a given area). Particular stops on the geopath can be used as excursion localities with a considerable number of possibilities of how to teach regional geography.

Further activities will be focused on the proposals of geoeducational activities on every stop of the geopath (educational materials focused on geodiversity importance in the city) with an outreach to the regional geography teaching. Another activity can be represented by guided walks for the students of local schools and general public. This can support the holistic perception of the region (or city) and views from a different perspective.

#### Acknowledgement

The paper was supported by project n. TL02000219 "Geodiversity within urban areas: perception, function, potential" (Geodiverzita v rámci města: percepce, funkce, potenciál) funded by Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (ETA programme).

#### References

Arouca Declaration. International Congress of Geotourism – Arouca 2011. Associação Geoparque Arouca, 2018. Available at: <http://www.europeangeoparks.org/?p=223>. Accessed 30th November 2018

Brilha J. Geoheritage and Geoparks. **In:** Reynard, Emmanuel & Brilha, José. *Geoheritage: Assessment, Protection, and Management.* Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2018.

Buček A., Kirchner K. Krajina města Brna. **In:** Procházka R. (ed) *Dějiny Brna 1. díl.* Archiv města Brna, Brno, 2011, pp 43–81

Clary R.M. Geoheritage and public geoliteracy: opportunities for effective geoscience education within US parks. **In:** Dowling R.K., Newsome D. eds. *Handbook of Geotourism.* Edward Elgar Publishing, 2018, pp 244-253

Czech Geological Survey. *Significant geological localities of the Czech Republic*. Available at: <a href="http://lokality.geology.cz">http://lokality.geology.cz</a>. Accessed 26th October 2019.

Demek J., Mackovčin P. eds. *Zeměpisný lexikon ČR: Hory a nížiny*. Nature Conservation Agency of the Czech Republic, Brno, 2014.

Dowling R.K., Newsome D. eds. *Geotourism. The tourism of Geology and Landscape.* Goodfellow Publishers Ltd., 2010, 246 p

Dowling R.K. Global Geotourism - An emerging Form of sustainable tourism. *Czech Journal of Tourism*, 2013, 2(2):59–79

Dowling R.K., Newsome D. eds. *Handbook of Geotourism*. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, 2018, 520 p

Drápela E., Büchner J. Neisseland Geopark: Concept, Purpose and Role in Promoting Sustainable Tourism. In: Fialová, Jitka. *Public Recreation and Landscape Protection - With Sense Hand in Hand...* Brno: Mendel University, 2019, pp. 268-272.

Gray M. *Geodiversity: Valuing and Conserving Abiotic Nature*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Oxford: Wiley Blackwell, 2013.

Hose T.A. Selling the Story of Britain's Stone. *Environment Interpretation*, 1995, 10(2):16-17

Hose T.A. 3G's for Modern Geotourism. Geoheritage, 2012, 4(1-2):7-24

Kubalíková L., Kirchner K., Bajer A. Secondary geodiversity and its potential for urban geotourism: a case study from Brno city, Czech Republic. *Quaestiones Geographicae*, 2017, 36(3):63–73

Kubalíková L, Kirchner K, Kuda F, Bajer A. Assessment of urban geotourism resources: an example of two geocultural sites in Brno, Czech Republic. *Geoheritage*, 2019 (in prep)

Mrázek I. Kamenná tvář Brna. Moravské zemské muzeum, Brno, 1993.

Müller P., Novák Z. Geologie Brna a okolí. Český geologický ústav, Praha, 2000.

Novák Z. et al. *Geologická mapa 1:50 000, list 24-32 Brno*. Český Geologický Ústav, Praha, 1991.

Palacio Prieto J.L. et al. Geosenderos en el Geoparque Mundial UNESCO Mixteca Alta, Oaxaca, México. *Cuadernos Geográficos*, 2019, 58(2): 111-125.

Vegas Salamanca J., Díez-Herrero A. Best practice guidelines for the use of the geoheritage in the city of Segovia. A sustainable model for environmental awareness and urban geotourism. Town Hall of Segovia, 2018. Available at: <http://www.segovia.es/educaPatriGeo/ELIGES/Best\_practice\_guidelines\_Geo heritage\_in\_Segovia.pdf>. Accessed 8th October 2019

Wrede V., Mügge-Bartolović V. GeoRoute Ruhr—a Network of Geotrails in the Ruhr Area National GeoPark, Germany. *Geoheritage*, 2012, 4:109–114.

#### Shrnutí

Geostezky jsou typ naučných stezek spojující místa zajímavá z hlediska věd o Zemi. V současné době jsou velice populární v geoparcích nebo ve zvláště chráněných územích, kde slouží jako efektivní způsob propagace geodiverzity a dědictví neživé přírody. Postupně se objevují i ve městech, kde mohou být považovány za alternativu k tradičním turistickým destinacím přístupným velkému množství návštěvníků. Dílčí zastávky na geostezce (např. skalní výchozy, staré lomy, budovy, kde je využitý místní materiál, výhledová místa) často představují vzájemné vztahy mezi geodiverzitou a dalšími fenomény v rámci určitého regionu (což je v souladu s aktuálním holistickým pojetím geoturismu). Geostezky tak podávají informaci nejen o neživé přírodě regionu, ale i o jeho biodiverzitě, kultuře nebo historii, většinou prostřednictvím příběhu. Vhodná interpretace geodiverzity a jejích vztahů k živé přírodě a kulturnímu dědictví může přispět k identifikaci regionálních specifik, napomáhá při hledání vztahů mezi dílčími fenomény v rámci regionu a přispívá ke komplexnímu vnímání konkrétního regionu. Příspěvek představuje geostezku centrem Brna a ukazuje, jak můžou být jednotlivá místa na geologické stezce využita při výuce regionální geografie Brna a jeho blízkého okolí.